

Proposed Introduction of the SBAR Method in Rehabilitation



Roberto Tedeschi^{1*} and Fabio Betti²

¹Department of Biomedical and Neuromotor Sciences, Alma Mater Studiorum University of Bologna, Italy

²Neurorehabilitation Unit, Emergency Department, AUSL of Bologna, Italy

Submission: October 17, 2022; **Published:** October 26, 2022

***Corresponding author:** Roberto Tedeschi, Alma Mater Studiorum University of Bologna, Department of Biomedical and Neuromotor Sciences, Alma Mater Studiorum, Bologna, Italy

Abstract

Background: Physiotherapists face significant challenges when communicating with patients, healthcare professionals and healthcare professionals during clinical training. The objective of the study was to implement the standardised SBAR (Situation-Background-Assessment-Recommendation) method for the transfer of information between physiotherapists.

Methods: The professional physiotherapists of some Care Units belonging to the Operational Rehabilitation Unit were involved. The rehabilitation SBAR card was introduced in the Care Units involved in the following 6 months. The implementation phase was characterised both by the use of the tool and by periodic peer-to-peer meetings which animated discussions and comparisons on the specific contents of the card. Monthly spot checks were carried out on some completed SBAR forms to monitor their correct filling in. SBAR form within some of the company's care units.

Results: Number of rehabilitation SBAR cards filled in/ number of deliveries made (target 80%). Number of completed cards adhering to the legend/number of completed cards sampled (target 80%)

Conclusion: The change to a new delivery method with its tool stimulated individual professional reflection and virtuous discussion between professionals, but also resistance of individuals to the change and took a long time on the part of professionals.

Keywords: SBAR; Communication; Physiotherapy; Rehabilitation; Hand Over

Abbreviations: SBAR: Acronym Situation Background Assessment Recommendation; UA OB: Rehabilitation Care Unit Bellaria Hospital UA; OM: Rehabilitation Care Unit Major Hospital; UA SGP: Rehabilitation Service Unit San Giovanni in Persiceto Hospital; UA P-V: Hospital and Territorial Rehabilitation Care Unit Porretta and Vergato; DATeR of Bologna Technical Assistance and Rehabilitation Management

Background

The World Health Organization stated in 2007 that the passing of patient-related information is intended to ensure continuity of care and safety in the transition between caregivers, caregivers and patient/family, shifts, wards and settings. This moment of exchange has now become an integral part of daily work, given the frequent need to exchange information both formally and informally even several times a day [1]. It is a very important moment within the process of caring for a person; in fact, the exchange is both in terms of sharing information for the purpose of comparison and decision-making, and in terms of taking responsibility for the patient's care pathway and ensuring its continuation [2]. The increase in adverse events and relational discomfort between health professionals and between health professionals and patients often seems to be due to poor

Communication and operational misunderstandings. The emerging communication problem seems to have several causes [3]: increasing complexity of care, increase in co-morbidities of in-patients, increase in the number of competencies attributed to each professional (basic, transversal, high), number of professionals involved in the care pathway, need to respond to complexity both with a technical-procedural approach and with decisions based on confrontation, common sense and opinions of professionals [4]. Differences in age and experience between practitioners, the use of different communication styles may lead to difficulties in the exchange of information. Finally, within each care setting, work processes, relationships between the various professions, communication barriers play a decisive role. Poor and inaccurate handover is estimated to be responsible for 80% of preventable serious adverse events. The consequences in terms

of harm to the patient, organisational malaise for staff, and costs for health care companies, have in recent years stimulated the analysis of ‘patient care’ activities, with the aim of investigating the criticalities that may arise and their causes, and the search for effective and efficient solutions [3].

The transfer of information between physiotherapists generally takes place in the event that the practitioner in charge of the physiotherapy programme needs to transfer responsibility for the continuation of that programme to a colleague. In the last decade, the planning of physiotherapy intervention, always strictly outcome-based, has undergone significant changes. Nowadays, the intervention of the physiotherapist is not limited to highly rehabilitative settings but is also recommended in early or advanced phases of the patient’s care process during which individual programmes are carried out in specialised but non-rehabilitative settings.

