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Introduction

Internal fixation is a common surgical technique used to stabilize thoracolumbar burst fractures [1]. This condition contributes to approximately 10-20% of all thoracolumbar spine fractures, which encompass 90% of all spine fractures [2-5]. Burst fracture is caused by compressive axial load on the vertebrae which results in the collapse of the corpus, it may cause spinal canal compression and spine instability [3,6]. Because of the inherent instability associated with burst fractures, generally it is preferred to fix spine rigidly to increase fusion rate as well as to provide stability [6-9].

Transpedicular screws are widely used in thoracolumbar spine fixations with the advantage of enhancing joint stability. This technique had shown a great effect on increasing fusion rate in biomechanical studies [10,11]. However, there appears to be very few reports demonstrating the most appropriate levels of fixation required to achieve stability following a single segment burst fracture. While a long segment fixation of the spine may provide more stability and consequently higher rate of fusion, the rigidity of the construct coupled with the immobilization of many spine segments will result in reduced range of motion [3,12]. It has also been reported that a rigid spinal implant may eventually result in the fatigue failure of implants [13]. In contrast, a short segment spinal constructs involving one level above and one level below the fracture have been shown to provide adequate stability whilst maintaining the flexibility of segments. However, high rates of failure including progressive kyphosis due to bent, broken and loosen screw as well as kyphosis due to continuous vertebral collapse without implant failure have been demonstrated in various reports [1,11,13-15]. Therefore, the aim of any internal fixation used for the stabilization of spinal fractures is not only to maintain

Abstract
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its stability, but also renovation of anatomical geometry of the vertebra such as preserving vertebral height and restoration of sagittal alignment [9].

The purpose of this study was to perform a biomechanical comparison on the effect of different segmental posterior pedicle screw and rod (PSR) fixation in unstable thoracolumbar burst fracture using computational modeling. Since biomechanical investigation of various fixations performance demands comprehensive information about stress and strain distribution throughout the spine segment motion, finite element (FE) analysis was deemed most appropriate approach. Previous articles have proven the reliability of FE analyses for investigation purposes [16-25] and the technique was thus used for the present study.

Materials and Methods

Table 1: Material properties of FE model used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>Constitutive Model</th>
<th>Young’s Modulus (MPa)</th>
<th>Position Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cancellous bone</td>
<td>Neo-Hookean</td>
<td>E=100</td>
<td>v= 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortical bone</td>
<td>Orthotropic elastic</td>
<td>E1=8000, E2=8000, E3=12000</td>
<td>v1=0.4, v2=0.3, v3=0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior elements</td>
<td>Neo-Hookean</td>
<td>E=3500</td>
<td>v= 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annulus</td>
<td>Holmes-Mow</td>
<td>E=1</td>
<td>v= 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nucleus</td>
<td>Mooney-Rivlin</td>
<td>C1=0.12, C2=0.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facet</td>
<td>Neo-Hookean</td>
<td>E=30</td>
<td>v= 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedicle screws and rod</td>
<td></td>
<td>E=110000</td>
<td>v= 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titanium mesh cage</td>
<td></td>
<td>E=110000</td>
<td>v= 0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Various three-dimensional FE models (Table 1) were developed in this investigation including:

i. Intact human thoracolumbar spine (T11-L3),
ii. Fixed thoracolumbar spine with short segmental rods and pedicle screws with fusion cage,
iii. Fixed thoracolumbar spine with long segmental rods and pedicle screws with fusion cage,
iv. Fixed thoracolumbar spine with medium segmental rods and pedicle screws with fusion cage.

Intact spine 3D Computational Model

The geometrical representation of the model was obtained using thin slice (1mm) CT images. The CT images of an entire spine column, from the cervical to the sacrum were acquired. Axial slices of CT images from the thoracic spine to the lumbar region (T11- L3) were imported into image processing software Amira 4.1 (Visage Imaging, United States) for segmentation using masking method. The geometrical construction was an important process within the finite element modeling, especially when evaluating a complex, multi component structure like spine. Therefore, during reconstruction process minimum smoothing were applied to maintain the natural geometry of bones.

