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Abstract
It is a prospective study of 30 cases of Cervical Spinal Trauma in which anterior cervical spinal stabilization and fusion was performed. Study span is three years, i.e from July 2005 to June 2008 with follow up period of one year and it was carried out in the Department of Neurosurgery, Quaid-e-Azam Medical College /Bahawal Victoria Hospital Bahawalpur.

Purpose of Study: It was to find out the efficacy and benefits of anterior cervical spinal stabilization procedures in cases of cervical trauma.

Methodology: 30 cases of cervical spinal trauma were included in this study. The Age range was 15 years to 40 years. Twenty three (23) were males and seven (7) were females with male to female ratio of 3.2:1.Most common cause of cervical spinal injury was road traffic accidents and most common site of traumatic involvement was C5/C6 cervical spinal level. All the patients were belonging to poor and middle socio-economic class. Nine (9) patients sustained complete cervical cord injury and they were quadriplegic and remaining twenty one (21) patients were quadripretic as a result of partial cervical cord injury. All patients were assessed clinically and radio logically. Plain X-Rays of cervical spine, AP&LAT. views, CT scan and MRI of cervical spine were obtained to get information of injuries in detail. In almost all the cases, anterior two columns of spine were disrupted resulting in instability and cord compression. In all the cases cervical traction was applied to get align the cervical column first and then anterior fixation and fusion was performed. All patients were mobilized early after surgery.

Results: Nine (9) cases with complete cord injury did not improve neurologically after surgery. Eleven (11) patients with partial cord injury and power of G 3/5 before surgery improved to G 4+/5 after surgery and ten (10) patients with power G 2/5 before surgery improve to G 3/5 after surgery. All patients were out of bed early, to prevent the complications of being bed ridden for long time.

Conclusion: Anterior cervical spinal fixation and fusion is an excellent way of cervical spinal stabilization in cases of cervical trauma. Patients improve neurologically with these procedures and they are mobilized early from the beds to prevent complications of being bed ridden for longer periods of time.
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Introduction
The vast majority of traumatic spinal column injuries involve the cervical spine, the most mobile and dynamic portion of the spinal column. The incidence of cervical spinal injuries compared to the thoracic, lumbar and thoraco lumbar level is about 60% of all these levels.

The most common cause of cervical spine injury is motor vehicle accidents, followed by falls. The vast majority of injuries occur in patients 15-30 years old. Males are three to four times as likely to sustain a traumatic vertebral column injury as females. The cause of spinal injury varies with age; notably the very young are the most commonly victim of pedestrian motor vehicle accidents and the very old most commonly suffer falls [1].

Spinal cord injury occurs through various countries throughout the world with an annual incidence of 15 to 40 cases per million, with the causes of these injuries ranging from motor vehicle accidents and community violence to recreational activities and workplace-related injuries. Survival has improved along with a greater appreciation of patterns of presentation, survival, and complications [2].

Cervical Spine (c-spine) injuries are the most feared of all spinal injuries because of the potential for significant deleterious sequelae. In a 1995 study from russia, 196 patients with spinal cord injury were admitted over 5 years in one centre. Injuries were: cervical, 49.0%; thoracic, 27.5%; and lumbar, 23.5% [3]. In the United States, 1.9-3.8% of adult emergency room presentations are
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Percentage 36.66%
Complete 33.33%
Partial 6.67%
Loss of total cord functions very good. Independent 9
Extent of Deficit Out Come Spinal cord injury Outcome after surgery.
No improvement at all but Good. Improved to an extent No. of Patients 30%

Table 1: X-Rays of cervical spine, AP&LAT. views, C-T scan and MRI of cervical had power of G 2/5 with sensory and sphincter dysfunction as well. some degree of sphincter dysfunction and ten (10, 47.6%) patients partial injury group had power of G 3/5 with intact sensations, with a result of partial cervical cord injury. Eleven (11, 52.38%) cases in injury and other twenty one (21, 70%) patients were quadripretic as complete cervical cord injury and they were quadriplegic after impinging upon the spinal cord.

Regarding neurological status, nine (9, 30%) patients sustained complete cervical cord injury and they were quadriplegic after injury and other twenty one (21, 70%) patients were quadripretic as a result of partial cervical cord injury. Eleven (11, 52.38%) cases in partial injury group had power of G 3/5 with intact sensations, with some degree of sphincter dysfunction and ten (10, 47.6%) patients had power of G 2/5 with sensory and sphincter dysfunction as well.

