Cervical Sagittal Alignment Parameters-What do we Know So Far? A Mini-Review
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Introduction

Being the most mobile segment of spine, cervical spine tends to reciprocally align itself in both sagittal and coronal planes in response to any alteration in the contour throughout the reminder of vertebral column [1]. Similarly, alterations in the cervical spinal alignment are also compensated by other spinal segments in order to maintain horizontal gaze. With recent advances in the concepts of spinal instrumentation, Neuro-monitoring abilities and deformity correction surgeries, the need for understanding the intricacies of cervical deformity is well-acknowledged [2]. Multiple radiological cervical alignment parameters have thus far, been described; and a complete understanding of these parameters is necessary to understand the concept of cervical deformity surgeries.

Cervical Sagittal Alignment-Radiological Parameters

Cervical lordosis

The initial papers on the dynamic behaviour of cervical spine were described in 1950’s and different techniques of calculating cervical spinal lordosis have been discussed since then [3,4] Figure 1. Weir et al. [5] observed the incidence of straightening or inversion of cervical lordosis in asymptomatic population to be around 20%. The different ways of measuring CL include Cobb method, Jackson physiological stress lines, Harrison’s posterior tangent method and Ishihara index [6-8]. Using the Cobb method, the angle subtended by the perpendicular drawn to lines along the inferior end plate of C2 or tangential to C1 anterior and posterior tubercles; and the inferior end plate of C7 defines CL.

Figure 1: Sagittal image of cervical spine depicting A. Cervical lordosis-angle subtended by the perpendicular drawn to lines along the inferior end plate of C2 or tangential to C1 anterior and posterior tubercles; and the inferior end plate of C7 (Cobb’s method) and C0-C2 lordosis-angle subtended by the perpendicular drawn to lines along the inferior end plate of C2 and the McGregor’s line (Cobb’s method).
Jackson physiological stress technique defines CL as the angle between the lines along the posterior vertebral walls of C2 and C7. Harrison’s posterior tangent techniques describes CL as the sum of all segmental cervical curvature angles obtained by drawing tangents along the posterior vertebral walls of C2-C7 vertebrae. Ishihara cervical curvature index defines the ratio of the sum of horizontal lines drawn between vertical line between C2 and C7 and the postero-inferior edges of C3, C4, C5, C6; and the vertical between poster-inferior edges of C2 and C7. A higher Ishihara index indicates lordosis, while lower index indicates kyphosis and “zero” index indicates a straight spine. Although all these described techniques of CL measurement have good intra- and inter-observer reliabilities, Cobb’s method has been shown to underestimate CL.

CL increases with age [8]. While 77% of CL happens at C1-2 level, the remaining 23% is contributed by the remaining sub-axial cervical spine [9]. While the mean C0-C2 lordosis is reported to be 22.4±8.5, the mean contribution at sub-axial spine (C2-C7) is 9.9±12.5 [10].

**C2-C7 Sagittal vertical axis**

C2-C7 SVA or sagittal vertical axis deviation is the distance between the C2 plumb line and the poster superior end plate of C7 vertebra Figure 2. Theoretically, an increase in the C2-C7 SVA indicates an increase in the flexion moment of the cervical spine and a consequent increase in the energy expenditure of the posterior cervical spinal musculature in order to maintain an erect posture. The mean SVA on erect radiographs in asymptomatic individuals has been has been reported around 21.3mm [11]. The correlation between C2-C7 SVA and disability is relatively weak, nevertheless there is evidence (albeit not very clear) that C2-C7 SVA more than 40mm is associated with significant disability. This is currently a measure of great interest in cervical deformity and further research can help us obtain a definitive knowledge on the exact usefulness of this parameter [9].

**Chin brow vertical angle**

Figure 3 Chin Brow Vertical Angle (CBVA) is another indirect measurement of cervical sagittal alignment, measured either with clinical photographs or whole spine radiographs with the patient standing upright, neutral neck and extended hips and knees [9]. It is the angle between the line joining chin and brow and the vertical. When the head is tilted down, CBVA is positive; and when the head is tilted up, CBVA is negative. In a prospective study reported by Suk et al. [12,13] CBVA ranging between -10° and +10° is recommended for optimal horizontal gaze following kyphosis correction surgery in ankylosing spondylitis.

**T1 slope, neck tilt angle and thoracic inlet angle**

Figure 4 Attempts to bring out radiological parameters analogous to pelvic parameters have resulted in the description of T1 slope (T1S), Neck tilt angle (NTA) and Thoracic Inlet angle (TIA). TIA was described by Lee at al. [14] as the angle subtended by the line connecting the upper end of sternum and middle of T1 upper endplate and line perpendicular to upper end plate of T1. Although this can be measured on lateral radiographs, Computerized Tomography (CT) and Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) provide better visualization of these salient radiographs landmarks [14]. This was described as an equivalent of pelvic incidence (PI).
Basic principles of cervical deformity correction

The decision making in cervical deformity correction surgery is based on the following factors: neurological symptoms or deficit, flexibility of the deformity, location of deformity, presence of anterior or posterior ankylosis, concomitant thoracic or thoracolumbar deformity, and prior history of spinal surgery and general systemic status of patient. Overall, the goal of the surgery is to safely achieve an optimum cervical alignment based on the aforementioned cervical parameters. Nevertheless, the concepts are still evolving; and further research and experience can help us better understand the relative importance of each of these factors.
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Table 1: Cervical Deformity Classification Ames et al. [20].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Main Deformation Descriptor</th>
<th>C2-7 SVA</th>
<th>CBVA</th>
<th>CL minus T1S</th>
<th>Myelopathy (mJOA)</th>
<th>SRS-Schwab Classification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CL Apex of sagittal deformity at cervico thoracic junction</td>
<td>0: &lt; 4cm</td>
<td>0: 1° to 10°</td>
<td>0: &lt; 15°</td>
<td>0: 18 (none)</td>
<td>T, L, D or N (curve)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2 Apex of sagittal deformity at cervico thoracic junction</td>
<td>1: 4 to 8cm</td>
<td>1: -10° to 0° or 11° to 25°</td>
<td>1: 15° to 20°</td>
<td>1: 15-17 (mild)</td>
<td>0, +, or ++ (PI-LL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVJ Deformity located at cranio vertebral junction</td>
<td>2: &gt; 8cm</td>
<td>2: &lt;-10° or &gt;25°</td>
<td>2: &gt; 20°</td>
<td>2: 12-14 (moderate)</td>
<td>0, +, or ++ (PT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVJ Deformity located at cranio vertebral junction</td>
<td>&lt;12 (severe)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0, +, or ++ (C2-7 SVA)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cervical deformity classification

Table 1 owing to the relative rarity of cervical deformities, there is currently no universally accepted classification system similar to thoracolumbar deformities. Nevertheless, the classification system proposed by Ames et al. [19] is the currently most accepted one. It includes 5 deformity descriptors and 5 modifiers including: C2-C7 SVA, CBVA, T1S-CL, modified Japanese Orthopedic Association score and SRS-Schwab classification for thoracolumbar deformity. Although the intra- and inter-observer reliability of this system has been reported to be satisfactory, the exact usefulness of this proposal is still unclear.
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