Open access Journal of
Neurology & Neurosurgery
ISSN: 2476-0501

Juniper Publishers
key to the Researchers

Opinion
Volume 20 Issue 1 - October 2026
DOI: 10.19080/OAJNN.2026.20.556029

Open Access J Neurol Neurosurg
Copyright © All rights are reserved by Jimmie Manning

Psilocybin as a Relational Experience: Communication, Meaning, and the Social Conditions of Safety

Jimmie Manning*

University of Nevada, Reno, USA

Submission: October 05, 2026; **Published:** October 26, 2026

***Corresponding author:** Jimmie Manning, University of Nevada, Reno, USA

Abstract

Psilocybin is increasingly discussed as medicine, public policy, and recreational practice, yet psychedelic experiences are not pharmacological events alone. They are anticipated, navigated, interpreted, and remembered within relationships. This opinion article argues for a relational communication approach to psilocybin and other psychedelic-mushroom experiences. Existing work suggests that preparation, social support, setting, shared experience, and later storytelling may influence both meaning and distress, although none guarantees safety or establishes therapeutic efficacy. A before-during-after framework identifies anticipatory communication, communicative care during altered states, and post-experience meaning-making as priorities for study. The article neither advocates psychedelic use nor recommends psilocybin as a therapeutic intervention. Instead, it calls for social-scientific research on how people manage vulnerability, care, consent, risk, and relational consequences around psychedelic experiences.

Keywords: Psilocybin; Psychedelic mushrooms; Communication; Relationships; Harm reduction; Social science

Mushrooms Are Becoming a Social Question

Psilocybin has moved from the cultural margins into an unusually crowded social space. It is discussed as a possible therapeutic agent, a target of drug-policy reform, a regulated nonmedical service in Oregon, a recreational substance, and a source of spiritual or personal meaning. A 2026 nationally representative RAND survey found that 23% of U.S. adults supported legal psilocybin use, but attitudes varied substantially according to why it might be used and how it might be supplied [1]. The important point is not that legalization is inevitable. It is that psilocybin is becoming a public question whose meanings are no longer organized by prohibition alone.

That transition makes social context harder to treat as background. Hellman argues that contemporary psychedelic discourse can oscillate between utopian enthusiasm and dystopian concern while neuroscientific and medical framings abstract altered states from culture, meaning, and relationships [2]. Slunecko similarly warns that the scientific "taming" of psychedelics can decontextualize experiences whose effects may depend partly on setting and meaningful relationships [3]. Those critiques do not displace neurological or clinical research. They identify something it cannot answer by itself: what people do with psychedelic experiences together.

The purpose here is not to determine whether recreational psilocybin use is desirable or whether psilocybin should be used therapeutically. Rather, it begins with the observation that psychedelic experiences already occur in recreational, communal, regulated, and clinical contexts, where they are experienced not only individually but relationally. Reports of experiences as meaningful, intimate, or transformative are therefore of interest not as evidence of safety or clinical efficacy, but as evidence that psychedelic experiences can become consequential within people's relationships. These relational dimensions warrant systematic study.

The Brain Does Not Trip Alone

Psilocybin can alter perception, affect, cognition, self-processing, and experiences of time and salience [4]. Yet the brain does not encounter psilocybin in social isolation. The person whose brain is responding also has relationships, identities, memories, expectations, and cultural narratives. A communication-as-

constitutive-of-relationships perspective therefore asks not only what a substance does to an individual, but how communication participates in producing what the experience becomes within relational life [5]. The pharmacological event occurs within a body; the psychedelic experience occurs within a life. Controlled research offers an instructive example. MacLean and colleagues found enduring increases in Openness among participants whose high-dose psilocybin sessions met criteria for complete mystical experiences [6].

Those sessions did not occur in an interpersonal vacuum: participants underwent extensive preparation with session monitors, and the authors later noted preparation and support as possible contributors to differences from earlier hallucinogen research [6]. This does not show that interpersonal support caused personality change. It shows why relational conditions should not simply be discarded as methodological noise. Collective settings make the question still more explicit. In an observational study of psychedelic ceremonies and retreats, perceived communitas - a sense of togetherness and shared humanity - was associated with subsequent well-being and social connectedness [7]. Again, association is not causation, and ceremonial settings are not equivalent to friends taking mushrooms at home. The finding nevertheless supports a broader proposition: psychedelic experiences may become consequential partly through social processes occurring around them.