Spinal soft tissues such as facet articulation and intervertebral disc (IVD) were not clearly detectable in CT images. Therefore, we attempt to reconstruct them geometrically in three-dimensional modeling software SolidWorks (SolidWorks (2009), Dassault Systemes, USA). Intervertebral disc was modeled as a body consists of two parts, inner nucleus pulposus and outer annulus fibrosus (Figure 1). Reconstruction of facet articulation was done manually where the upper and lower surfaces of facet were extracted from adjacent vertebrae above and below the facet respectively and connected subsequently (Figure 2).
Constitutive models for every structure in the thoracolumbar spine were derived from previously published FE studies [26-32]. An orthotropic elastic model was used to represent the cortical bone, while neo-Hookean model was used for cancellous bone and facet cartilage. Mooney-Rivlin was used to simulate the hyperelastic behavior of the nucleus pulposus as has been used in previous studies [32,33]. The annulus fibrosus was modeled as a matrix component using a compressible Holmes-Mow model. Seven spinal ligament group including anterior longitudinal ligament (ALL), posterior longitudinal ligament (PLL), intertransverse ligament (ITL) and capsular ligament (CL), ligamentum flavum (LF), interspinous ligament (ISL), supraspinous ligament (SSL) were simulated as non-linear tension-only spring elements characterized by displacement-force curve obtained from literature [26,27].

The entire 3D thoracolumbar spine model was adjusted precisely to achieve perfect contact surface before meshing with volume tetrahedral mesh. Additional checks were carried out on elements aspect ratio and cross elements to ensure the elements quality and consequently the finite element model (Figure 3).

Fixed Spine 3D Computational Model

The intact thoracolumbar spine was manipulated to simulate a burst fracture at L1. The worst case of burst fracture was applied in this study. Total corpectomy was performed on the injured level (L1) and vertebral body replacement was performed by placing a fusion cage, Figure 4. Anterior longitudinal ligament (ALL), posterior longitudinal ligament (PLL) and the whole IVD were removed to simulate real surgical environment. Spinal cord decompression by means of vertebral body replacement after complete corpectomy and spine stabilization with posterior pedicle screw based rigid fixation were also simulated.
Three fixation methods were investigated:

i. Two-Level fixation (4PSSR) using four pedicle screws fixed one level above and one level below the injured segment supported with short length rods,

ii. Three-Level fixation (6PSMR) using six pedicle screws fixed one level above and two levels below the injured level supported with a medium length rod and

iii. Four-Level fixation (8PSLR) by eight pedicle screws embedded two levels up and two levels below fractured vertebra with long rod. The pedicle screws were in the appropriate position based on the advice of an experienced spine surgeon, Figure 4.

To minimize variability associated with implant structures during the computational process, consistent screw and titanium mesh cage geometry were employed for all the models. The length and outer diameter of the pedicle screws were 45 mm and 4.5 mm [34], respectively. Different length of titanium rods appropriate for the length of the fixation was applied in this simulation corresponding to the number of pedicle screws. However, the outer diameter of these rods remained the same at 6mm [34]. The titanium mesh cage simulated in this study had a diameter of 19 mm, and the holes featured on its surface were removed [6]. All pedicle screws and cage were assumed unthreaded to reduce FEM intricacy.

Boundary and Loading Conditions

In this thoracolumbar (T11-L3) model, the inferior surface of last segment was fixed at L3, which permitted free rotation in three principal axes but the translation in all three axes was constrained. Motion analyses were performed by applying similar load conditions which has been recommended for spine implants testing [35]. A pure moment of 7.5Nm was applied to the superior surface of T11 about the axis through the centre of the vertebral body in three motion planes to simulate three main physiological functions: flexion/extension, lateral bending (left/right) and axial rotation (left/right) [31-33]. The fixed spine finite element model was preloaded using 200 N compression axial load which correspond to normal upper body weight [34,36].

