

An Examination of Management Patterns and Perceived Health Status of Patients with Osteoporosis within Bayelsa State



Awala Peter A Owonaro^{1*}, Daughter Owonaro² and Timothy Gilbert³

¹Department of Clinical Pharmacy & Pharmacy Practice, Faculty of Pharmacy, Niger Delta University, Wilberforce Island, Bayelsa State, Nigeria

²College of Health Technology Otuogidi, Bayelsa State, Nigeria

³Department of Family Medicine, Niger Delta University, Wilber

Submission: November 07, 2023; **Published:** November 21, 2023

***Corresponding author:** Awala Peter A Owonaro, Department of Clinical Pharmacy & Pharmacy Practice, Faculty of Pharmacy, Niger Delta University, Wilberforce Island, Bayelsa State, Nigeria

Abstract

Osteoporosis, characterized by diminished bone mass and microarchitectural bone tissue degradation leading to heightened bone fragility and susceptibility to fractures, is a condition that often goes underdiagnosed and undertreated. This cross-sectional survey aimed to assess medication management and the perceived health status among a diverse group of osteoporosis patients, spanning a wide age range (20-80 years), within the general population of Yenagoa, Bayelsa State. The data collection period for this study extended from March 2022 to May 2022, and information was gathered using a questionnaire specifically developed by the researchers. The study population predominantly consisted of females (55%), primarily aged above 40 years, with a substantial proportion possessing technical education (33%). Most participants were married (82%), employed, and exhibited modal body weights within the range of 60-70 kg (25%). A noteworthy portion had a history of vitamin D supplementation (60%), although daily steroid use was less prevalent (56%). While a majority reported adherence to their prescribed medication regimen (64%), they displayed limited awareness of the potential risks associated with non-adherence (64%). Many participants sought treatment for osteoporosis from multiple healthcare facilities. The daily management of the condition was mainly overseen by bone specialists (40%) and local practitioners (34%).

The study revealed several challenges faced by participants, including difficulties with daily shopping (38%), days spent in a sick bed (38%), reduced mobility (36%), limitations in engaging in physical activities (40%), and difficulties in handling fabrics (38%). Nevertheless, most participants expressed confidence in their ability to live independently without assistance (52%). The study findings underscored suboptimal levels of management and a decreased perceived health status, as evident by a decline in physical functioning. Considering these findings, the researcher recommends further exploration of osteoporosis medication management and health-related statuses in this patient population using alternative assessment tools. This approach can provide a more comprehensive insight into their overall health status.

Keywords: Yenagoa; Bayelsa State; Osteoporosis; Kolokuma/ Opokuma, Southern Ijaw; Ogbia; and Imbia

Introduction

Osteoporosis, a systemic ailment characterized by reduced bone mass and microarchitectural bone tissue deterioration, poses a significant public health challenge. It is a condition that leads to heightened bone fragility and an increased susceptibility to fractures in critical anatomical locations such as the hip, spine, and wrist [1]. Osteoporosis is a global concern with far-reaching consequences. According to estimates, the worldwide prevalence of osteoporosis among women aged 50 years and older is projected to be 22% in 2020 [2], and this number is expected to rise in the

coming years. Despite its severe consequences and increasing prevalence, osteoporosis remains notably underdiagnosed and undertreated, with a treatment gap ranging from 50% to 80% [3,4]. The magnitude of the problem is further exacerbated by the fact that osteoporosis often remains asymptomatic until a fracture occurs [5]. This makes early diagnosis and intervention even more critical, as fractures have significant implications for patients' health-related quality of life. Patients who have experienced fractures due to osteoporosis face an increased risk of disability, hospitalization, and mortality [6]. The socio-economic impact of

osteoporotic fractures is substantial, with significant healthcare costs and a burden on healthcare systems.

The relationship between medication adherence and health-related quality of life in osteoporosis patients is a multifaceted issue that demands scrutiny. Non-adherence to medication regimens can have dire consequences, including a higher risk of fractures, increased healthcare costs, and a diminished quality of life [7,8]. Understanding the factors that contribute to non-adherence is essential to improving the quality of care for osteoporosis patients. Furthermore, assessing the health-related quality of life in these patients is critical to understanding the full extent of the impact of the disease and the treatment regimens on their well-being.

