Risk Factor for Complex Regional Pain Syndrome
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Abstract
A narrative literature review of risk factor or predictor for Complex Regional Pain Syndrome (CRPS) or Reflex Sympathetic Dystrophy (RSD) was performed in the English language. Female sex, elderly people between the age of 45 and 65, pregnancy, intra-articular fracture, comminuted and displaced fracture, high energy trauma, severe fracture, strong pain after fracture, decreased sympathetic vasoconstrictor response after fracture, nerve and spinal cord injury, ankle dislocation, ankle fracture, injury in the extremities, treatment to the upper limb, motor nerve injury, decreased function before operation, poor physical functioning, higher median household income, economic compensation via work disability, social or psychological stress, good to excellent school performers, osteoporosis, hypertriglyceridemia, rheumatoid arthritis, musculoskeletal comorbidities, history of allergies, skin hypersensitivity, atopic background, asthma, trouble falling asleep, menstrual cycle-related problems, history of CRPS I, chronic pain, headache/migraine, first-degree family history of headache, fibromyalgia, multiple sclerosis, surgical or manipulative treatment, immobilization, plaster cast, longer duration of anaesthesia, barbiturates, angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors, and specific gene are reported to be a risk factor for CRPS.

It is unknown whether smoking and diabetes are risk factors for CRPS. Obesity, hypothyroidism, anemia, and heart failure may be less likely to cause CRPS. I believe that depression and anxiety are consequence of CRPS. The incidence of CRPS varies greatly among studies. First, the concept of the disease changed, and the diagnostic criteria changed accordingly. Second, decision of abnormal right-left difference of each objective sign is based on a doctor’s subjectivity. Third, discrimination between CRPS and the usual course of injury is based on a doctor’s subjectivity. Identification of risk factor for CRPS provides some significance. First, it helps to find etiology of CRPS. Second, second, if a relative disease is found, treatment of the relative disease can be applied to CRPS. Third, it is helpful in the early detection of CRPS. Forth, it becomes helpful in an injury treatment such as fracture.
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Introduction
Complex Regional Pain Syndrome (CRPS), which was previously referred to as Reflex Regional Dystrophy (RSD), usually occurs after injury and/or surgery. CRPS is a disease that the orthopedic surgeons would like to ignore. Risk factors for CRPS were examined with a narrative review.

Methods
A narrative literature review of risk factor or predictor for CRPS or RSD was performed in the English language. Some literatures that show risk factor or predictor for CRPS or RSD do not include the term of “risk factor” or “predictor” in the title, abstract, or key words, therefore, a systematic review is not useful to show risk factor or predictor for CRPS or RSD. I read all abstract of literatures of CRPS, RSD, causalgia between April 2003 and April 2018 using MEDLINE. In addition, in case of important literatures, I read the full text. Important old literatures before March 2003 about risk factor or predictor for CRPS or RSD are included in this review. Strictly speaking, the reported risk factors for occurrence of CRPS after stroke are often early symptoms of CRPS. In addition, orthopedic surgeons rarely treat CRPS after stroke. Therefore, risk factor for occurrence of CRPS after stroke is excluded from this review.

Results

Demographic information

**Female sex:** A population based retrospective study with all Mayo Clinic and Olmsted Medical Group medical records showed that gender specific incidence rates per 100,000-person years at risk were 8.57 and 2.16 for women and men, respectively [1]. Prevalence was 35.33 vs. 5.06 per 100,000 persons years for women and men, respectively [1]. A retrospective cohort study was conducted with electronic patient record data from 600,000 patients throughout The Netherlands [2]. Females were affected at least three times more often than males (ratio: 3.4) [2]. A total of 90 patients with Distal Radius Fracture (DRF) was prospectively treated by closed reduction and casting and CRPS occurred in 29 patients (32.2%) [3]. Logistic regression showed that risk for CRPS I was higher in cases of women (Odds Ratio [OR] 5.774; 95% Confidence Interval [CI] 1.391-23.966) [3].
Thirty-nine patients were diagnosed with CRPS immediately after Open Reduction and Internal Fixation (ORIF) for limb fracture from a cohort of 185,378 in patients treated with ORIF [4]. A comparable number of male and female patients suffered from CRPS [4]. Pons et al. conducted a systematic search of the literature focused on determining the potential risk factors for the onset of CRPS 1 [5]. Female, particularly postmenopausal female was one of risk factors for the onset of CRPS 1 [5]. It is not possible to draw definite conclusions as this evidence is heterogeneous and of mixed quality, relevance, and weighting strength against bias and has not been confirmed across multiple trials or in homogenous studies [5].

