



Comparative Analysis of Three Nutraceutical Plants used in the Treatment of Type 2 Diabetes in Traditional Pharmacopoeia of Cameroon



Bebbe Fadimatou^{1*}, Doumta Charles Falang^{2*}, Ghomdim Nzali Horliane³, Eyenga Mougol Jean Sylvain¹, Ngangoum Eric Serge⁴

¹Department of Biochemistry, University of Yaounde I, Yaounde, Cameroon

²Department of Biomedical Sciences, Faculty of Health Sciences (FHS), University of Buea, Buea, Cameroon

³Department of Food process and quality control, University of Ngaoundere, Ngaoundere-Cameroon.

⁴School of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Catholic University Institute of Buea, Cameroon

Submission: May 30, 2023; **Published:** June 16, 2023

***Corresponding author:** Bebbe Fadimatou, Department of Biochemistry, University of Yaounde I, Yaounde, Cameroon. Doumta Charles Falang, Department of Biomedical Sciences, Faculty of Health Sciences (FHS), University of Buea, Buea, Cameroon. Email: bebbefa@yahoo.fr & cfdoumta@yahoo.fr

Abstract

Many modes of action have been explored in the fight against type 2 diabetes, including the use of drugs. But these drugs, in addition to their relatively high cost, are not without side effects. As alternative to these difficulties, traditional pharmacopoeia uses several nutraceutical plants in the treatment of type 2 diabetes, including: Vernonia amygdalina, Tetrapleura tetraptera and Leptadenia lancifolia decne. The fact that those plants are used for the same treatment, means that they contain similar contents. For this reason, the goal of this study targets the comparative analyses of bioactive compounds of Vernonia amygdalina, Tetrapleura tetraptera and Leptadenia lancifolia decne that can present similarity and justify their use in the treatment of type 2 diabetes, as well as to classify them based on their phytochemical characteristics. To overcome this, the phytochemical composition of those plants was assessed by using classical methods. The results show similitude values in some phytochemical parameters. In generally, Tetrapleura tetraptera has more energetical compounds compared to Vernonia amygdalina and Leptadenia Lancifolia Decne. Mineral contents, Vernonia amygdalina showed high level of magnesium and calcium. Zinc levels are similar in Vernonia amygdalina, Tetrapleura tetraptera, and Leptadenia Lancifolia Decne. Anti-nutritionals analyses revealed that Vernonia amygdalina has high levels of tannin, oxalate and saponine. Leptadenia Lancifolia Decne presents an important level of phytate and flavonoids. Vernonia amygdalina, Tetrapleura tetraptera, and Leptadenia Lancifolia Decne have comparable value of alkaloids and total polyphenol. The presence of those bioactive compounds in these plants can explain their use in the treatment of type 2 diabetes. For this reason, more investigations are needed to assess antioxidant and antidiabetic effects in view of formulation of nutraceutical drugs.

Keywords: Comparative analyses; nutraceutical plants; treatment; type 2 diabetes.

Introduction

Type 2 diabetes is a chronic disease that occurs when a person's blood sugar level is high because their body cannot effectively use the insulin it produces. It usually affects people aged 20-79 years and accounts for about 90% of diabetes cases worldwide. Type 2 diabetes is the fifth leading cause of death worldwide, raising the alarm and classifying it as a public health problem [1]. This problem needs specific management which consists of lifestyle changes, followed by pharmacological treatment including insulin if necessary [2]. Many modes of action have been explored to fight against type 2 diabetes, including blocking the potassium-dependent ATP pump in pancreatic β -cells (Sulfonylureas-

Glipizide); stimulation of Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptor- γ (Thiazolidinediones-Rosiglitazone); stimulation of adenosine mono-phosphate-activated protein kinase (Biguanides-Metformin) and modulation of Glucagon Like Peptide-1 activity (Incretins-Exematide). These agents act either by stimulating insulin secretion by β -pancreatic cells (sulphonamides), or by decreasing hepatic glucose production (metformin) or else at the reduction of post prandial blood glucose by inhibiting the activity of intestinal enzymes (α -amylases and α -glucosidases) [2]. However, the drugs, in addition to their relatively high cost, are not without side effects (fatal lactic acidosis (buformin, penformin),