Before, During, and After the Trip

A useful social-scientific framework is temporal. Before an experience, people communicate about motives, expectations, boundaries, privacy, location, prior experience, and responsibility. Even qualitative work with another psychedelic, ayahuasca, shows how anticipation, preparation, ritual, and interpersonal guidance become part of what participants understand the event to be [8]. For psilocybin, these processes invite questions about who proposes use, how consent is negotiated, what companions promise one another, and whether anyone has agreed to remain able to respond if distress occurs. During an altered state, social presence can become communicative care. Harm-reduction research with recreational psychedelic users has associated greater use of harm-reduction practices with less challenging experiences, while participants repeatedly emphasized set and setting [9]. Practice-oriented guidance on trip sitting describes a sober companion as providing calm presence, environmental safety, reassurance, attention to changing needs, and escalation to emergency help when necessary; it also explicitly distinguishes trip sitting from psychedelic-assisted therapy [10]. From an interpersonal perspective, these are practices of responsiveness, emotional regulation, boundary negotiation, and temporary caregiving. Presence, however, is not inherently protective.

A frightened, controlling, mocking, exploitative, or equally intoxicated companion may amplify vulnerability. A person may welcome touch or experience it as intrusive; want conversation or need silence; interpret an intense disclosure as intimacy or later regret having made it. The relevant variable is therefore not simply whether other people are present, but what those people do with one another under conditions of altered perception and reduced certainty. Afterward, communication participates in deciding what happened. Gashi, Sandberg, and Pedersen found that psychedelic users often narratively transformed frightening "bad trips" into valuable experiences and integrated them into larger life stories [11]. Such retrospective meaning-making can help people live with difficult experiences, but it can also normalize continued use or obscure harm [11]. Friends, partners, families, clinicians, and communities may affirm, challenge, revise, or impose interpretations. The psychedelic event creates an experience; communication helps turn experience into biography.

Relational Safety Is Not a Guarantee

Psilocybin is often described as relatively low in physiological toxicity and dependence potential, but low risk on some dimensions does not mean risk-free. In a survey of nearly 2,000 people describing their most challenging psilocybin-mushroom experience, difficult reactions included fear, paranoia, and perceived risk of harm; lower social support and physical comfort were associated with greater difficulty [12]. A 2026 prospective cohort of Oregon's state-regulated psilocybin services likewise reported high satisfaction and relatively few serious safety events overall, while documenting several serious adverse reactions and important limits on generalization from a selected, uncontrolled sample [13]. A relational approach should therefore resist both romanticism and panic. Companions may reduce distress, but relationships can also generate coercion, unwanted disclosure, sexual or physical boundary violations, caregiving burdens, stigma, and conflict over how an experience should be remembered. Informal support is not psychotherapy, and a caring friend is not a substitute for clinical screening, professional treatment, or emergency services. Social context is better understood as one possible source of both protection and risk.

A Social Science of Mushrooms

The next step is not another general claim that "set and setting matter." Social scientists can specify what that phrase contains. Research should examine anticipatory communication: how partners, friends, groups, and facilitators negotiate expectations, consent, roles, boundaries, and responsibility before use. It should distinguish relational configurations - solitary use, dyads, friendship groups, sober trip sitters, communal or retreat environments, regulated nonmedical services, and clinical settings - rather than treating all social presence as equivalent. During experiences, researchers could investigate reassurance, silence, humor, touch, emotional disclosure, conflict, monitoring, power, and decisions about help-seeking. After experiences, longitudinal work could examine storytelling, disclosure, privacy, contested memories, identity claims, integration, relationship change, and occasions when one person's meaningful experience becomes

How to cite this article: Jimmie M. Psilocybin as a Relational Experience: Communication, Meaning, and the Social Conditions of Safety. Open Access J Neurol Neurosurg 2026; 20(1): 556029. DOI: 10.19080/OAJNN.2026.20.556029

another person's relational burden. Qualitative interviews, dyadic interviews, ethnography, surveys of relational practices, and prospective mixed-methods designs are especially well suited to these questions.

This agenda also requires attention to inequality. Access to regulated services, trusted companions, private space, health care, and culturally affirming support is uneven. Gender, sexuality, race, social class, disability, culture, and relationship power may shape who feels safe disclosing use, whose interpretation of an experience is treated as credible, and who performs the labor of care when an experience becomes difficult. Research should therefore ask not merely whether someone used psilocybin, but with whom, under what relational expectations, through what communicative practices, and with what interpersonal consequences.