Recent research has indicated that medication adherence in osteoporosis patients is suboptimal, and factors such as the complexity of medication regimens, side effects, and patient beliefs can significantly affect adherence rates [4,7]. These factors underscore the need for a comprehensive examination of the relationship between adherence and health-related quality of life in this patient population. The current study aimed to address this significant gap in knowledge by conducting a cross-sectional survey to evaluate medication adherence and assess the health-related quality of life among osteoporosis patients within the general populace of Yenagoa, Bayelsa State. Considering the gravity of osteoporosis as a public health concern and the potential impact of non-adherence on patients' quality of life, this research contributes valuable insights that may inform strategies for enhancing the care and management of individuals with osteoporosis. It also highlights the need for a more comprehensive understanding of the patient population's health status, utilizing alternative assessment tools to provide a holistic view of their health needs.

In this context, the present paper aims to explore the medication adherence behaviors and the health-related quality of life among osteoporosis patients in Bayelsa State, offering a more in-depth understanding of the challenges they face and the potential areas for intervention and support.

Method

Study Design and Setting

The research design for this study was a Cross-Sectional Survey design. A cross-sectional survey design involves collecting data from a specific population at a single point in time. The area of the study was Yenagoa metropolis of Bayelsa state of Nigeria. Yenagoa is reported to have an area of 706km³ with a population of 352,285 from the 2016 National census projected to 2017. English language, Ijaw, and Epie-Atissa are the major dialects of the area. The study area shares common boundaries with Kolokuma/ Opokuma, Southern Ijaw, Ogbia, and Imbiana

respectively. Occupationally, the people of Yenagoa engage in business, farming, fishing, and civil servants.

Target Population and Sampling

The population of this study used was comprised of male and female osteoporosis patients within the age brackets of 20 and 80 years living in Bayelsa State, from March 2022 to May 2022. A non-probabilistic sampling technique was used for this study. Snowballing was done for the patient population to achieve a possible even distribution of the respondents across the region of the study. Patients were approached at their convenience. For this study, a sample size of one hundred (100) was obtained.

Instrumentation and Measures

A researcher-constructed questionnaire was used in the study. The instrument was made of three sections, section A, section B, and Section C. Section A contained questions about demographic characteristics whereas Section B and Section C contained questions about adherence and health-related quality of life of patients with osteoporosis for the study. A pilot survey with ten (10) copies in a nearby Hospital in Yenagoa having a similar characteristic to the study population, reported a 0.78 Chronbach's alpha.

Ethical Clearance, Data Collection/Analysis

After receipt of the letter of ethical clearance from the College of Health Technology, Otuogidi, ethical committee, participants of this study were approached at their convenience. The essence of the study was explained, and the instrument was administered. It was usually made sure that the participant filled out the instrument or was helped in filling out the instrument and was retrieved immediately. Data was analyzed using appropriate statistical tools (SPSS 27). Descriptive statistics were run, and results were shown in tables and charts.

Results

Socio-demographic characteristics of patients with osteoporosis in Bayelsa state, Nigeria

The modal demographic responses in this study were more females (55%) of ages above 40 years with vocational or technical education (33%), married (82%), employed, and having modal body weights of 60-70kg (25%). This is shown in Table 1 below.

Management of Patients with Osteoporosis

The study reveals that most of the participants in this study have been diagnosed with osteoporosis (99%) and are on vitamin D (60%) but not usually on daily steroids for more than three months before the study (56%). They claim to have been taking their medication as prescribed by the physician (64%) but confess to not understanding the risk of not taking the medication (s)

for osteoporosis (64%). The study in the region also revealed that although most of the participants report having received treatment for osteoporosis at more than one healthcare facility, the day-to-day management of osteoporosis is said to be handled by bone specialists (40%) and other local practitioners (34%). This is shown in Tables 2 & 3 below.

Table 1: Sociodemographic characteristics of patients with osteoporosis in Bayelsa state, Nigeria.

Item	Response pattern	Percentage
Gender	Male	45
	Female	55
Age	18-24	0
	25-29	2
	30-34	16
	34-39	19
	above 40	63
	level of education	Primary
	Secondary	12
	vocational/technical	33
	Tertiary	8
	Others	29
Marital status	Single	18
	Married	82
Employment	Unemployed	20
	self-employed	30
	Employed	50
weight in Kg	45-50	12
	50-60	18
	60-70	34
	70-80	25
	above 80	11

Table 2: Management of patients with osteoporosis in Bayelsa state, Nigeria.