All patients were assessed clinically and radiologically. Plain X-Rays of cervical spine, AP&LAT views, C-T scan and MRI of cervical

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr.No</th>
<th>Spinal cord injury</th>
<th>Extent of Deficit</th>
<th>No. of Patients</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Out Come</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Partial</td>
<td>a)Power G 3/5 b)Sensations Intact c) Mild to Moderate Sphincter dysfunction</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>36.66%</td>
<td>very good, Independent regarding their daily activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Partial</td>
<td>a)Power G 2/5 b) Some Sensory Deficit c) Moderate to Severe Sphincter Dysfunction</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>33.33%</td>
<td>Good, Improved to an extent for less support for daily needs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Loss of total cord functions below the level of injury</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>No improvement at all but early mobilized after surgery.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
There were few complications as well (Table 2). Wound infection in (4) four (13.33%) cases which was treated by daily wound dressing and antibiotics after obtaining culture and sensitivity and were cured within two week time. Dural tear and CSF leakage in two 2 (6.66%) cases which were treated by head elevation and lumbar drainage of CSF. And these patients were also cured within fifteen (15) days. Five 5 (16.66%) patients with complete cord lesion developed bed sores, those had to be treated surgically with flap rotation (Table 2).

Table 2: Complication of surgery and trauma.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. No</th>
<th>Name of Complication</th>
<th>No. of Complication</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Wound Infection</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Dural Tear</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>06.66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Bed Sores</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All patients were mobilized early from their beds to prevent the complications of being bed ridden for longer periods of time and this was the main goal of cervical fixation and fusion procedures in these trauma cases.

Discussion

Over the past years, significant advances has taken place in basic research, imaging and in the treatment of cervical spine injuries. The pathophysiological understanding of spinal cord injury has been considerably improved by the basic research. Current management of spinal trauma is based on four principles: timely diagnosis, fracture reduction, spinal cord decompression and efficient immobilization to permit healing. Numerous methods of treatment has been developed to achieve anatomical alignment and optimal stability without decreasing vertebral column flexibility [3]. We applied all these four principles for the management of our cervical spine injured patients.

All patients undergoing surgical treatment for an acute cervical spinal injury with neurologic deficit at two institutions between March 1989 and May 1991 were reviewed retrospectively. Forty-three patients initially were evaluated. At one institution, patients with neurologic spinal injuries had surgical intervention within 72 hours of injury. At the other institution, patients underwent immediate closed reduction with subsequent observation of neurologic status for 10 to 14 days before undergoing surgical stabilization. This study indicates that patients who sustain acute traumatic injuries of the cervical spine with associated neurologic deficit may benefit from surgical decompression and stabilization within 72 hours of injury. Surgery within 72 hours of injury in patients sustaining acute cervical spinal injuries with neurologic involvement is not associated with a higher complication rate. Early surgery may improve neurologic recovery and decrease hospitalization time in patients with cervical spinal cord injuries [4]. Although our patients did not undergo early surgery as indicated in this study, even though our patients improved by later surgery as well.

All victims of major blunt trauma have been said to be at risk of cervical spinal injury. In a prospective study of 410 such patients at our institution, we identified 13 patients (6.12 per cent) with unstable cervical spines. Loss or defect of consciousness following injury (regardless of duration), neurological deficit consistent with cervical cord or nerve root injury and neck tenderness were significantly predictive of an unstable cervical spine. Immediate radiographic investigation of the cervical spine is mandatory in such patients, but may not be required in patients without these signs [5]. So all our patients were investigated fully by X-Rays, CT scan and MRI to obtain the details of injuries and evidences of instability.

The cervical spine locking plate is theoretically safer than the Caspar system because the posterior vertebral body cortex is not breached by the fixation screws, and the screws are less likely to back out anteriorly and irritate the esophagus. According to these results, the cervical spine locking plate system is biomechanically equivalent to and in some cases more stable than the Caspar system for fixation of a severe compressive flexion injury [6]. In all our patients, we used the cervical spine locking plate system due to its usefulness as mentioned in this article [7-10].

Conclusion

Anterior cervical spinal fixation and fusion is an excellent method of spinal stabilization in cases of trauma and other problems of cervical spine like tumors infections and degenerative diseases. It is especially indicated in cases where the vertebral bodies have been destroyed by the trauma and other disease processes and there is disruption of anterior two columns of spine. It is much more promising in cases of partial spinal cord injures when there is sparing of some cord functions. Even it is fruitful in complete cord injuries because in those cases, patients are early mobilized from the beds after stabilization procedures so that they are saved from the complications.
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