nausea, vomiting and diarrhoea (metformin), visual disturbances, upper respiratory infection, sinusitis and weight gain); as a result of this, many of them, in the USA/Europe, have limited use, are not marketed, have almost restricted prescribing, and are sometimes even withdrawn from the market [3]. It has been reported that only 3 out of 20 patients are able to buy prescribed drugs in hospitals and only 1 out of every 1000 patients is able to consult a specialist [4]. As a result, there is a rich tradition in the use of herbal medicines for the treatment of several ailments and plans are on the way to integrate traditional medicine in the health care system, even though the plans have not been put into action yet [5]. Cameroon however has a rich biodiversity, with ~8,620 plant species [6,7], some of which are commonly used in the treatment of several chronic diseases [8] and a range of neglected tropical diseases, including malaria, trypanosomiasis, leishmaniasis, diabetes, tuberculosis, etc. [4]. As an alternative to these difficulties Cameroonians are using nutraceutical foods. Which are ordinary foods that have components, ingredients, incorporated in them to give them a specific medicinal or physiological benefit other than a purely nutritional effect [9-11]. The economic production and availability of nutraceutical foods are highly desirable objectives to improve the health of the people of the country, especially that of the poor people [9]. Now, nutraceuticals related research for improving its quality and quantity is an important area for ongoing biotechnological investigations [12]. Moreover, the Covid 19 pandemic has proven that in Africa and especially in Cameroon, due to the strong ethnobotanical potential, it is possible to overcome many diseases such as type 2 diabetes. In the traditional pharmacopoeia, several nutraceutical plants are used in the treatment of type 2 diabetes, including: *Vernonia amygdalina*, *Tetrapleura tetraptera* and *Leptadenia lancifolia* decne [13]. Since nutraceuticals or functional foods can be classified based on their natural sources, pharmacological parameters or according to their chemical constitution. Hence phytochemical characterisation of these plants would help to understand their use in the treatment of type 2 diabetes since the part of each plant used is totally different. Therefore, the goal of this study is comparative assessment on phytochemical characterisation of different parts of the plants used in the treatment of type 2 diabetes.

Material and methods

Collection and processing of plant material

The leaves of *Vernonia amygdalina* were collected from a field in the Nkolmesseng district of Yaoundé V. The fruits of *Tetrapleura tetraptera* were purchased at the Mfoudi market (Yaoundé Cameroon). *Leptadenia lancifolia* leaves and vines were collected in the Kaele area (Mayo-kani, Far-North Cameroon). The samples were then sent to the Laboratory of Food Science and Metabolism (LabSAM). They were sorted, weighed, put under a stream of water, wrung out and dried in a dehydrator at 45°C until a constant

weight was obtained. The dried samples were then crushed and sieved through a 160-micron sieve and the resulting powder was packaged and labelled for phytochemical analysis.

Phytochemical characterization

Phytochemical screening of plants was carried out by El-haoud [14] methods. Water content of powders and dry matter were obtained by the AFNOR method [15]. Total ash and crude fibre content were determined by the AOAC method [16]. Total lipids were extracted with Soxhlet according to the Russian method described by Bourelly [17]. The total nitrogen was determined after mineralization of the samples according to the Kjeldahl method [18], and determination according to the colorimetric technique of Devani [19]. Total sugars were extracted and determined according to the method described by Fischer [20]. The energy value of the powders was determined by the Livesey [21] method. The vitamin C content was evaluated by Harris [22] method. The minerals Zn^{2+} , Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} , were analyzed according to the method described by Horwitz [23]. Extraction and determination of total phenolic compounds was carried out using the Folin - Ciocalteu reagent as described by Marigo [24]. Flavonoid content was done by the described by de Vinson [25]. Total tannins were assessed by Ndhala [26] method. Phytate content was done based on Olayeye [27] method. Oxalate content was determined by the modified titration method of Aina [28]. Saponin content was measured by Koziol [29] method.

Statistical analysis

Results were expressed as means \pm standard deviation. The result obtained was the mean for three tests. All results were analyzed using a one-way analysis of variance. The data sets were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (n=3). Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was done using One-Way Analysis of Variance to test for the difference in means. Duncan's Multiple Range Test was carried out to test for the means that are significantly different ($p < 0.05$) from each other, which are presented by alphabets in superscripts.