Statistical Analysis

Parametric test was used to determine mean differences and was applied where appropriate. Statistical significance was accepted at p<0.05. Statistical analysis was carried out using statistical package SPSS (version 15.0).

Results

Validation of the Intact Model

Model validation was performed by measuring the range of motion (ROM) in the simulated spine and comparing the data to those obtained from previous reported studies [37-39]. The ROM of intact FE model under pure 7.5 Nm moment in three main motion plains were used for comparison (Figure 5). Our FE analyses demonstrated good agreement of the present model to that of the experimental data.
Motion Analyses of Fixed Spine

The biomechanical effects of pedicle screw and rod (PSR) system with three various configurations of spine fixation on ROM were measured in all three motion planes. The data obtained is listed in Table 2 and the percentage ROM compared to the normal spine is shown in Figure 6. 8PSLR configuration was the stiffest construct with the lowest rotational displacement. The reduction in ROM for 8PSLR was 94.5%, 85.2%, 64.2% and 76.0% over intact spine in flexion, extension, axial rotation and lateral bending, respectively. For 6PSMR the values were 84.7%, 74.5%, 41.6% and 41.3%. The lowest ROM reduction percentage was observed in 4PSSR system with values of 81.7% (flexion), 40.8% (extension), 23.1% (axial rotation) and 37.9% (lateral bending).

Table 2: Range of motion in degree of fixed and normal (NS) thoracolumbar spine.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NS</th>
<th>8PSLR</th>
<th>6PSMR</th>
<th>PSSR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flexion</td>
<td>7.63</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extension</td>
<td>4.59</td>
<td>0.679</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>2.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral Bending (Left)</td>
<td>6.12</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>3.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral Bending (Right)</td>
<td>6.27</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>4.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial Rotation (Left)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial Rotation (Right)</td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Von Mises Stress on IVD

Average values of equivalent Von Mises stress on IVD at T11-T12 and L2-L3 in three different constructs are shown in Figure 7. In 8PSLR and 6PSMR configurations, the highest stress on the IVD was found in the two last vertebrae (L2-L3) while in the 4PSSR system, the highest stress was in T11-T12. It was demonstrated that lateral bending involved with highest Von Mises stress compared to other loading conditions. The contour map of Von Mises stress distribution on IVD between L2-L3 during lateral bending showed that this was the most critical disc due to maximum amount of shear stress (Figure 8). The average Von Mises stress on the IVD between L2 and L3 vertebra of 4PSSR, 6PSMR and 8PSLR was 511, 528 and 229 KPa, respectively. It is important to note that the mean stress value for 4PSSR and 6PSMR were similar for all simulated physiological loadings.

Figure 6: Normalized ROM of fused motion segments (T11-L3) to the normal (NS) for three fixation constructs: eight pedicle screws (8PSLR) system; six pedicle screws (6PSMR) constructs and four pedicle screws (4PSSR) construct.

Figure 7: Average von Mises stress on the IVD in instrumented thoracolumbar between 1) T11-T12 with 8PSLR system; 2) L2-L3 with 8PSLR system; 3) T11-T12 with 6PSMR system; 4) L2-L3 with 6PSLR system; 5) T11-T12 with 4PSSR system and 6) L2-L3 with 4PSSR system.
Von Mises Stress on Rods and Screws

During flexion, stresses generated through the 8PSLR fixation system of pedicle screws and rods were significantly higher than that of 6PSMR and 4PSSR ($p < 0.01$). Similarly during extension and lateral bending, 8PSLR construct demonstrated significantly higher stresses than 6PSMR and 4PSSR in sequence. However, this order of generated stress was not observed during axial rotation. In this simulation, 4PSSR generated significantly higher stress than 8PSLR and 6PSMR constructs respectively ($p < 0.01$).