S//N	Item	Yes	No
1	Have you been diagnosed with osteoporosis (weak or brittle bone)	99	1
2	Do you take medication for osteoporosis?	60	40
3	Do u take calcium and/or vitamin D?	60	40
4	Have you ever taken daily steroid medications (Medrol, prednisone) for longer than 3 months?	44	56
5	Do you take your medication (s) for osteoporosis as prescribed by the Physician?	64	32
6	Do you understand the risk benefits of Not taking the medication (s) for osteoporosis?	36	64

Table 3: Management of patients with osteoporosis in Bayelsa state, Nigeria.

S/N	Item	Response	Freq.
1	Who manages your osteoporosis?	PHC physician	12
		bone specialist	40
		Nurse	8
		Pharmacist	6
		Others	34
2	Have you received treatment for osteoporosis at more than one healthcare facility?	Yes	50
		No	50

Perceived Health Status of Patients with Osteoporosis

Participants reported a modal self-acclaimed average quality of life which is much better compared to one year ago after taking medications (52%). The practical daily life osteoporosis life quality report was that for a few days, they were able to do daily shopping or errands (38%), they were in a bed or chair for most

of the days (38%), for most days they were able to walk as much as needed to do (36%), and for few days they were having trouble bending, lifting or stopping (40%). Participants also reported that they sometimes had problems with changing the type of clothes they wear because of difficulty in dressing (38%) but feel confident that they could live on their own without assistance (52%). Tables 4-6 below contain the above information.

Table 4: Perceived health status of patients with osteoporosis in Bayelsa state, Nigeria.

S/N	Item	Response	Freq.
1	Would you please choose the number that best indicates how you would rate your quality of life related to health as an osteoporosis patient?	10	12
		9	20
		6	42
		3	26
		0	0
2	Compared to one year ago, how has your overall quality of life changed, if any?	the best it had ever been	8
		much better	52
		no change	32
		much worse	4
		the worst it has ever been	4

Table 5: Perceived health status of patients with osteoporosis in Bayelsa state, Nigeria.

S/N	Item	Responses				
		all days	most days	some days	few days	no days
1	How often were you able to do daily shopping or errands?	0	26	32	38	4
2	How often were you in a bed or chair for most of the days?	0	24	34	38	4
3	How often were you able to walk as much as you needed to do?	0	36	34	30	0
4	How often did you have trouble bending, lifting, or stopping?	8	16	36	40	0

Table 6: Perceived health status of patients with osteoporosis in Bayelsa state, Nigeria.

	Always	very often	Sometimes	rarely	Never
	Count	Count	Count	Count	Count
Have you had to change the type of clothes you wear because of difficulty in dressing?	4	22	38	16	20
often did you feel confident that you could live on your own without assistance?	8	18	52	22	0

Discussions of Findings

The findings of this study illuminate several key aspects of osteoporosis management and the quality of life among individuals in the study region. Notably, the study revealed an improved awareness of osteoporosis; however, it also identified areas of concern, such as average adherence to osteoporosis management and a lack of knowledge regarding the consequences of not adhering to prescribed medication management.

Medication management adherence

While the study indicates that a significant proportion of participants entrusted the day-to-day management of their osteoporosis to bone specialists (40%) and other local practitioners (34%), it is essential to recognize the importance of adherence to prescribed medication management. This is in line with recommendations from the literature, which underscore the need for early detection, treatment, and patient education to prevent deterioration and disability, improve prognosis, enhance quality of life, and reduce the risk of premature death [3].

It is worth noting that studies have highlighted challenges related to medication management adherence, with a significant proportion of patients failing to adhere to their osteoporosis treatment, particularly in the first three to six months of therapy (Kothawala, 2007). This underscores the need for strategies to improve medication adherence, such as follow-up phone calls or visits, which have shown promise in enhancing compliance (Cramer, 2006). Additionally, research supports alternative dosing regimens, such as weekly bisphosphonate dosing versus daily, or monthly dosing versus weekly, to promote better compliance (Cooper, 2006; Emkey, 2005; Recker, 2005).

Quality of Life

The study also reports a low quality of health status among individuals with osteoporosis, manifesting as physical discomforts related to daily activities, mobility, and clothing preferences. This observation aligns with previous research indicating that individuals with osteoporosis tend to experience a reduced quality of life, even in the absence of fractures [9]. Some studies have identified these differences in significant social activities [10]. It is important to note that osteoporosis itself may not directly affect the quality of life but rather its complications, particularly fractures, emerge as the primary factors contributing

to the decline in quality of life [11,12].

