Introduction

The endoscopic approach has been introduced in the clinical practice in 1980 with the aim to remove the prolapsed disc and free the compressed nerve using the least disruptive surgical technique and assuring the resolution of the symptoms and a faster return back to normal life activity [1,2]. Over the years we have assisted to an extraordinary technical improving either in terms of quality of images and development of many different endoscopic instruments. Furthermore, many publications have proven the efficacy of this surgical technique in achieving an adequate nerve root decompression with a small percentage of symptoms recurrence or complications. As matter of fact the endoscopic removal of a lumbar disc prolapse is becoming the standard technique in the treatment of lumbar discs protrusion [1]. Endoscopic technique may have, however, some disadvantages like a longer learning curve when compared with the microsurgical technique and the problem to reach easily the L5-S1 space by a transforaminal route because of a high iliac crest. This specific disc space can be however reached also by an interlaminar endoscopic approach [3]. This double technical possibility raises the questions which one may be the best route or it would be better to say when to use one or the other [4]. In order to share our experience regarding this matter we have identified a group of such patients.

Materials and Methods

We selected retrospectively 36 patients operated on at the L5-S1 level between January 2016 and June 2017. Twenty-four underwent an interlaminar route and the rest, twelve a transforaminal one (Table 1). The operations were all performed by the first author. The mean age was 39 years old (range between 23 and 67) with a male preponderance of 55%. The duration of symptoms varied from 7 weeks to 4 months. The main complain was leg pain in all cases and in three (12.5%) was also present a difficulty in tip-toes walking.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approaches</th>
<th>Interlaminar</th>
<th>Transforaminal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Results

Immediately after the operation all the patients were fine with an improvement of the pain, we have not had any type of complications and all of them went home 24 hours after the operation. Unfortunately within three months we observed 3 symptomatic disk prolapse recurrence (8.3%) (Table 2). All three underwent a new operation via an inter laminar approach. Two always with an endoscopic technique; the other one, where the disk was centrally located and with some calcifications, we elected to perform a microsurgical standard approach. In all three cases the pain improved. At a median follow up of 12 months (range between 7 and 17 months) all 36 cases were fine and back to a normal life.

Table 2: Recurrent disk prolapsed with regard to the type of surgical approach used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approaches</th>
<th>Number of Patients</th>
<th>Recurrence</th>
<th>Complications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transforaminal</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3 (25%)</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interlaminar</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

The surgical technique we have used was the transforaminal one in all cases with favorable anatomy (low iliac crest or a downwards pointing disk prolapse) or the interlaminar one. In all cases the patients were in the prone position, under general anesthesia (GA) without muscles relaxant. For the transforaminal route a lateral entry point (about 12-14cm from the midline) by A-P and Lateral x-ray is identified and the lower part of the foramen is reached passing through the safe zone (Kambin’s Triangle [5]) and the foramen entered (Figure 1). At this point progressive tubes are inserted to dilate the muscle. If necessary same different size trimmers can be used to enlarge the foramen. The disc removal and the nerve root identification are done under direct vision. Also for the interlaminar approach the patient was under GA and placed in the prone position. With this technique a more midline approach is used, generally a couple of cm from the midline. However under AP x-ray controls the entry point is chosen (Figure 2), an eight mm skin incision length is performed and the muscles are dilated down until the lamina. In this approach the vision is very similar to the one we have under the microscope: the dura, the origin of the affected nerve root and the protruded disc are first identified and then the disk removed. The length of these two procedures is very similar being around 65 minutes. The interlaminar approach can, however, be performed in all cases with disregard to the height of the iliac crest. It is our opinion, however that the transforaminal one is less invasive in terms of anatomy disruption [6]. The types of approaches chosen with regard to the location of the disk are summarized in Table 3. We have also tried to analyze the three cases of recurrent disk with regard to the chosen approach and the disk location. The number are too small to make any firm conclusion but all three recurrences (25%) were in the group of transforaminal approach with the disk migrated upwards.

This consideration opens up the question about the best surgical approach with respect to the disk location. If the disk is migrated upwards there is no possibility that the disc can be removed easily by a transforaminal route. If, instead, the disk is at his normal disk-space level or it is migrated downwards, this can be the perfect indication for using a transforaminal route despite the height of the iliac crest because we would need a more acute angle trajectory.

Table 3: Distribution and surgical types of approach in relation to the disk’s location.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approaches</th>
<th>Foraminal Located and Upward Migrated</th>
<th>Paracentral and Centrally Located</th>
<th>Downwards Migrated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12 Transforaminal</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 Interlaminar</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If we consider the outcome looking at the Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) or at the Oswestry Disability Index (ODI) results we believe it is good. As matter of fact the VAS started from a median value of 5.6 and went down to a median VAS of 1.5 at twelve months (Graph 1). Regarding the ODI results we went from a starting value of 51% to a value of 10% at twelve months (Graph 2).
The results we have had from our series, although small, have confirmed that the endoscopic procedure has become the treatment of choice for lumbar disk herniation also for the L5-S1 level either by a translaminar or interlaminar approach if we are looking for a very minimal invasive surgical technique (VMIST). As other authors have pointed out [2] the interlaminar approach is the one which is more similar to the open microsurgical technique and therefore it needs a shorter learning curve. This approach is also more flexible in being able to reach a migrated disk. However the best clinical results can be achieved only if the approach choice keeps in mind the location of the prolapsed disk [7,8].
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