Results and Discussion

Phytochemical screening

The qualitative analyses by chemical screening of the samples studied are presented in Table 1. From the results obtained in Table 1, it can be noted that *Leptadenia lancifolia* contains few secondary metabolites compared to *Vernonia amygdalina* and *Tetrapleura tetraptera*. However, there is an absence of anthocyanins in both *Leptadenia lancifolia* and *Tetrapleura tetraptera*. On the other hand, a marked presence of flavonoids was tested in *Leptadenia lancifolia* and *Tetrapleura tetraptera* compared to *Vernonia amygdalina*. These results are like those found by Boukhezna [30] on the same plant species.

Table 1: Results of the phytochemical screening of the plants used.

Contents/Samples	Vernonia amygdalina	Tetrapleura tetraptera	Leptadenia lancifolia
Triterpene and sterol	++	++	+
Polyphenol	++	+	+
Saponin	++	+	+
Anthocyanins	+	-	-
Tannin	++	++	+
Flavonoid	+	++	++
Alkaloid	++	+	+
Anthraquinone	++	++	+

- Negative; +: slightly positive; ++: very positive.

Triterpenes and sterols are more present in Vernonia amygdalina and Tetrapleura tetraptera, while in Leptadenia lancifolia they are moderately present. The same observation is applied to tannins and anthraquinones, which reacted in the same way to the different tests applied. The screening of polyphenols, saponins and alkaloids is more pronounced in Vernonia amygdalina, and less present in Tetrapleura tetraptera and Leptadenia lancifolia. In general, Vernonia amygdalina is richer in secondary metabolites than Tetrapleura tetraptera and Leptadenia lancifolia. This observation is in perfect agreement with the studies of Matar, Nwoba and Mlatovi [31-33].

Nutrient potential of the plants studied.

Table 2 presents the nutritional potential of the plants studied. The analysis of the water contents of the studied plants (Vernonia amygdalina, Tetrapleura tetraptera, Leptadenia lancifolia)

reveals that the water contents vary from 6.55±0.40 g/100g DM (Vernonia amygdalina) to 7.84±0.14 g/100g DM (Tetrapleura tetraptera). It is observed that the values of Vernonia amygdalina and Leptadenia lancifolia (6.40±0.84 g/100g DM) do not show any significant difference (p<5%). However, the values of Tetrapleura tetraptera are significantly different (p<5%) from those contained in Vernonia amygdalina and Leptadenia lancifolia. These different values are lower than 79.92 (%); 40.25±0.18 (%) and 80.5 (%) found by Usunobun, N’zebo and Lapo [34-36] respectively on the same plants. Table 2 shows that the protein contents of the plants used are 0.49% (Vernonia amygdalina), 0.27% (Tetrapleura tetraptera) and 0.29% (Leptadenia lancifolia) respectively. The values obtained in the different samples show a significant difference at the 5% threshold. These values are lower than those obtained by Usunobun [34] (19.23%); N’zebo [35] (7.01%) and Lapo [36] (5%) respectively on the same plants.

Table 2: Content of some nutritional elements of the studied plants (g/100g DM)

Contents/Samples	Vernonia amygdalina,	Tetrapleura tetraptera	Leptadenia lancifolia
Water content	6.547±0.398a	7.838±0.143b	6.397±0.843a
Total Protein	0.490±0.003d	0.267±0.000b	0.294±0.003c
Total Sugar	19.239±0.218b	30.195±0.376d	22.742±0.296c
Fat	2.521±0.377b	2.061±0.016b	4.022±1.05c
Fibre	10.203±0.263b	30.997±0.146d	29.330±0.080c
Vitamin C	0.043±0.0001b	0.005±0.0001a	0,042±0.0009b
ΔE	101.6±0.38	140.38±0.64	128.3±0.84

Values are expressed as mean ± standard deviation on the mean (percentage variation of the mean); Values assigned different letters on the same line are significantly different (p<0.05).