Conclusion

These study findings highlight the need for comprehensive interventions that address both medication management adherence and the factors contributing to reduced quality of health status among individuals with osteoporosis. The development of educational programs, follow-up strategies, and alternative dosing regimens may contribute to more effective management and enhanced quality of life for this patient population [13-25].

Public Health Implications and Recommendations

The study's findings underline the suboptimal management levels and a decreased perceived health status among osteoporosis patients in the Yenagoa region of Bayelsa State [26-30]. The implications of these findings necessitate a comprehensive approach to address the challenges posed by osteoporosis in this This includes:

- i. Developing educational programs for healthcare providers to enhance their understanding of osteoporosis, early diagnosis, and treatment strategies.
- ii. Establishing patient education initiatives to improve medication adherence and raise awareness of the condition's significance [30-35].
- iii. Integrating a multidisciplinary approach to osteoporosis management, considering both medical and psychosocial aspects.
- iv. Conduct further research using alternative assessment tools to gain a more comprehensive understanding of the health status and needs of this patient population.

Contribution to knowledge

In conclusion, the study contributes to the existing body of knowledge by shedding light on the challenges and opportunities for osteoporosis management in the Yenagoa region of Bayelsa State. It emphasizes the importance of a holistic approach to healthcare delivery to improve the quality of life and well-being of individuals affected by osteoporosis [36-40].

References

1. Cui A, Li H, Wang D, Junlong Zhong, Yufeng Chen, et al. (2022) Global, regional prevalence, incidence and risk factors of knee osteoarthritis in population-based studies. *eClinical Medicine* 29: 100857.