The handover can be transferred in different ways: verbal, written, at the patient’s bedside. Typically, physiotherapists used verbal delivery supplemented by the paper documentation already in use and available for consultation at any time: the daily diary, the functional assessment, the team cards when present. In recent years, verbal delivery alone has proven insufficient to respond to the complexity of the organisation, often professionals do not meet in person, secondly, the amount of information is numerous; therefore, it is difficult to remember everything by heart and in particular to select the necessary information to be transferred. Written deliveries make it possible to quickly

assess and redistribute the daily workload of the entire care unit in the event of organisational needs. In view of the emerging need to standardise handover between physiotherapists, an improvement project was set up in 2019 aimed at incorporating a flexible and standardised tool for the exchange of handovers in the Rehabilitation Operating Unit, which would be adapted to the different physiotherapy settings and programmes. In particular, inspiration was taken from the recent implementation in the Medical Area of the SBAR (acronym Situation, Background, Assessment, Raccomandation) (5) method (implemented in 2018), also for the rehabilitation area, a standardised method that is effective in guaranteeing the correct exchange of information and consequently safety and continuity of care.

Metod Sbar

The methodology SBAR (acronym Situation, Background, Assessment, Raccomandation)[5–8]. problem-centred and not person-centred, it is the most widely used in healthcare today. The World Health Organization has given the method wide recognition by including it in 2009 as one of the Communications Tools for patient safety. It can be used in all settings, and although it is set up as written communication, it also lends itself to direct face-to-face and telephone communication. SBAR ensures that everyone has the same expectations of the content of the delivery by facilitating communication even between different professions. In particular, it ensures clarity about what will be communicated, how the information is classified and what information is needed (Table 1).

Table 1 : Sbar Tool.

S	Situation	A concise statement of the problem	Problem Description
B	Background	Pertinent and brief information related to the situation	Relevant information with respect to history and situation
A	Assessment	Analysis and considerations of options- what you find/think	Assessment of the problem by the professional
R	Recommendation	Action requested/recommended – what you want	Recommended Actions

The acronym identifies 4 columns whose contents, in order to convey an effective and safe hand over, must be developed according to a “horizontal” logic by problems, the current or proposed interventions must be inherent to specific problems, avoiding useless information concerning functional aspects for which there is no need to intervene (problems are described). The literature suggests that this method is also adaptable to the rehabilitation field [5-10]. This project was promoted by the Directorate DATeR of Bologna (Technical Assistance and Rehabilitation Management), has therefore addressed the profession of Physiotherapist in particular. The project started at the beginning of 2019.

Results

Indicator 1 - Use of The Board Sbar

Number of rehabilitation SBAR cards filled in/ number of deliveries made (target 80%). Given the impossibility of identifying a specific moment for the hand over and given the high number of filled in SBARs expected to be collected, a quantitative self-detection method was chosen by the professionals of the Operating Units. Each professional, for each moment of hand over (delivery in case of absence of the professional from the service, delivery for the Saturday shift), filled in

- i. The SBAR forms concerning the number of patient-cases-treatments to be transferred
- ii. The weekly survey form of the number and type of deliveries made. For each hand-over time, the practitioner reported the number of patient-cases-treatment delivered to the colleague, the mode of delivery used (by SBAR, verbal, other) and the reason why a delivery other than SBAR was used.

Both the SBAR and the survey form were included in the 'deliveries'. In order to adapt to the different intervention settings,

SBAR sheets were compiled and collected in folders grouped by work area and shared. On the other hand, the 'handover survey' form was only filled in on paper.

At the end of the month, the Business Unit working group collected the contents of the binder for the measurement of the indicator. For the measurement of the indicator, it was defined a priori that each transferred case-patient-treatment corresponded to the filling in of one SBAR form, even though several case-patients may be present in one form (Table 2).

Table 2: Indicator 1.

Unit care rehabilitation	Mode of Transfer of Handover				Total Handover
	SBAR	Other form handover	Other documents	Report	
UA OB	238	/	15	23	276
UA OM	569	23	45	53	690
UA SGP	179	3	25	23	230
UA P-V	132	/	18	34	184
TOTALE	1118	26	103	133	1380

Legend

UA OB: Rehabilitation Care Unit Bellaria Hospital UA

OM: Rehabilitation Care Unit Maggiore Hospital

UA SGP: Rehabilitation Service Unit San Giovanni in Persiceto Hospital

AU P-V: Hospital and Territorial Rehabilitation Service Unit Porretta and Vergato

Indicator 2 - Correct way of Filling in the Sbar Card

Number of completed cards adhering to the legend/number of completed cards sampled (target 80%)

It was decided to carry out the verification of the correct filling in of the SBAR form on a sample of selected forms. Within

each Operating Units, 1 SBAR form was selected each month for each area of physiotherapy intervention, when present. The correctness of the compilation was verified by checking the contents of the different columns I, S, B, A, R) (adherence to the legend). The forms containing at least one error were considered to be incorrect (Table 3).

Table 3: Indicator 2.