The total sugar contents of Vernonia amygdalina, Tetrapleura tetraptera, Leptadenia lancifolia are respectively 19.24±0.22%; 30.19±0.38% and 22.74±0.30%. Duncan’s test shows that there is a significant difference (p<5%) between the total sugar contents of the tested samples. These values are all lower than the contents obtained by Usunobun [34] (68.35%) and N’zebo

[35] (63.73±0.5%), but higher than 11.3% determined by Lapo [36] respectively on the same samples. The lipid contents of Vernonia amygdalina, Tetrapleura tetraptera and Leptadenia lancifolia samples presented in Table 2 are 2.52±0.38; 2.06±0.02 and 4.02±1.06 respectively. According to Duncan’s test, there is no significant difference (p<5%) between the lipid contents

of *Vernonia amygdalina* and *Tetrapleura tetraptera*. However, a significant difference is revealed between the lipid content of *Leptadenia lancifolia* and those of *Vernonia amygdalina* and *Tetrapleura tetraptera*. The lipid contents assessed in *Vernonia amygdalina* and *Tetrapleura tetraptera* were lower than those obtained by Usunobun [34] (4.70%); N'zebo [35] (3.40±0.18%) respectively. In contrast, the lipid content of *Leptadenia lancifolia* was higher than that found by Lapo [36] (0.13%) on the same samples. Evaluation of the fibre content of *Vernonia amygdalina*, *Tetrapleura tetraptera*, and *Leptadenia lancifolia* gave the values 10.20±0.26%; 31.0±0.15% and 29.33±0.08%. There was a significant difference (p<5%) between the fibre contents of the samples analysed. The values obtained with *Vernonia amygdalina*, *Tetrapleura tetraptera* are higher than those determined by Usunobun [34] (9.75%) and N'zebo [35] (11.78%) respectively on the same plants.

The vitamin C content of the different samples ranged from 0.01% (*Tetrapleura tetraptera*) to 0.04% (*Vernonia amygdalina* and *Leptadenia lancifolia*). Duncan's test showed a significant difference (p<5%) between the vitamin C content of *Tetrapleura tetraptera*, and that of *Vernonia amygdalina* and *Leptadenia lancifolia*. The latter two showed no significant difference (p<5%) between them. These vitamin C contents determined in *Vernonia amygdalina* and *Leptadenia lancifolia* are within the recommended range for daily intake of ascorbic acid (20-40mg/day) in adolescents. In addition, it should be noted that the vitamin C contents of the plants studied are lower than those found by Usunobun [34] (0.23%) and N'zebo [35] (0.42±0.01%) but higher than those found by Lapo [36] (0.0076%) on these same plants.

The energy values of the different plants are respectively 101.6±0.38 kcal/100g (*Vernonia amygdalina*), 140.38±0.64 kcal/100g (*Tetrapleura tetraptera*) and 128.3±0.84 kcal/100g (*Leptadenia lancifolia*). The energy values obtained with the

different plants show a significant difference (p<5%) between them. These energy values are lower than the 446.67 kcal/100g obtained by Focfack [37] on a compound formulated from *Zingiber officinale* rhizomes, *Moringa oleifera* Lam leaves, *Stevia rebaudiana* Bertoni leaves, *Sodium guava* fruits and *Hibiscus sabdariffa* flowers. The comparative analysis of nutritional compounds shows that *Tetrapleura tetraptera* is the most energetic plant compared to *Vernonia amygdalina* and *Leptadenia Lancifolia* Decne.

Mineral and ash content

Table 3 shows the ash and mineral contents of the plants studied. Analysis of total ash of *Vernonia amygdalina*, *Tetrapleura tetraptera* and *Leptadenia lancifolia* reveals values of 10.270±0.919%; 2.323±0.123% and 4.277±0.135% respectively. These values are significantly different (p< 5%) between them. The ash content of *Vernonia amygdalina* and *Leptadenia lancifolia* are close to the contents found by Usunobun [34] (10.22%); Lapo [36] (4.35%) and Musa [38] (2-4%) respectively. It is also noted that the value estimated with *Tetrapleura tetraptera* is lower than that found by N'zebo [35] (5.38±0.18%). Table 3 reveals that the magnesium contents of *Vernonia amygdalina*, *Tetrapleura tetraptera*, and *Leptadenia lancifolia* are 0.532±0.007; 0.203±0.042 and 0.340±0.002% respectively. Duncan's test shows a significant difference (p<5%) between the different magnesium contents obtained. The magnesium contents of *Vernonia amygdalina*, *Tetrapleura tetraptera*, are higher than those found by Usunobun [34] (0.088%) and N'zebo [35] (0.141%) respectively on these two plants. The calcium contents presented in Table 3 reveal a significant difference (P<5%) between *Vernonia amygdalina* (0.355±0.005%) and *Tetrapleura tetraptera* (0.158%). The same observations are noted with the values obtained in *Leptadenia lancifolia* (0.248±0.002%). These values are lower than those recommended daily, which are between 500mg-1200mg [39].