Such work can remain agnostic about whether people should use psychedelics. Its task is descriptive, explanatory, and critical: to understand practices that are already taking place and to identify conditions associated with care, vulnerability, harm, meaning, and help-seeking. As psychedelic-mushroom use becomes more visible, that is an increasingly important domain for communication, sociology, psychology, anthropology, public health, and family research.

Conclusion

Neurological, pharmacological, and clinical research remain essential to understanding psilocybin. They cannot fully explain why an altered state becomes, for different people, an intimate bonding experience, a terrifying event, a spiritual revelation, a relational rupture, or simply an unusual Saturday night. The brain may make psychedelic experience possible; relationships help determine what people do with that possibility. If shrooms are becoming a more visible part of contemporary social life, social science should start studying the people who travel together.

References

1. Senator B, Priest M, Kilmer B (2026) Public Opinion on Legalizing Psychedelics. RAND Corporation RR-A4334-2.
2. Hellman M (2025) We need to talk about shrooms. Nordic Studies on Alcohol and Drugs 42(3): 201-203.
3. Slunecko T (2025) The taming of the shrooms: Understanding current discursive struggles over the psychedelic in the light of their historical antecedents. Journal of Psychedelic Studies 9(2): 183-191.
4. Pepe M, Hesami M, De La Cerda KA, Perreault ML, Hsiang T, et al. (2023) A journey with psychedelic mushrooms: From historical relevance to biology, cultivation, medicinal uses, biotechnology, and beyond. Biotechnology Advances 69: 108247.
5. Manning J (2020) Communication is... The relationship. In: Tyma A, Edwards A (eds) Communication Is... Perspectives on Theory. Cognella pp: 33-48.
6. MacLean KA, Johnson MW, Griffiths RR (2011) Mystical experiences occasioned by the hallucinogen psilocybin lead to increases in the personality domain of openness. Journal of Psychopharmacology 25(11): 1453-1461.
7. Kettner H, Rosas FE, Timmermann C, Kartner L, Carhart-Harris RL, et al. (2021) Psychedelic communitas: Intersubjective experience during psychedelic group sessions predicts enduring changes in psychological wellbeing and social connectedness. Frontiers in Pharmacology 12: 623985.
8. Ryan J, Smith LA (2015) It's like shrooms times a million, plus aliens: An interpretative phenomenological analysis of experiential accounts from recreational ayahuasca users. Invited presentation, 19th Annual Transpersonal Psychology Section Conference, Scarborough, UK.
9. Palmer M, Maynard OM (2022) Are you tripping comfortably? Investigating the relationship between harm reduction and the psychedelic experience. Harm Reduction Journal 19(1): 81.
10. Alcohol and Drug Foundation (2024) What is trip sitting?.
11. Gashi L, Sandberg S, Pedersen W (2021) Making "bad trips" good: How users of psychedelics narratively transform challenging trips into valuable experiences. International Journal of Drug Policy 87: 102997.
12. Carbonaro TM, Bradstreet MP, Barrett FS, Frederick SB, Katherine AM, et al. (2016) Survey study of challenging experiences after ingesting psilocybin mushrooms: Acute and enduring positive and negative consequences. Journal of Psychopharmacology 30(12): 1268-1278.
13. Korthuis PT, Cook RR, Gregoire D, Christopher S Stauffer, Jason B Luoma, et al. (2026) Safety and mental health outcomes of Oregon state-regulated psilocybin services. JAMA Network Open 9(8): e2630608.

How to cite this article: Jimmie M. Psilocybin as a Relational Experience: Communication, Meaning, and the Social Conditions of Safety. Open Access J Neurol Neurosurg 2026; 20(1): 556029. DOI: 10.19080/OAJNN.2026.20.556029

This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License
DOI: 10.19080/OAJNN.2026.20.556029

Your next submission with Juniper Publishers will reach you the below assets

- Quality Editorial service
- Swift Peer Review
- Reprints availability
- E-prints Service
- Manuscript Podcast for convenient understanding
- Global attainment for your research
- Manuscript accessibility in different formats
  (Pdf, E-pub, Full Text, Audio)
- Unceasing customer service

Track the below URL for one-step submission
https://juniperpublishers.com/online-submission.php

How to cite this article: Jimmie M. Psilocybin as a Relational Experience: Communication, Meaning, and the Social Conditions of Safety. Open Access J Neurol Neurosurg 2026; 20(1): 556029. DOI: 10.19080/OAJNN.2026.20.556029