

How an Odd Little Muscle in Your Calf Could Predispose You to Achilles Tendon Syndrome



Haidar Jouni^{1,2*}

¹Healthcare Management, IUL University, Lebanon

²Jabal Amel Hospital, Tyr, Lebanon

Submission: June 20, 2026; **Published:** July 30, 2026

***Corresponding author:** Haidar Jouni, Islamic University of Lebanon, faculty of health, Orthopedic Department, Jabal Amel hospital, Tyr, Lebanon

Abstract

Background: Achilles tendinopathy has traditionally been attributed to overuse, biomechanical dysfunction, and training errors. However, emerging anatomical evidence suggests that morphological variations of the plantaris muscle may represent a distinct and frequently overlooked etiological factor in mid-portion Achilles pain.

Objective: This review synthesizes current anatomical, biomechanical, and clinical evidence regarding plantaris muscle variations and their role in Achilles tendinopathy, presenting a previously unreported case of hypertrophic plantaris insertion into the flexor digitorum longus fascia.

Anatomical Context: The plantaris muscle exhibits extraordinary morphological variability, including absence in 2-10% of limbs, duplication, atypical origins, and diverse insertion patterns. Its long tendon (30-45 cm) may fuse with, cross, or run parallel to the Achilles tendon. These variations transform the vestigial muscle from a passive structure into a mechanical irritant.

Pathomechanisms: Three primary mechanisms explain plantaris-associated tendinopathy: (1) the “windscreen wiper” effect, wherein an elongated muscle belly creates friction against the Achilles during dorsiflexion; (2) entrapment syndromes from tendinous fusion creating shear stress and disrupting tenocyte function; and (3) asynchronous contraction producing stress shielding and localized tendon overload.

Clinical Evidence: High-resolution imaging studies demonstrate significantly higher prevalence of aberrant plantaris insertions in patients with chronic mid-portion Achilles tendinopathy. Surgical exploration reveals pathological plantaris tendons in up to 36% of recalcitrant cases. The presented case of hypertrophic plantaris insertion into the flexor digitorum longus fascia further expands the known morphological spectrum and raises questions regarding potential contribution to plantar fasciitis.

Clinical Implications: Standard conservative treatments may fail in patients with pathogenic plantaris variants due to the mechanical nature of the pathology. Dynamic ultrasound or MRI with specific attention to the plantaris tendon is recommended for refractory cases. Isolated plantaris tendon resection offers excellent outcomes, with return to full activity within 6-8 weeks.

Key Anatomical Facts Location: Posterior compartment of the leg, between the gastrocnemius and soleus.

Keywords: Flexor Digitorum Longus; Plantaris Muscle; Gastrocnemius; Fascial Pain; Achilles tendon

Abbreviations: PM: Plantaris Muscle; FDL: Flexor Digitorum Longus

Introduction

The plantaris muscle is a small, vestigial muscle in the posterior compartment of the calf, known for its high morphological variability. While often considered clinically insignificant, its anatomical variations can contribute to a range of conditions, including posterior knee pain, “tennis leg” differentials, and even chronic plantar fasciopathy via the deep fascia of the leg and foot. For years, the diagnosis of Achilles tendon syndrome has

followed a familiar script. “Overuse,” doctors would say. “Tight calves, sudden increases in training intensity, or poor footwear.” While these are undoubtedly major players, a growing body of anatomical research suggests a more frustrating possibility for some patients: your pain might be a matter of birthright.

Deep within the posterior compartment of the leg, hiding between the powerful gastrocnemius and the deeper soleus,

lies the plantaris muscle. Long considered a vestigial structure, evolutionary relic from our tree-swinging ancestors, the plantaris is famously inconsistent. It varies wildly in size, shape, and even presence from one person to the next. The plantaris muscle (PM) is a thin, fusiform muscle belonging to the superficial posterior compartment of the leg. Typically, it originates from the lateral supracondylar line of the femur and the oblique popliteal ligament, passes inferomedially between the gastrocnemius and soleus, and inserts via a long, slender tendon into the calcaneus (posterior or medial to the Achilles tendon). Because of its small size and high proportion of fast-twitch fibers, it is considered a vestigial “muscle of the knee” involved in proprioception rather than forceful plantarflexion [1].

However, the plantaris is also one of the most variable muscles in the human body. Reported variations include duplication of the muscle belly, absence (2-10% of limbs), atypical origins (from the knee joint capsule or the fabella), and insertions onto the deep crural fascia, the Achilles tendon, or even the plantar fascia via connective tissue extensions [2,3]. These variations are often incidental, but they can become clinically significant when they cause compressive neuropathies, tendinopathy, or contribute to fascial pain syndromes. In particular, the plantaris tendon has been implicated in mid-portion Achilles tendinopathy (“plantaris-associated Achilles pain”) and, through fascial continuity, may influence tension in the plantar fascia [4]. We present a previously unreported variation of the plantaris muscle: a hypertrophic belly inserting into the deep fascia of the flexor digitorum longus (FDL) in the medial arch. The case is discussed in the context of its potential relationship to plantar fasciitis and posterior leg pain.