Table 3: Ash and mineral contents of the plants studied (g/100g).

Contents/Samples	<i>Vernonia amygdalina</i> ,	<i>Tetrapleura tetraptera</i>	<i>Leptadenia lancifolia</i>
Total ash	10.270±0.919c	2.323±0.123a	4.277±0.135b
Mg2+	0.532±0.007c	0.203±0.042a	0.340±0.002b
Ca2+	0.355±0.005c	0.158±0.00a	0.248±0.002b
Zn2+	0.002±0.000a	0.008±0.006a	0.001±0.000a

Values are expressed as mean ± standard deviation on the mean (percentage change of the mean); Values assigned different letters on the same line are significantly different (p<0.05).

The evaluation of the zinc content shows that the values of the different samples are 0.002%; 0.008±0.006% and 0.001±% respectively. Duncan's differential analysis shows no significant difference (p<5%) between these different values. The Zinc contents of *Vernonia amygdalina*, and *Tetrapleura tetraptera* are approximately equivalent to the recommended values of 3 and 8 mg/day respectively for children, men, and women [40]. But the

values obtained with *Leptadenia lancifolia* is lower. A comparative study of the minerals in the plants *Vernonia amygdalina*, *Tetrapleura tetraptera*, and *Leptadenia Lancifolia* Decne shows that *Vernonia amygdalina* has higher magnesium and calcium contents. At least it is noted that *Vernonia amygdalina*, *Tetrapleura tetraptera*, and *Leptadenia Lancifolia* Decne have comparable zinc contents.

Secondary metabolites and anti-nutrients

Table 4 shows the secondary metabolite and anti-nutrient contents. From the results in Table 4, the tannin contents of the plants studied are 7.0±0.001mg leu eq/100g; 0.4±0.001mg leu eq/100g; 0.013±0.000 mg leu eq/100g for Vernonia amygdalina,

Tetrapleura tetraptera and Leptadenia lancifolia respectively. The analysis of variance shows that there is a significant difference (p<5%) between the values obtained. These tannin contents are below the 10% dry matter value which could cause a reduction in voluntary intake by goats [41].

Table 4: Secondary metabolite and anti-nutrient content of plants.

Contents/Samples	Vernonia amygdalina	Tetrapleura tetraptera	Leptadenia lancifolia
Tannin (mg leu/100g)	7.00±0.01c	4.01±0.001b	0.013±0.001a
Oxalate (mg/100g)	0.9±0.002c	0.08±0.002b	0.05±0.001a
Phytate (mg eq AP/100g)	8.50±0.03b	6.2±0.02a	11.51±0.051c
Saponin (mg/100g)	3.1±0.01c	0.97±0.002b	0.42±0.004a
Alkaloid (mg/100g)	1.01±0.00a	1.01±0.000a	1.02±0.000a
Total polyphenols (mg eq AG /100g)	2.00±0.00a	2.00±0.000a	2.00±0.000a
Flavonoid (mg eq EQ/100g)	119.0±0.000a	340.0±0.001b	478.01±0.004c

GA: gallic acid; EQ: quercetin; PA: phytic acid; leu: leucocyanidin. The values are expressed as mean ± standard deviation on the mean (percentage of variation of the mean); Values with different letters on the same line are significantly different (p<0.05).

It is also noted that the oxalate contents obtained are lower than the quantity of oxalate tolerated by the body, which is 200 to 500 mg [42]. These values are 0.9±0.002 mg/100g; 0.08±0.002 mg/100g; 0.05±0.001 mg/100g respectively for Vernonia Amygdalina, Tetrapleura Tetraptera and Leptadenia Lancifolia. Duncan’s test shows that there is a significant difference (p<5%) between these different values.

