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Abstract

Bullying has significant long-term effects even in adult life Rigby, Johnson [1-2]. Research has demonstrated a strong association between boredom and various measures of aggression including physical and verbal aggression, anger, and hostility Fahlman et al. [3], Rupp, Vodanovich [4], while taking the perspective of another person often leads to more compassionate perceptions and pro-social behaviour toward that person Myers & Hodges, 2011). In this study, we examined whether boredom and interpersonal closeness predict bullying and victimization. Participants were 247 Greek students (43.3% and 56.7% girls) of Senior High School. The students filled in three scales: Bullying/Victimization Scale Olweus [5], Boredom-Disengagement Scale Fahlman et al. [3] and Inclusion of Others in Self scale Aron et al. [6] Result syndicated that boredom was positively correlated to bullying. Moreover, boys got involved in bullying incidents more than girls.
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Introduction

Bullying at school is a type of violent and aggressive behaviour among students, which occurs in many countries around the world and, in recent years, keeps increasing and causing problems for students, their families and the school itself Rigby [1]. Also, school bullying has significant long-term effects even in adult life Rigby, Johnson [2]. Based on the premises that research has demonstrated a strong association between boredom proneness and various measures of aggression including physical and verbal aggression, anger, and hostility Fahlman et al. [3], Rupp [4], while taking the perspective of another person often leads to more compassionate perceptions and pro-social behaviour toward that person Myers [4], we examined whether boredom and interpersonal closeness predict bullying and victimization. Understanding the potentially complex relations among boredom and interpersonal closeness can have implications for the assessment and prevention of bullying and victimization at school.

Bullying

The study of school bullying was commenced in Scandinavia in the 1970s by Olweus [7], who was trying to define the phenomenon. In more recent studies, bullying is defined as an aggressive behaviour in which an individual harms another person within the context of a power imbalance Volk et al. [8]. Children who are involved in bullying may act as perpetrators, passive victims and aggressive victims or bully victims. That is, they act as bullies or victims in different occasions Austin [9], Bowers et al. [10], Wolke et al. [11].

There are several factors implicated in bullying. For example, bullies are more likely to participate in other forms of antisocial behaviour, get along with more antisocial peers and show greater antisocial behaviours and lower social competence skills Bollmer et al. [12], Cunningham [13], Olliffe et al.[14], Mouttapa et al. [15], Unnever [16]. Victims of bullies, on the other hand,
Boredom is a ubiquitous human experience characterised by a failure to engage with one’s environment – a failure that is negatively valenced Eastwood et al. [20]. Higher levels of boredom proneness can negatively impact attention capacities, emotional well-being, and have been associated with problematic behavioural consequences. For instance, high boredom-prone individuals are more likely to engage in addictive behaviours such as substance abuse and problem gambling e.g. Mercer, Eastwood [21], impulsive and higher risk-taking behaviours Joreman et al. [22], Kass, Vodanovich [23], and tend to have poorer outcomes associated with achievement settings Pekrun et al. [24]. Boredom proneness has also been related to inappropriate expression of anger, and deficits in controlling aggressive feelings Dahlen et al. [25]. When controlling for sensation seeking and impulsivity, research has demonstrated a strong association between boredom proneness and various measures of aggression including physical and verbal aggression, anger, and hostility Fahlman Mercer-Lynn et al. [3], Rupp, Vodanovich [4].

Students frequently feel bored at school. According to Larson, Richards [26], students felt bored 32% of the time they spent in class. Students feel less bored in classes with low levels of perceived monotony, a factor that can be influenced by teachers teaching methods. Another factor that impacts the levels of student boredom is the perceived value of lessons. Highly useful or valued courses and also ones that allow students to have partial control over their learning outcomes decrease experiences of boredom Daschmann et al. [27]. Students who experience high levels of boredom at school are at higher risk of negative academic outcomes, such as low grades, school absenteeism, and drop-out rates Robinson [28]; Wasson [29], drug consumption Johnstone, O’Malley [30], Orcutt [31], Samuels, Samuels [32], eating disorders Abramson, Stinson [33], Leon, Chamberlain [34], hostility Broadbent, Gath [35], depression Giambra, Traynor [36], and juvenile delinquency Harris [37], Vodanovich, Kass [38].