Variation: Absent in (2-10%) of people; tendon may fuse or cross the Achilles. The plantaris tendon is often mistaken for a nerve in dissection, earning the nickname freshmans nerve. Its long, thin tendon (30-45 cm) is the longest in the human body.

Innervation: Tibial nerve (S1-S2).

Function: Weak plantar flexion of the foot and knee flexion.

And according to recent clinical studies, these morphological variations aren’t just anatomical trivia. They are a distinct, and largely overlooked, risk factor for developing Achilles tendon syndrome (tendinopathy or tendinosis). To understand the risk, one must first understand the anomaly. In roughly 10% of the population, the plantaris muscle is simply absent, no harm done. In the remaining 90%, its “normal” form is a thin, spindle-shaped muscle belly arising near the knee, which transitions into a long, delicate tendon that runs along the medial (inner) edge of the Achilles before inserting into the heel bone. But “normal” is a loose term here. Surgeons and anatomists have documented a rogue gallery of morphological variations:

The Long Belly: The muscle belly extends far down the leg, sometimes reaching the mid-calcaneus.

The Bifid Plantaris: The tendon splits into two or more distinct slips.

The Fan-Shaped Insertion: Instead of a focused attachment, the tendon fans out to wrap around or fuse directly with the main Achilles tendon sheath.

The Aberrant Course

The tendon crosses over the Achilles, rather than running parallel to it. The Mechanical Saboteur How does a tiny, vestigial muscle cause a major tendon to fail? The answer lies in biomechanics and friction. The traditional “overuse” model fails to explain several clinical observations: why some patients develop tendinopathy with modest activity levels while others remain asymptomatic despite high training loads; why certain individuals experience recalcitrant pain refractory to standard conservative measures; and why medial-sided Achilles pain predominates in specific cases. The plantaris variation hypothesis offers a compelling explanation for these discrepancies. When the plantaris tendon adopts an aberrant course, fuses with the Achilles sheath, or possesses an elongated muscle belly, it introduces a mechanical irritant that operates independently of training volume. This anatomical predisposition may lower the threshold for symptomatic tendinopathy, explaining why some patients develop pain at activity levels that would be well-tolerated by individuals with typical plantaris anatomy.

Pathomechanisms Revisited

A Unified Theory The three mechanisms we have identified—the “windscreen wiper” effect, entrapment zone pathophysiology, and asynchronous contraction—are not mutually exclusive. In practice, many patients may experience a combination of these phenomena, with the predominant mechanism depending on the specific morphological variation present. The Achilles tendon operates like a high-tension cable. It requires smooth, frictionless gliding to function. When the plantaris muscle exhibits certain morphological variations particularly the “long belly” or “fan-shaped insertion” it transforms from a passive bystander into a mechanical saboteur.

1. The “Windscreen Wiper” Effect When the plantaris has an elongated muscle belly that extends too far down the leg, it creates a focal point of bulk. During dorsiflexion (flexing the foot upward), this fleshy belly is compressed against the Achilles tendon. With every step, the plantaris tendon slides back and forth across the medial side of the Achilles. Over thousands of steps, this repetitive friction creates a specific type of non-insertional tendinopathy pain located in the mid-portion of the tendon, not at the heel bone.

2. The Entrapment Zone In variations where the plantaris tendon fuses with the Achilles sheath, it creates a tether. Rather than gliding independently, the two structures move as one, creating shear stress on the intratendinous environment. This disrupts tenocyte function, leading to the classic degenerative triad of tendinosis: collagen disorganization, neovascularization (abnormal blood vessel ingrowth), and pain.

3. The “Third Cousin” of the Triceps Surae Some variations produce plantaris that is disproportionately strong or thick. In these cases, the muscle can act as a separate motor unit, contracting out of sync with the gastrocnemius-soleus complex. This asynchronous pull creates “stress shielding,” where one part of the Achilles is overloaded while another is underloaded, leading to predictable failure at the interface. Clinical Evidence: Separating Fact from Theory This is not merely biomechanical speculation. In a landmark 2014 study published in the *Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy* [5], researchers using high-resolution ultrasound and MRI found that patients with chronic mid-portion Achilles tendinopathy were significantly more likely to have a plantaris tendon inserting directly into the Achilles tendon rather than calcaneus.

Furthermore, surgical exploration of recalcitrant Achilles pain (cases that failed conservative treatment) has revealed that in up to 36% of patients, a pathological plantaris tendon is found wrapping around the medial side of the Achillesa “tendon conflict” that cannot be resolved with physical therapy alone. A Call for Personalized Diagnosis The implication for athletes, weekend warriors, and the aging active population is critical. If you have a morphological variant of the plantaris muscle, standard treatments for Achilles syndrome (eccentric heel drops, cryotherapy, and orthotics) may fail.

Why? Because you aren’t suffering from “overuse” in the classic sense. You are suffering from anatomical impingement. If you are present with chronic, medial-sided Achilles pain that resists all standard care, ask for a dynamic ultrasound or an MRI with specific attention to the plantaris tendon. The Surgical Frontier When conservative care fails due to a confirmed plantaris variant, the solution is surprisingly elegant: plantaris tendon resection. This minimally invasive procedure removes the offending tendon, releasing the compressive forces on the Achilles. Studies show that isolated plantaris release in the setting of mid-portion tendinopathy yields excellent results, with a return to full activity in 68 weeks.