These same observations are also highlighted in the analysis of phytate content. The Duncan test therefore shows a significant difference (p<5%) between these different values. These values are distributed as follows: Vernonia amygdalina (8.5±0.03mg eq AP/100g Ms), Tetrapleura tetraptera (6.20±0.02 mg eq AP/100g) and Leptadenia lancifolia (11.51±0.51 mg eq AP/100g). These Phytate contents are well below the maximum acceptable dose for humans which is 250 to 500 mg/100g [43]. Saponin contents ranged from 3.1±0.01mg/100g; 0.97±0.002 mg/100g; 0.42±0.004 mg/100g for Vernonia amygdalina, Tetrapleura tetraptera and Leptadenia lancifolia respectively. The analysis of variance shows that there is a significant difference (p<5%) between these values obtained. All these saponin levels are below the LD50 of this compound which is 200 mg/kg [44]. The alkaloid contents hardly vary, the values obtained are therefore 1.001±0.010mg/100g; 1.001±0.01mg/100g; 1.001±0.000 g/100g respectively for Vernonia amygdalina, Tetrapleura tetraptera and Leptadenia lancifolia. Duncan’s test shows a significant difference (p<5%) between these different values. These alkaloid levels are far below the dose corresponding to most alkaloids which is 34-300 mg/kg in humans [1].

The results in Table 4 show that the polyphenol contents of the plants studied are 2±0.00 mg eq GA/100g; 2±0.00mg eq GA/100g; 2±0.00mg eq GA/100g respectively for Vernonia amygdalina, Tetrapleura tetraptera and Leptadenia lancifolia. Statistically, there is a significant difference(P<5%) between these values. The total polyphenol contents of Vernonia amygdalina, Tetrapleura tetraptera are lower than those found by Usunobun [34] (9.75 mg/100g) and N’zebo [35] (2407.10±8.36 mg/100g) respectively. The flavonoid contents of the plants studied were 119±0.00mg eq EQ/100g (Vernonia amygdalina); 340±0.001mg eq EQ/100g (Tetrapleura tetraptera); 478±0.004 mg eq EQ/100g (Leptadenia lancifolia). Duncan’s test shows a significant difference (p<5%) between these different values. The flavonoid contents of different samples are lower than the recommended daily flavonoid dose of 897 mg/g [45]. The analysis of anti-nutritional compounds shows that Vernonia amygdalina has higher levels of tannin, oxalate and saponin while Leptadenia Lancifolia Decne has high levels of phytate and flavonoids. At least it is noted that Vernonia amygdalina, Tetrapleura tetraptera, and Leptadenia Lancifolia Decne have comparable levels of total alkaloids and polyphenols. It is perhaps these two compounds that give them their anti-diabetic properties.

Conclusion

The comparative study of the anti-diabetic plants (Vernonia amygdalina, Tetrapleura tetraptera, and Leptadenia Lancifolia Decne) revealed that those plants contained similar phytochemical contents with some little difference. In terms of Nutritional

contents, *Tetrapleura tetraptera* is the most energetically plant compared to *Vernonia amygdalina* and *Leptadenia Lancifolia* Decne. Regarding the mineral contents, *Vernonia amygdalina* has higher magnesium and calcium contents. At least it is noted that *Vernonia amygdalina*, *Tetrapleura tetraptera*, and *Leptadenia Lancifolia* Decne have comparable zinc contents. Concerning antinutritional contents, *Vernonia amygdalina* has higher levels of tannin, oxalate and saponin while *Leptadenia Lancifolia* Decne has high levels of phytate and flavonoids. At least it is noted that *Vernonia amygdalina*, *Tetrapleura tetraptera*, and *Leptadenia Lancifolia* Decne have comparable levels of total alkaloids and polyphenols. It is perhaps these two compounds that give them their anti-diabetic properties. For more information, antioxidant and anti-diabetic studies of these plants would be needed to develop a nutraceutical formulation.