	Rehabilitation Care Unit				
	UA OB	UA OM	UA SGP	UA P-V	
Total areas/sets of work	7	7	5	6	
Sampled sbar cards	42	42	30	36	150
Correct cards	28	24	24	32	108
Incorrect cards	14	18	6	4	42

Legend

UA OB: Rehabilitation Care Unit Bellaria Hospital UA

OM: Rehabilitation Care Unit Major Hospital

UA SGP: Rehabilitation Service Unit San Giovanni in Persiceto Hospital

UA P-V: Hospital and Territorial Rehabilitation Care Unit Porretta and Vergato

Discussion

Indicator 1

The self-registration by the physiotherapists of the delivery methods they used was useful for the detection of the indicator but took up some of the time that would have been useful for practice with the SBAR. Some colleagues experienced this commitment with anxiety as an evaluation of the professionals' performance. It was noted by professionals that some paper SBARs were then not reported, losing some data. Similarly, some completed SBARs were lost because they were saved on computers but not in the appropriate folders. The difficulty of inserting a new tool was mainly due to the resistance of some who, from the outset, judged the SBAR form as inappropriate for delivery. In spite of the criticism, most of the colleagues involved showed a proactive approach to the tool, making interesting contributions both for the improvement of the scale and to better clarify certain points in collective meetings.

Indicator 2

The need to synthesise the information for the hand-over according to a problem-based logic created difficulties for almost all physiotherapists, resulting in overly rich sheets of information often placed in the wrong box. Delivery according to the global patient care model remains in use by a minority of the colleagues involved, although the SBAR method has given rise to reflections. The change to a new delivery method with its tool stimulated individual professional reflection and virtuous discussion between professionals, but also resistance of individuals to the change and took a long time on the part of professionals.

Conclusion

The transition to a new mode of delivery and related tool stimulated individual professional reflection and virtuous discussion among professionals, but also individual resistance to change and took a long time on the part of professionals. It might be useful to continue with the professional reflection and peer discussion by analysing in more detail

- The specific contents for the different work settings

- the reasoning models of the physiotherapist to allow the definitive shift to the logic of problem solving in the hand over process
- the ways to reduce compilation time
- Integration of SBAR within other care pathways
- It would certainly be worth considering whether to fully implement the SBAR method in the critical steps of the rehabilitation pathway.

References

1. Stiffelman JW, Emerald L, Jeffrey S F (2012) Patient safety at handoff in rehabilitation medicine. *Phys med Rehabil Clin N Am* 23(2): 241-257.
2. Patterson E S, Wears RL(2010) Patient handoffs: standardized and reliable measurement tools remain elusive. *Jt Comm J Qual Patient Saf* 36(2): 52-61.
3. Stevens DP(2008) Handovers and Debussy. *Qual Saf Health Care* 17(1): 2-3.
4. Carolyn Taylor, Susan White (2005) *Ragionare i casi : la pratica della riflessività nei servizi sociali e sanitari ; edizione italiana a cura di Maria Luisa Raineri - OPAC - Biblioteca nazionale di Firenze.*
5. Andreoli A, Fancott C, Velji K, Baker GR, Solway S, et al. (2010) Using SBAR to communicate falls risk and management in inter-professional rehabilitation teams. *Healthc Q* 13(1): 94-101.
6. Boaro N, Fancott C, Baker R, Velji K, Andreoli A (2010) Using SBAR to improve communication in interprofessional rehabilitation teams. *Journal of Interprofessional Care* 24(1): 111-114.
7. Müller M, Jurgens J, Redaelli M, Klingberg K, Hautz W, et al. (2018) Impact of the communication and patient hand-off tool SBAR on patient safety: a systematic review. *BMJ Open* 8(8): ne022202.
8. Lo L, Rotteau L, Shojania K (2011) Can SBAR be implemented with high fidelity and does it improve communication between healthcare workers? A systematic review. *BMJ open* 11(12): e055247
9. Ong MS, Coiera E (2011) A systematic review of failures in handoff communication during intrahospital transfers. *Jt Comm J Qual Patient Saf* 37(6): 274-284.
10. Velji K, Baker GR, Fancott C, Andreoli A ,Boaro N, et al. (2008) Effectiveness of an Adapted SBAR Communication Tool for a Rehabilitation Setting. *Healthcare Quarterly* 11(3): 72-79.



This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License
DOI: [10.19080/JOJS.2022.02.555599](https://doi.org/10.19080/JOJS.2022.02.555599)

Your next submission with Juniper Publishers will reach you the below assets

- Quality Editorial service
- Swift Peer Review
- Reprints availability
- E-prints Service
- Manuscript Podcast for convenient understanding
- Global attainment for your research
- Manuscript accessibility in different formats
(Pdf, E-pub, Full Text, Audio)
- Unceasing customer service

Track the below URL for one-step submission
<https://juniperpublishers.com/online-submission.php>