Retrospective analysis of the Nationwide Inpatient Sample database from 2007 to 2011 in the United States [6]. There Was 22,533 patients with the discharge diagnosis of CRPS type 1 of an inpatient sample of 33,406,123 [6]. Female gender was associated with higher rate of CRPS type 1 [6]. Using the Danish Patient Compensation Association’s database, Petersen et al. [7] identified 647 patients claiming CRPS following orthopedic treatment [7]. The following ratios were found: women: men were 4:1 [7]. Multivariable logistic regressions were performed. Female gender was one of risk factors associated with post-treatment development of CRPS [7]. Database queries yielded 853,186 patients diagnosed or treated for DRF [8]. Multivariable logistic regression showed that female gender was positively associated with CRPS [8]. Of 165 patients who had suffered a mechanical traumatic injury isolated to their hand or forearm, 84 patients were diagnosed with CRPS [9]. A logistic regression showed that risk for CRPS was higher in females [9].

A cross-sectional study in patients with distal tibial fracture showed that among the 488 patients RSD occurred in 45 patients, of whom 28 (62.22%) were female and 17 (37.77%) were male; there was thus a significant difference in the prevalence of RSD in terms of gender (P = 0.00; chi square test) [10]. Crijns et al. identified 59,765 patients treated for a DRF, of whom 114 (0.19%) were diagnosed with CRPS [11]. Gender (women at greater risk, OR 3.86: 95% CI 1.99-7.49; p < 0.001) was independently associated with a diagnosis of CRPS [11]. A case-control study using 249 patients with DRF who underwent surgical treatment (4% developed CRPS) showed that >60 years of age (OR 9.38: 95% CI 1.170-75.233) was a higher risk of developing CRPS [12].

Pregnancy: A population-based case-control study was conducted [14]. CRPS onset was increased during the first 6 months after pregnancy (OR 5.6: 95% CI 1.0-32.4), although based on small numbers (143 cases and 1493 controls) [14].

**Severity of fracture:**

Pons et al. conducted a systematic search of the literature focused on determining the potential risk factors for the onset of CRPS 1 [5]. Intra-articular fracture was one of risk factors for the onset of CRPS 1 [5]. Crijns et al. identified 59,765 patients treated for a DRF, of whom 114 (0.19%) were diagnosed with CRPS [11]. Gender (women at greater risk, OR 3.86: 95% CI 1.99-7.49; p < 0.001) was independently associated with a diagnosis of CRPS [11].

A cross-sectional study in patients with distal tibial fracture showed that the OR for RSD was 1.100 (95% CI 0.795-1.531) in patients with an injury severity score higher than the calculated mean score in patients without RSD [10]. Crijns et al. [11] identified 59,765 patients treated for a DRF, of whom 114 (0.19%) were diagnosed with CRPS [11]. Gender (women at greater risk, OR 3.86: 95% CI 1.99-7.49; p < 0.001) was independently associated with a diagnosis of CRPS [11].

A case-control study using 249 patients with DRF who underwent surgical treatment (4% developed CRPS) showed that >60 years of age (OR 9.38: 95% CI 1.170-75.233) was a higher risk of developing CRPS [12].
A total of 90 patients with DRF was prospectively treated by closed reduction and casting and CRPS I occurred in 29 patients (32.2%) [3]. Logistic regression showed that risk for CRPS I was higher in cases of patients with Patient-Rated Wrist Evaluation pain subscale score >16 (OR 12.192: 95% CI 4.484-43.478) [3]. Pons et al. conducted a systematic search of the literature focused on determining the potential risk factors for the onset of CRPS I [5]. A report of higher than usual levels of pain in the early phases of trauma was one of risk factors for the onset of CRPS I [5]. A prospective multicenter cohort study of 596 patients with a single fracture of the wrist, scaphoid, ankle, or the fifth metatarsal showed at baseline, patients with CRPS1 had significantly more pain than patients without CRPS1 (P < .001) [17].