References

1. World Health Organization (1989) International Program on Chemical Safety. International Labour Organisation & United Nations Environment Programme. Pyrrolizidine alkaloids health and safety guide p: 20.
2. Williams R L'atlas (2019) Du Diabète De La Fid 9^{ème} Éd. In Atlas du diabète de la FID: 9^{ème} éd.
3. ADA-EASD (2012) Position des experts ADA-EASD sur la prise en charge de l'hyperglycémie chez les patients diabétiques de type 2: une stratégie centrée sur le patient p: 6.
4. Kuete V (2010) Efferth T: Cameroonian medicinal plants: pharmacology and derived natural products. *Front Pharmacol* 1:123.
5. Nkongmeneck BA, Mapongmetsem PM, Pinta YV, Nkuinkeu R, Tsabang N (2007) Etat des lieux des plantes médicinales importantes à conserver et des jardins des plantes médicinales à promouvoir. Geneva: Rapport CEN/OMS/MEM
6. Mbatshou GPT (2004) Plant diversity in Central African rain forest: Implications for biodiversity and conservation in Cameroon. Wageningen University
7. (2003) Earth Trends: Evolution of Cameroon Protected Areas (1995-2008). Washington DC: World Resource Institute.
8. Kuete V (2010) Potential of Cameroonian plants and derived products against microbial infections: a review. *Planta Med* 76: 1479-1491
9. Brower V (1998) Nutraceuticals: poised for a healthy slice of the healthcare market? *Nat Biotechnol* 16(8): 728-731.
10. Elizabeth AC (2002) Over-the-counter products: nonprescription medications, nutraceuticals, and herbal agents. *Clin Obstet Gynecol* 45(1): 89-98.
11. Whitman M (2001) Understanding the perceived need for complementary and alternative nutraceuticals: lifestyle issues. *Clin J Oncol Nurs* 5:190-194.
12. Bickford PC, Tan J, Shytle RD, Sanberg CD, El-Badri N (2006) Nutraceuticals synergistically promote proliferation of human stem cells. *Stem Cells Dev* 15:118-123.
13. Effoe S, Gbekley EH, Mélila M, Aban A, Tchacondo (2020) Étude ethnobotanique des plantes alimentaires utilisées en médecine traditionnelle dans la région Maritime du Togo. *International Journal of Biological and Chemical Sciences*14(8): 2837-2853.
14. EL-Haoud H, Moncef B, Assia B, HindTazougart, Rachid B (2018) Screening Phytochimique D'une Plante Medicinale: *Mentha Spicata* L. *American journal of innovative research and applied sciences* 7(4): 226-233
15. AFNOR (Association Française pour la Normalisation) (1984) Produits alimentaires: directives générales pour le dosage de l'azote avec minéralisation selon la méthode de Kjeldahl. In Godon B and Popineau, Guide pratique des céréales. Apria France pp: 263-266.
16. AOAC (1980) Official Methods of Analysis of the association of official analysis chemist. (13th ed). William Horwitz, Washington D.C.
17. Bourelly J (1982) Observation sur le dosage de l'huile des graines de cotonnier. *Cot Fib Trop* 27(2): 183-196.
18. AFNOR (Association Française de Normalisation) (1982) Recueil des normes françaises des produits dérivés des fruits et légumes. Jus de fruits. 1^{ère} éd, Paris la défense (France).
19. Devani MB, Shishoo JC, Shal SA, Suhagia BN (1989) Spectrophotometrical method for determination of nitrogen in Kjeldahl digest. *Journal of AOAC* 72: 953-956.
20. Fischer E, Stein EA (1961) DNS colorimetric determination of available carbohydrates in foods. *Biochemical Preparation* 8: 30-37.
21. Livesey G (1995) Énergies métabolisables des macronutriments. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 62(5): 1135-1142.
22. Harris LJ, Ray SN (1935) Determination of ascorbic acid in urine. Method using titration with 2, 6 dichlorophenol indophenol. *Lancet* 1(176): 462
23. Horwitz W (2000) Official Method of Analysis of AOAC. International. 17th Ed. AOAC International, Maryland, USA.
24. Marigo G (1973) Méthode de fractionnement de d'estimation des composés phénoliques chez les végétaux. *Analisis* 106-110.
25. Vinson J, Yong Hao, Xuehui Su, Ligia Zubik (1998) Phenol antioxidant quantity and quality in foods: vegetables. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 46(11): 5315-5321.
26. Ndhilala, Kasiyamhuru, Mupure, Chitindingu, Benhura, et al. (2007) Phenolic composition of *Flacourtia indica*, *Opuntia megacantha* and *sclerocarya birrea*. *Food Chemistry* 103(1): 82-87.
27. Olayeye, Owolabi, Adesina, olsiaka (2013) Chemical composition of red and white cocoyam (*Colocasia esculenta*) leaves. *International Science Research* 2(11): 121-126
28. Aina V, Sambo B, Zakari A, Haruna H, Umar K, et al. (2012) Détermination Of Nutrient and Antinutritional Content of *Vitisvinifera* (Grapes Grown in Bomo (Areac)) Zaira. *Nigeria Food Technology* 4(6): 225-228.
29. Koziol M (1990) Afrosimetric estimation of threshold saponin concentration for bitterness in quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa* Willd). *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* 54(2): 211-219.
30. Boukhena Z, Gherbin NF (2018) Etude de l'influence des gommés: Végétaux des trois espèces (*Acacia senegal*, *Prunus armenial* L, *Ferula assa-foetida*) sur la pyrale de datte (*Ectomyelois ceratoniae* Zeller) chez la variété Deglet Nour. Université Echahid Hammalakhdar-EL OUED.
31. Matar S, Cheikh S, Papa MG, Insa S, Mbaye DD, et al. (2000) Etude de l'activité antifalcémiant d'extrait de racines de *Leptadenia lancifolia* Decne. *International Journal of Biological and Chemical Sciences* 9(3): 1375-1383
32. Nwoba EG (2015) Proximate and phytochemical composition of the pulp of *Tetrapleura tetraptera* fruit consumed in Abakaliki, Nigeria. *International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology* 4(6).

