Imaging Features and Morphology of Synovial Chondromatosis of the Spine-Case Report
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Abstract

Primary synovial chondromatosis represents an uncommon benign neoplastic process with hyaline cartilage nodules in the synovial tissue of a joint tendon sheath or bursa. The nodules may enlarge and detach from the synovium. These loose bodies are responsible for many clinical symptoms. Numerous data is available about the synovial chondromatosis of the knee joint, followed by the hip and ankle. Although much has been written about synovial chondromatosis of the large joints, only few case reports of synovial chondromatosis of the spine reported in the English literature and there is no data at all in Russian medical literature [1,2]. Although synovial chondromatosis is generally considered to be benign, cases of condrosarcoma arising from synovial chondromatosis have been reported [3,4]. Synovial chondromatosis should be considered in the differential diagnosis when evaluating additional mass in epidural or paraspinous tissue adjacent to the facet joint, especially when there is evidence of bone erosion. Fluid or myxoid signal centrally with thin or nodular peripheral enhancement is also very characteristic. Compression on bone and nerves is caused by calcified loose or attached to synovium bodies.
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Case Report

Clinical Data, Imaging studies, Histology

A 61 year-old female presented with complains of a painful feeling in her back for about a year, during the last several months the pain symptoms progressively worsened. The patient was admitted to the N.N. Blokhin National Medical Cancer Center for prospective diagnosis and treatment strategy. The core biopsy with computer navigation was performed. Grossly, small fragments of grey-white irregular soft cartilaginous tissue were observed. Basic routinely processed slides showed discrete clusters of hyaline cartilage without prominent cytologic atypia of chondrocytes, small foci of dystrophic calcifications. Even without evidence of malignancy, the tissue was suggested to be “suspicious for low-grade chondrosarcoma” [5].

Figure 1: Axial CT (a) and coronal and sagittal reconstructions (b, c) showing the soft tissue mass around the left L5-S1 facet joint containing characteristic calcifications and causing smooth erosion of left posterior cortex of the L5 vertebral body, left L5 pedicle, anterior and posterior surface of left L5-S1 facet joint.
CT and MRI images (Figures 1 & 2) demonstrate a heterogeneous mass centered on left L5-S1 facet joint with epidural extension and posterior paraspinal component. CT images show a few faint punctuate calcifications within a predominantly non-calcified mass causing chronic smooth erosion of left posterior cortex of the L5 vertebral body, left L5 pedicle, anterior and posterior surface of left L5-S1 facet joint. On MRI the mass consists of a tissue with predominantly dark signal on T1, T2 and T2 FS sequences with little foci of contrast enhancement. The epidural component of the mass spreads along the left anterior wall of the spinal canal, compresses the left S1 nerve root within the neural foramen. The posterior paraspinal component pushes back the left multifidus and longissimus thoracis muscle. The patient underwent the surgical removal of the tumor with osteoplasty of bone defect at the N.N. Blokhin National Medical Cancer Center.

**Histologic Findings of the Surgical Specimen**

Grossly, the pathology specimen consisted of nodular fragments of grey-white irregular structures that ranged from 3-14 mm in largest dimension. The tissue looked mostly fleshy with some evidence of cartilage and granularity. Synovium was not obviously seen in the specimen. Microscopic sections revealed some isolated foci of dystrophic calcifications [6]. No surrounding reactive synovium was obviously seen. Discrete clusters of hyaline cartilage without evident cytologic atypia of chondrocytes were very characteristic for synovial chondromatosis. At high magnification, chondrocytes within cartilaginous myxoid matrix showed some occasional plump morphology and pleomorphism. All those features were more consistent with late phase of the development of synovial chondromatosis [7] (Figures 3-7).
Figure 4: Degenerative changes of the matrix with local calcifications might be seen throughout the lesion. Hematoxylin-eosin X 200.

Figure 5: Well developed isles of cartilage tissue are very characteristic for the late, developed stage of synovial chondromatosis. The nodules may enlarge and detach from the synovium. Enchondral ossification of cartilage nodules is a frequent feature of well-developed synovial chondromatosis, even well-developed peripheral rings or eggshells of lamelar bone might be seen. Hematoxylin-eosin X 100.

Figure 6: Medium power photomicrorraph of chondroid nodules, chondrocytes are clustered in lacune shape spaces, some myxoid areas are present, slight nuclear atypia and hyperchromasia might be seen. All those features are common for the well developed stage of synovial chondromatosis. Hematoxylin-eosin X 100.
Figure 7: Dystrophic features, myxoid and chondroid matrix of the well developed nodules with some ischemic changes. Calcifications are throughout the lesion. Hematoxylin-eosin X 100.

Discussion

As it is seen in this case report, diagnosing synovial chondromatosis on frozen section or core biopsy can be difficult given the nondiagnostic findings of fibrous and synovial tissue, foci of dystrophic calcifications and reactive changes. When viewed in combination with proper clinical and radiographic information, synovial chondromatosis is likely to be diagnosed correctly. However, synovial chondromatosis should be differentiated with various benign and malignant entities [8,9]. The differential list should include first of all chondrosarcoma. Chondroblastic cells in early stages of the development of synovial chondromatosis might mimic several primary bone tumors and create some difficulties for the pathologist. Features of the metastatic nodules with clear cell features might mimic clear cell chondrosarcoma. Extensive cartilage metaplasia is always a diagnostic dilemma, and is often worrisome, especially if the biopsy is small. Tumoral calcinosis, degenerative joint disease, extraskeletal chondroma and hamartoma should always be considered in the differential. Prominent multifocal calcifications in the cartilages of joints and intervertebral disks are characteristic feature for tophaceous pseudogout (tumoral calcium pyrophosphate dehydrate crystal deposition disease). Making the correct diagnosis might be extremely difficult. Tumors that present as a single cartilaginous nodule within the joint capsule are sometimes referred to as synovial chondromas.

Conclusion

Primary synovial chondromatosis is a benign formation of islands of chondrocytes within the synovial lining of joints resulting in thickened synovium and forming subsynovial chondroid nodules. Synovial chondromatosis was initially classified as metaplasia, but more recent data prove the neoplastic nature of the process. Cartilage nodules may extrude through the synovium, detach, calcify and form so called loose bodies [11].

Numerous papers have been written about synovial chondromatosis in large joints, but limited reports of synovial chondromatosis of the spine reported in the literature. The purpose of our study was to review the radiographic and pathologic findings that can help to distinguish synovial chondromatosis of the spine from several benign and some malignant entities: tumoral calcinosis, degenerative joint disease, extraskeletal chondroma and hamartoma, tophaceous pseudogout, primary and secondary chondrosarcoma. Sinovial chondromatosis of the spine is rare, radiographic imaging has certain characteristic features. Only analysis of numerous cases can give the complete picture of the changes in the spine joints. Therefore, it is essential to get a combination of data from clinician, radiologist and pathologist [6].
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