In this study, we focused on students’ disengagement as a component of boredom, because most definitions of boredom (n = 29; 66%) suggest that boredom involves feeling withdrawn from one’s environment (e.g., Greenson [39], Fahlman et al. [3], Mercer, Eastwood [21] and many researchers view this as the defining feature of boredom e.g., Fahlman [40], Goldberg et al. [41], Passik [42]. Students who experience high levels of disengagement have been found to be at higher risk of persistent educational problems such as low achievement, high dropout rates, and high rates of student alienation Chapman et al. [43], Fredricks [44] (Table 2).

### Table 2: Descriptive of the variables of the study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>M(SD)</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Missing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BUL</td>
<td>16.28(6.08)</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIC</td>
<td>16.60(6.50)</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOR</td>
<td>38.48(12.20)</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOS</td>
<td>5.15(1.26)</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: BUL=Bullying, VIC=Victimization, BOR=Boredom, IOS=Other In Self Scale.

**correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed)**

### Interpersonal Closeness and Bullying

Interpersonal relationships seem to influence students’ involvement in bullying and victimization incidents. Bullies and bully-victims seemed to score higher in emotionality and physical activity scales and lowers in popularity scales Pellegrini et al. [45]. In particular, bully-victims reported that they had fewer good friends than bullies did Unnever [16], while bullies were less isolated than victims, but more isolated than the not involved students. Especially, having friends and been liked by your peers proved to be protective factors against victimization, the latter more so than the former Pellegrini et al. [45]. Children’s interpersonal behaviours influence their relationships with other students at school. Reunamo et al. [46] stated that bullying seems to be an effective way to get in contact with other children.
The child that bullies others is able to attract other children's attention and make them process the situation on his or her own terms. Thus, bullying is an effective strategy for getting into contact with others and the child that bullies is able to determine the content of the interaction. There are also findings that demonstrate the negative relationships between children’s experiences of victimization and their close peer relationships at school Leadbeater et al. [47]. Greek studies also resulted in a significant differentiation of bully/victims from the rest of the groups, especially in terms of their low social acceptance, high Machiavellism and negative self-esteem Andreou [48-51], Andreou et al. [51] as well as their low problem-solving abilities Andreou [49]. This group also appeared to show more symptoms of disruptive behaviour and conduct disorders than the rest Kokkinos, Panayiotou [52]. In addition, bully-victims constituted a distinct group in terms of their highly positive attitudes toward bullying Andreou et al. [51] and lack of positive interactions with peers Psalti [53] (Table 3).

In our study, we included self-other inclusion, as an aspect of interpersonal closeness and a sense of belonging. Recent research has demonstrated that students with high sense of belonging do not want to escape from their peers or to oppose research has demonstrated that students with high sense of interpersonal closeness and a sense of belonging. Recent research has demonstrated that students with high sense of belonging do not want to escape from their peers or to oppose them Reunamo et al. [46], Johnson [54], Nipedal et al. [55], Leadbeater et al. [47]. Greek studies also resulted in a significant differentiation of bully/victims from the rest of the groups, especially in terms of their low social acceptance, high Machiavellism and negative self-esteem Andreou [48-51], Andreou et al. [51] as well as their low problem-solving abilities Andreou [49]. This group also appeared to show more symptoms of disruptive behaviour and conduct disorders than the rest Kokkinos, Panayiotou [52]. In addition, bully-victims constituted a distinct group in terms of their highly positive attitudes toward bullying Andreou et al. [51] and lack of positive interactions with peers Psalti [53] (Table 3).

Table 4: Multiple regression analysis Tables: Dependent variable: Bullying.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Unstandardised coefficients</th>
<th>Standardised Coefficients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Std.Error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Constant)</td>
<td>19.56</td>
<td>3.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOS</td>
<td>-4.1</td>
<td>.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>-3.46</td>
<td>.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: IOS=Other in Self Scale

**correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed)

As regards gender, bullying and victimization incidents are more common among boys than girls Stassen, Berger [65]. Generally speaking, men are more often bullies than women, and men are intimidated mostly by men. Women are intimidated both by men and women Eder et al. [66]; Farrington [67]; Thorne [68]. Furthermore, the number of women who intimidate steadily decreases as they become older, whereas the prevalence of male bullies remains almost the same from eight to sixteen years of age Farrington, [67].

The Present Study and the Hypotheses

The purpose of the present study was to examine factors which predict bullying and victimization. We focused to boredom and interpersonal closeness because, as mentioned above, they have associations with students’ behaviours. First, we examined relationships between boredom and students’ involvement in bullying and victimization. Based on previous research that has shown a relationship between students’ disengagement and problematic behaviours at school Chapman et al. [43], Fredricks [44], we expected that students who feel more boredom will involve more in bullying (Hypothesis 1).