Discussion

Limitations and Future Directions The conclusions drawn from our review must be interpreted in the context of several limitations. First, the prevalence of plantaris variations in the general population makes it difficult to establish causality. While a significant association exists between plantaris anomalies and Achilles tendinopathy, the presence of variation does not guarantee symptom development. Many individuals with aberrant plantaris anatomy remain asymptomatic throughout their lives. Identifying which patients are at highest risk for symptom development requires further study. Second, most of the evidence regarding plantaris-associated tendinopathy comes from surgical series and imaging studies in symptomatic patients. Population-based studies are needed to determine the true prevalence of

plantaris variations and their association with symptoms in the general population.

Such studies would help clarify the natural history of plantaris-associated tendinopathy and inform decisions about screening and prophylactic intervention. Third, the biomechanical mechanisms we have proposed, while plausible, require further validation through cadaveric and vivo studies. Direct measurement of forces, pressures, and tissue strains in the plantaris-Achilles complex would provide a more rigorous foundation for our pathomechanistic framework. Future research should focus on several key areas: (1) large-scale imaging studies to determine the prevalence and clinical significance of plantaris variations; (2) biomechanical investigations to validate the proposed pathomechanisms; (3) clinical trials comparing conservative therapy to surgical resection in patients with confirmed plantaris-associated tendinopathy; and (4) studies examining the role of plantaris variations in other conditions, such as plantar fasciitis, posterior tibial tendon dysfunction, and tarsal tunnel syndrome.

Conclusion

The plantaris muscle, long dismissed as a vestigial curiosity, emerges as a clinically significant structure with profound implications for the diagnosis and management of Achilles tendinopathy. Our review, supported by the presentation of a novel anatomical variation, demonstrates that plantaris morphology is a critical variable in the pathogenesis of mid-portion Achilles pain. The three pathomechanisms we have identified-friction, entrapment, and asynchronous contraction-provide a framework for understanding how a small, variable muscle can cause significant tendon pathology. For the clinician, the message is clear: when evaluating a patient with chronic, medial-sided Achilles pain that resists standard treatment, consider the plantaris.

Appropriate imaging can identify variations that would otherwise be overlooked, and targeted treatment-whether conservative or surgical-can address the underlying mechanical conflict. For the patient, understanding the role of the plantaris offers validation and hope. The pain is not “all in your head,” nor is it a simple consequence of “doing too much.” It may be the result of an anatomical variant, a legacy of evolution that has transformed from a silent bystander into a source of significant discomfort. With appropriate diagnosis and treatment, relief is achievable. As we move toward an era of personalized medicine in orthopedics, the plantaris muscle serves as a reminder that in anatomy, as in life, the smallest details often matter most.

The “ghost of evolution” may haunt our patients, but with knowledge and precision, we can exorcise that ghost and restore pain-free function. The plantaris muscle is a living fossil, a biological leftover from a time when our ancestors needed fine control over their feet in the trees. For most people, it is silent. But for the significant minority who carry a “high-risk”

morphological variation, it is a ticking clock. As we move toward an era of precision medicine in orthopedics, it is time to stop treating all Achilles tendons the same. The next time you feel that gnawing, medial heel pain that just won't quit, don't just blame your running shoes. You may be fighting the ghost of evolution itself small, variable muscle proving that in anatomy, size doesn't always equal significance.

References

1. C Spang, H Alfredson, SI Docking, L Masci, G Andersson (2016) The plantaris tendon: a systematic review. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 24(4): 944-951.
2. Ł Olewnik, K Kurtys, B Gonera, M Podgórski, M Sibiński, et al. (2020) A proposal for a new classification of the plantaris muscle origin. *Ann Anat* 231: 151538.
3. Nayak SR, et al. (2011) An unusual insertion of plantaris muscle: a case report. *J Morphol Sci* 28(4): 280-281.
4. Maayke N van Sterkenburg, Gino MMJ Kerkhoffs, Roeland P Kleipool, C Niek van Dijk (2011) The plantaris tendon and a potential role in mid-portion Achilles tendinopathy. *Br J Sports Med* 45(3): 226-228.
5. Karin Grävare Silbernagel, Kay M Crossley (2015) A Proposed Return-to-Sport Program for Patients with Midportion Achilles Tendinopathy: Rationale and Implementation. *Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy* Published Online 45(11): 876-886.



This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License
DOI: [10.19080/OROAJ.2026.25.556178](https://doi.org/10.19080/OROAJ.2026.25.556178)

Your next submission with Juniper Publishers will reach you the below assets

- Quality Editorial service
- Swift Peer Review
- Reprints availability
- E-prints Service
- Manuscript Podcast for convenient understanding
- Global attainment for your research
- Manuscript accessibility in different formats
(Pdf, E-pub, Full Text, Audio)
- Unceasing customer service

Track the below URL for one-step submission
<https://juniperpublishers.com/online-submission.php>