33. Mlotovi D, Francois D, Amivi T, Heloise D, Kedjo A et al. (2018) Extracts of tectonagrandisand Vernonia amygdalina have antitoxoplasma and pro-inflammatory properties in-vitro. *Journal Parasite* 25: p:11
34. Usunobun U, Ngozi O (2016) Phytochemical analysis and proximate composition of Vernonia amygdalina. *International Journal of Scientific World* 4(1): 11-14.
35. N'zebo NJM, Ahi AP, Martin Dje K, Faustin Kabran A, Patrice Kouamé (2019) L Chemical Composition and mineral bioavailability of Tetrapleura tetraptera (Schumach & Thonn.) Taub. Fruit Pulp Consumed as Spice in South-eastern Côte d'Ivoire. *Turkish Journal of Agriculture-Food Science and Technology* 7(11): 1817-1824.
36. Lapo R (2000) Contribution A L'etude des Effets Abortifs De Leptadenia hastata (Pers). *Decne Sereal Untuk* 51(1): 79.
37. Focfack (2021) Formulation à base des rhizomes de Zingiber officinale, feuilles de Moringa oleifera Lam, feuilles de Stevia rebaudiana Bertoni, fruits de Spydium guava et des fleurs d'hibiscus sabdariffa. *Univ p*:1-90
38. Musa HH, Ahmed AA, Musa TH (2019) Chemistry, Biological, and Pharmacological Properties of Gum Arabic. *Reference Series in Phytochemistry* 797-814.
39. Benmeziane F (2010) Nutrition et pathologies de la nutrition p: 1-13.
40. FAO-OMS (2001) Programme mixte FAO-OMS sur les normes alimentaires, Commission du Codex Alimentarius vingt quatrième session p:91
41. Frédéric S (2012) Determination D'Une Dose Efficace Et D'Une Dose Toxique De Tanins.
42. Tchiégang C, Kitikil Aissatou (2004) Données ethnonutritionnelles et caractéristiques physico-chimiques des légumes-feuilles consommés dans la savane de l'Adamaoua (Cameroun). *Tropicultura* 22(1): 11-18
43. Ekop AS, Obot IB, Ikpatt EN (2008) Anti-nutritional factors and potassium bromate content in bread and flour samples in Uyo Metropolis, Nigeria. *E-Journal of Chemistry* 5(4): 736-741.
44. Diwan FH, Abdel-Hassan IA, Mohammed ST (2000) Effect of saponin on mortality and histopathological changes in mice. In *Eastern Mediterranean Health Journal* 6(2-3): 345-351.
45. Grosso G, Stepaniak U, Topor-Madry R, Szafraniec K, Pajak, A (2014) Estimated dietary intake and major food sources of polyphenols in the Polish arm of the HAPIEE study. *Nutrition* 30(11-12):1398-1403.



This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License
DOI: 10.19080/NFSIJ.2023.12.555831

Your next submission with Juniper Publishers will reach you the below assets

- Quality Editorial service
- Swift Peer Review
- Reprints availability
- E-prints Service
- Manuscript Podcast for convenient understanding
- Global attainment for your research
- Manuscript accessibility in different formats

(Pdf, E-pub, Full Text, Audio)

- Unceasing customer service

Track the below URL for one-step submission
<https://juniperpublishers.com/online-submission.php>