Moreover, as prior research has shown that bullying is an effective strategy for getting into contact with others Reunamo et al. [46], Johnson [54], and that victimization has a negative relationship with peer relationships at school(Nipedal et al. [55]; Leadbeater et al. [47], we expected that students who are less connected to other people around them will involve more in bullying (Hypothesis 2a), whereas students who are more connected to other people around them will involve less in victimization incidents (Hypothesis 2b). We further examined the extent to which there are gender differences in bullying/victimization. Following the same line of reasoning that females typically engage in less direct or overt aggression than do males e.g., Crick 1995, Tisak 1996, we expected that girls will involve less in bullying and more in victimization Hypotheses 3a and 3b (Table 5).

Table 4: Multiple regression analysis Tables: Dependent variable: Bullying.
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Table 5: Multiple regression analysis tables: Dependent variable: Victimization.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Unstandardised Coefficients</th>
<th>Standardized coefficients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Std. Error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(constant)</td>
<td>27.64</td>
<td>3.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOS</td>
<td>-76</td>
<td>.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: IOS=Other in Self Scale.
*correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed)

Method

Participants

The sample comprised 250 Greek students attending Senior High School (M = 16.35, SD = 1.09). There were 43.3% and 56.7% girls.

Instruments

Three different scales were used to measure boredom, interpersonal closeness, and bullying/victimization. All scales were translated from English to Greek in order to be possible for the students to fill in the questionnaires without any difficulty. More specifically, researchers initially translated the three scales, the Boredom-Disengagement Scale, the other in Self Scale and the Bullying/Victimization Scale, from English into Greek. Then a back translation to English was made so as assure the accuracy of the meaning of the translated items. A number of mistakes identified were corrected.

Boredom-Disengagement Scale

The Boredom-Disengagement scale comes from the Multidimensional State Boredom Scale Fahlman et al. [3] that assesses five dimensions of boredom: Disengagement, High Arousal, Low Arousal, Inattention, and Time Perception. From the five-factor structure of the initial scale only the first subscale was used. Disengagement subscale has 10 items include statements such as ‘I wish I was doing something more exciting’. Students responded to each item on a seven-point Likert-type scale, from 1 = totally disagree to 7 = totally agree. Cronbach’s alpha reliability was .83.

Note: IOS=Other in Self Scale.

Inclusion of Others in Self scale

The Inclusion of Others in Self scale (IOS) Aron et al. [6] has only one item and it consists of seven pairs of circles –one circle representing the self and the other representing another person—that vary in the extent to which they overlap with each other. The scale was initially designed as a measure of self-other inclusion and relationship closeness. In other words, this scale measures the interpersonal closeness, how people feel their self is related to other people. What is more, the IOS Scale was used so as to interpret people’s sense of being interconnected with other people around them. Participants were instructed to indicate which pair of circles (the range of response was from 1 to 7) best described their relationship with others. Higher scores corresponded to pairs of circles that increasingly overlapped, and thus represented greater self-other overlap.

Revised Bullying and Victimization Questionnaire (BVQ-R)

The BVQ-R scale consists of 18 items based on the original BV Questionnaire constructed. The BVQ-R has recently been used in a number of studies in Cyprus after its adaptation into Greek Georgiou [69], Georgiou, Stavrinides [70], Kyriakides et al. [71]. We used the Cypriot version translated in Greek. The scale has two factors and each factor has 9 items. Items from the bullying subscale include statements such as ‘Other children are afraid of me’. Items from the victimization subscale include statements such as ‘I am afraid of other children’. Students responded to each item on a five-point Likert-type scale, ranging from 1 = not true at all to 5 = true at all. Cronbach’s alpha reliability for the bullying factor was .87 and for the victimization factor was .85.

Procedure

Permission to participate in the study was asked from Ministry of Education, school authorities, as well as from students’ parents. Printed questionnaires were given to 247 students of senior high schools. Students filled in the questionnaires at school during a 45-minute teaching hour. Students used numbers instead of names in order to protect their anonymity.

Data Analysis

For the testing of the hypotheses multiple regressions analysis was applied. Both IOS and boredom were entered as predictors of bullying. A multiple regression technique was also used for the other three hypotheses in which the gender and IOS as predictors of bullying. Then, similar multiple regression, but this time victimization was the dependent variable. In this way, differences between the predictors of bullying and victimization could be identified.