Discussion

The use of posterior instrumentation following a burst fracture involving the thoracolumbar region is well documented. This technique aims to restore spinal stability while protecting the spinal cord from further injury [3]. It is apparent that in many studies, the use of pedicle screws and rods are becoming increasingly common as this technique delineates the need for an anterior approach hence reducing the likelihood of developing viscera injuries [40]. However, not all fracture configurations can be treated using rods and screws alone. The use of spinal cages is necessary to maintain vertebral height and provide the biomechanical stability required to support the function of the spine. In the present study, a total loss of the vertebral corpus was simulated to determine the maximal extend of the disease condition. This study conducted using a finite element method to analyze stress distribution of the instruments, i.e. pedicle screws and rods, as well as the adjacent intervertebral discs. The results of this study confirm that longer spine instrument construct is more likely to cause reduced range of motion but reduces the stresses within the adjacent intervertebral discs. The final choice of the length of a spinal construct, however, still needs to be justified clinically. The functional outcomes that need to be achieved in any clinical applications may differ between patients. Nevertheless, this study has provided some useful information which has not been addressed in previous studies:

a. while most reports have committed their work to focus only on the lumbar region, this study considers the thoracolumbar dynamics which clinically is the most mobile segment of the lower spine,

b. The present analyses involved the thoracolumbar region with four motion segments involving 5 vertebrae (T11-L3). Most of the previous studies on lumbar spine used a fewer motion segment with less vertebrae models,

c. this is, as far as the authors of this paper is aware, the only finite element model which uses a burst fracture modeled against the normal spine model.

In this study, the applied FE model geometry analog input was modeled against the conditions of a normal non-virtual human spine. This provided us with the ability to determine the limitations during rotational displacement following spinal fusion and, the influence of different PSS configurations. Besides, the probability of further disc injury because of high amounts of loading stress was also predicted within this model. However, some limitations were inherent in our FE model, which differ from others reported in the literature. Even though the non-linear behavior was considered for the spinal ligaments, vertebral bodies was not simulated with sophisticated properties [41,42]. However, we are confident that such assumption didn't violate the reliability of our model as the results of this study showed good agreement with other published experimental work. The annulus fibrosis was modeled as Holmes-Mow matrix and the fluidic behavior of the nucleus pulposes was simplified with Mooney-Rivlin hyperelastic properties. This may result in inaccuracies of the data when translating the FE model results into practical applications. To circumvent this problem, the FEM analyses used in this study was validated against established normal spine models and more relevantly, to biomechanical models which have been validated in previous studies. In addition, a study had also shown that the cage may sink into the vertebral body over time as it is composed of mainly cancellous bone [43].

This invariably results in reduced vertebral body height, which in turn causes more strain to be generated into the
implants. Ultimately, implants may fail causing severe morbidity to patients [3]. This scenario could not be simulated within the system, as there are inherent limitations present within the programming. Future designs may wish to incorporate these changes, thereby producing a good predictive model for further studies. More importantly, the mechanism described as distraction-compression principle, which involve the contraction of fibers namely the annulus fibrosis when the cage was inserted, was not modeled. This would have provided more accurate changes observed which would closely mimic clinical conditions.

Regardless of these limitations, the present study has shown that the developed spine model predicted the changes which would have been expected clinically and serve to be a useful model to determine conditions observed in burst fractures involving the thoracolumbar region. This would ultimately allow the simulation of spinal fractures using various fixation methods in this area to be done, providing better insight to the disease condition.

**Conclusion**

This study reports a three-dimensional finite element analysis which can predict the short term biomechanical post-operative effects of different PSR systems. It is shown that while a longer segment implant may provide better stress distribution thereby reducing the stress in the adjacent IVD, this results in reduced range of motion of the spine segments. As far as the authors of this paper is aware, this is the only FEM analyses/programming on the stability of the spinal construct for the treatment of thoracolumbar burst fracture. This could not have been achieved using mere biomechanics experiments and therefore serves as a more useful tool for future analyses wanting to determine the best treatment for this condition.
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