2. Allen KD, Thoma LM, Golightly LM (2022) Epidemiology of osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 30(2): 184-195.
3. Altmann R, Asch E, Bloch D, G Bole, D Borenstein, et al. (1986) Development of criteria for the classification and reporting of osteoarthritis. Classification of osteoarthritis of the knee. Diagnostic and Therapeutic Criteria Committee of the American Rheumatism Association. *Arthritis Rheum* 29(8): 1039-1049.
4. Suri P, Morgenroth DC, Hunter DJ (2012) Epidemiology of osteoarthritis and associated comorbidities. *PM R* 4 (Suppl): S10-19.
5. Palazzo C, Nguyen C, Lefevre-Colau MM, Francois Rannou, Serge Poiraudreau, et al. (2016) Risk factors and burden of osteoarthritis. *Ann Phys Rehabil Med* 59(3): 134-138.
6. Zhao J, Huang H, Liang G, Ling-Feng Zeng, Weiye Yang, et al. (2020) Effects and safety of the combination of platelet-rich plasma (PRP) and hyaluronic acid (HA) in the treatment of knee osteoarthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Muskuloskelet Disord* 21(1): 224.
7. MacFarlane LA, Mass H, Collins JE, Elena Losina, Jeffrey N Katz, et al. (2023) Response to intra-articular cortisone injections in knee osteoarthritis patients with and without effusion on ultrasound: a pilot study. *Osteoarthr Cartil Open* 5(2): 100361.
8. Dekker TJ, Aman ZS, DePhillippo NN, Jonathan F Dickens, Adam W Anz, et al. (2021) Chondral lesions of the knee: an evidence-based approach. *J Bone Joint Surg (Br)* 103(7): 629-645.
9. Calcei JG, Ray T, Sherman SL, Jack Farr (2020) Management of large focal chondral and osteochondral defects of the knee. *J Knee Surg* 33(12): 1187-1200.
10. Trofa DP, Hong IS, Lopez CD, Allison J Rao, Ziqing Yu, et al. (2023) Isolated osteochondral autograft versus allograft transplantation for the treatment of symptomatic cartilage lesions of the knee: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Sports Med* 51(3): 812-824.
11. Healy WL, Della Valle CJ, Iorio R et al. (2013) Complications of total knee arthroplasty: a standardized list and definitions of the Knee Society. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 471(1): 215-220.
12. Cheuy VA, Foran JRH, Paxton RJ, Michael J Bade, Joseph A Zeni, et al. (2017) Arthrofibrosis associated with total knee arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty* 32(8): 2604-2611.
13. Ji JH, Park SE, Song IS, Hanvit Kang, Ji Yoon Ha, et al. (2014) Complications of medial unicompartmental knee arthroplasty. *Clin Orthop Surg* 6(4): 365-372.
14. Ma Q, Liao J, Cai X (2018) Different sources of stem cells and their application in cartilage tissue engineering. *Curr Stem Cell Res Ther* 13(7): 568-575.
15. Huang K, Li Q, Li Y, Zhihao Yao, Daowen Luo, et al. (2018) Cartilage Tissue Regeneration: The roles of cells, stimulating factors and scaffolds. *Curr Stem Cell Res Ther* 13(7): 547-567.
16. Conger A, Gililand J, Anderson L, Christopher E Pelt, Christopher Peters, et al. (2021) Genicular nerve radiofrequency ablation for the treatment of painful knee osteoarthritis: current evidence and future directions. *Pain Med* 22 (Suppl 1): S20-S23.
17. McCormick ZL, Cohen SP, Walega DR, Lynn Kohan (2021) Technical considerations for genicular nerve radiofrequency ablation: optimizing outcomes. *Reg Anesth Pain Med* 46(6): 518-523.
18. Swanson JL (2023) Genicular nerve radiofrequency ablation. *JAAPA* 36(3): 32-36.
19. Weninger P (2023) Joint preservation in patients with grade-IV osteoarthritis of the knee – 12-months results after a multimodal approach using radiofrequency ablation and platelet-rich plasma supercharged stem cell-rich fat graft in 42 consecutive patients. *J Surg* 8: 1914.
20. Froschauer SM, Holzbauer M, Wenny R, Manfred Schmidt, Georg M Huemer, et al. (2020) Autologous fat transplantation for thumb carpometacarpal joint osteoarthritis (liparthroplasty): a case series with two years follow-up. *J Clin Med* 10(1): 113.
21. Holzbauer M, Schmidt M, Mihalic JA, Dominik Duscher, Stefan Mathias Froschauer, et al. (2022) Liparthroplasty for thumb carpometacarpal joint osteoarthritis: a case series with median 5 years follow-up. *J Clin Med* 11(21): 6411.
22. Jo CH, Lee YG, Shin WH, Hyang Kim, Jee Won Chai, et al. (2014) Intra-articular injection of mesenchymal stem cells for the treatment of osteoarthritis of the knee: a proof-of-concept clinical trial. *Stem Cells* 32(5): 1254-1266.
23. Lee WS, Kim HJ, Kim KI, Gi Beom Kim, Wook Jin, et al. (2019) Intra-articular injection of autologous adipose tissue-derived mesenchymal stem cells for the treatment of knee osteoarthritis: a phase IIb, randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trial. *Stem Cells Transl Med* 8(6): 504-511.
24. Kim KI, Lee WS, Kim JH, Jung-Kwon Bae, Wook Jin, et al. (2022) Safety and efficacy of the intra-articular injection of mesenchymal stem cells for the treatment of osteoarthritic knee: a 5-year follow-up study. *Stem Cells Transl Med* 11(6): 586-596.
25. Lapuente JP, Dos-Anjos S, Blazquez-Martinez A (2020) Intra-articular infiltration of adipose-derived stromal vascular fraction cells slows the clinical progression of moderate-severe knee osteoarthritis: hypothesis on the regulatory role of intra-articular adipose tissue. *J Orthop Surg Res* 15 (1): 137.
26. Berstock JR, Beswick AD, Lopez-Lopez JA, Michael R Whitehouse, Ashley W Blom, et al. (2018) Mortality after total knee arthroplasty: a systematic review of incidence, temporal trends, and risk factors. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 100(12): 1064-1070.
27. Saltzman BM, Leroux T, Meyer MA, Bryce A Basques, Jaskarndip Chahal, et al. (2017) The therapeutic effect of intra-articular normal saline injections for knee osteoarthritis: a meta-analysis of evidence level 1 studies. *Am J Sports Med* 45(11): 2647-2653.



This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License
DOI: [10.19080/OROAJ.2023.22.556090](https://doi.org/10.19080/OROAJ.2023.22.556090)

Your next submission with Juniper Publishers will reach you the below assets

- Quality Editorial service
- Swift Peer Review
- Reprints availability
- E-prints Service
- Manuscript Podcast for convenient understanding
- Global attainment for your research
- Manuscript accessibility in different formats
(Pdf, E-pub, Full Text, Audio)
- Unceasing customer service

Track the below URL for one-step submission
<https://juniperpublishers.com/online-submission.php>