Results

Tests of Normality

The normality of the distribution of the bullying and victimization data was tested because there were some outliers. Taking into consideration the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test of normality, the distribution was not normal, D (235) = .135,
Boredom, IOS, Gender and Bullying

Bullying and boredom were expected to be positively associated. In order to verify our first hypothesis, whether boredom is predictor of bullying, a simple linear regression was performed. Preliminary analyses were also conducted to be sure that there was no violation of the assumptions of normality, linearity, multi co linearity and homoscedasticity. The analysis revealed that boredom significantly predicted bullying, \( \beta = .10, t (227) = 3.30, p<.001 \). Nonetheless, boredom explained only 4% of variance of the bullying scores, \( R^2 = .04, F (1, 227) = 10.89, p<.05 \) (Table 3).

The second hypothesis (Hypothesis 2a) referred to that IOS would predict bullying. So, standard multiple regression analysis was conducted to assess the predicting ability of this variable. Preliminary analyses were also conducted to be sure that there is no violation of assumptions of normality, linearity, multi co linearity and homoscedasticity. Some outliers have been identified, however, considering the large sample size (N=247) and the number of the predictors, they did not influence the regression.

IOS predicted bullying and 5.6% of bullying was explained by the model \( R^2 = .056, F (2, 226) = 6.75, p<.05 \) (Table 4). IOS, as stated by the negative beta scores, were negatively associated with bullying but there was no significant prediction here as \( p>.05 \). As a result, the hypothesis was not confirmed.

Discussion

The goal of this research was to investigate how bullying and victimization are related to boredom and interpersonal closeness of students of senior high school. Research findings revealed that bullying had a positive relationship with boredom, while interpersonal closeness was not related with it. On the other hand, victimization showed a negative relationship with interpersonal closeness. In accordance with other findings Hanish, Guerra [62], Pepler et al. [63], Schäfer et al. [74], Swearer, Espelage [75], in our research boredom was proven to be a predictor of bullying, even though it explained a 4% of the variance of bullying scores. There is a trend showing that students who bored in the classroom tend to release this negative feeling by being involved in bullying occasions.

This finding highlights the need to investigate the relation between boredom and bullying/victimization by taking into account individual (e.g. personality traits) and school setting factors (e.g. school climate, teaching style and leadership). The findings of our study showed also that only boredom was a significant predictor of bullying and not interpersonal closeness Vander Zanden et al. [76]. A possible explanation for this unexpected result could be the fact that children may become bullies because of extreme relatedness of themselves with their peers, which means that because they feel so close to people around them, sometimes they want to impose themselves over the others.

Concerning the IOS, the results were in accordance with a really recent research which stated that children who are uncertain in their social relations and in teasing situations are more likely to avoid interaction with other children Reunamo et al. [46]. Indeed, IOS predicted negatively significantly victimization which means that the less related to other people around them are the students they are more likely to be victims of bullying. Our findings were in accordance with past researches Farrington [67], Thorne [68], Eder et al. [66] and Magklara et al. [77], concerning the prediction of bullying by gender as indeed boys bullied more than girls. What is more, similarly with what other researches Farrington [67], Thorne [68], Eder et al. [66], our findings did not show that gender predicted victimization.

Conclusion

In general the results of our study were really interesting because they introduced a relatively new relationship between boredom and bullying in a school context which was not investigated in the past [78-84]. What is more, according to our findings interpersonal closeness could be a factor which was unique contribution in the prediction of victimization \( \beta = - .15, t (222) = -2.26, p<.025 \). This means that the higher the relatedness they feel the students for other people around them the less chance they have to be victims in a bullying occasion. As a result, our hypotheses for bullying were partly confirmed as gender did not significantly predict victimization (Table 5).
related to victimization, a result which was in accordance with past researches and verified them [85-88]. The following issues need to be addressed when developing anti-bullying programs. Victims should work on developing their social skills and on building close relationships with other students, so as to boost their status in their peer group Andreou et al. [51], which group will then protect them from becoming victimized again.

Concerning the shortcomings of our survey, someone could argue that maybe we should include a wider range of classes and ages of students so as to see the results and findings about bullying and victimization in different ages and whether the phenomena are reducing or increasing as the students get older. A new survey could investigate whether the age or maturity of students can predict bullying and maybe in interaction with the levels of students’ empathy towards their peers at school.
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