A prospective cohort study using 291 patients with traumatic hand injuries showed that patients with pain scores ≥ 3 days after surgery had a high risk of developing CRPS compared with patients with pain scores <5 (OR 3.61: 95% CI 1.94-6.70) [15]. A prospective cohort study with 1,549 patients with wrist fracture who were monitored surgically showed that a pain score of ≥5 in the first week after fracture should be considered a “red flag” for CRPS [18].

Decreased sympathetic vasoconstrictor response after fracture: A prospective study with 27 patients with DRF showed that the sympathetic vasoconstrictor response was diminished or absent from the first posttraumatic day to 2 weeks later in CRPS I patients and ‘borderline patients’, whereas the normal fracture patients revealed slightly impaired sympathetic nervous function on the first posttraumatic day and normal results for 2 weeks [19]. With regard to the unaffected contralateral hand, CRPS I patients also showed impaired sympathetic nervous function [19].

Location and type of injury

Type of injury: A nationwide population-based case-control study showed as follows: Injury was a risk factor for CRPS (OR 2.96: 95% CI 2.18-4.02) [20]. In adjusted models, open wound on the upper limbs (OR 1.25: 95% CI 1.02-1.54) conferred higher CRPS risk [20]. Injury mechanisms including nerve and spinal cord injury (OR 2.42: 95% CI 1.44-4.08), muscle and joint sprain and strain (OR 1.69: 95% CI 1.40-2.03), superficial injury (OR 1.23: 95% CI 1.00-1.51), and contusion (OR 1.44: 95% CI 1.20-1.74), but not fracture, increased the risk of CRPS [20].

Location of injury: Pons et al. conducted a systematic search of the literature focused on determining the potential risk factors for the onset of CRPS I [5]. Ankle dislocation was one of risk factors for the onset of CRPS I [5] Period prospective multicenter cohort study of 596 patients with a single fracture of the wrist, scaphoid, ankle, or the fifth metatarsal showed that an ankle fracture contributed significantly to the prediction of the development of CRPS I [17]. A nationwide population-based case-control study showed that injury in the extremities rather than the trunk was an important risk factor for CRPS [20].

Treatment of the upper limb: Using the Danish Patient Compensation Association’s database, Petersen et al. identified 647 patients claiming CRPS following orthopedic treatment [7]. The following ratios were found: primary diagnosis to the upper limb: lower limb was 2.5:1 [7]. Multivariate logistic regressions were performed. Treatment to the upper limb was one of risk factors associated with post-treatment development of CRPS [7].

Motor nerve injury: Of 165 patients who had suffered a mechanical traumatic injury isolated to their hand or forearm, 84 patients were diagnosed with CRPS [9]. A logistic regression showed that risk for CRPS was higher in patients with motor nerve injury [9].

Decreased function before operation: A retrospective study showed as follows: Results of multivariate analysis demonstrated that decreased function in the Japanese Orthopedic Association score for the shoulder joint before surgery was a risk factor for the development of CRPS after arthroscopic rotator cuff repair [21].

Pathological condition and stress of patient

Health condition of patient: A total of 90 patients with DRF was prospectively treated by closed reduction and casting and CRPS I occurred in 29 patients (32.2%) [3]. Logistic regression showed that risk for CRPS I was higher in cases of Medical Outcomes Study 36-Item Short-Form Health Survey physical functioning score <40 (OR 4.931: 95% CI 1.428-17.025) [3].

Social circumstances of patient: Retrospective analysis of the Nationwide Inpatient Sample database from 2007 to 2011 in the United States [6]. There were 22,533 patients with the discharge diagnosis of CRPS type 1 of an inpatient sample of 33,406,123 [6]. Higher median household income was associated with higher rate of CRPS type 1 [6].

Psychological abnormality (depression, anxiety, etc.): A cross-sectional study with 88 CRPS patients and 88 low back pain patients showed that disability and pain severity were more strongly associated with psychological factors (depression, anxiety, and kinesiophobia) in CRPS than they were in low back pain [22]. Cause and effect relationships could not be established by this cross-sectional study [22]. Retrospective analysis of the Nationwide Inpatient Sample database from 2007 to 2011 in the United States [6]. There were 22,533 patients with the discharge diagnosis of CRPS type 1 of an inpatient sample of 33,406,123 [6]. Depression and drug abuse were associated with higher rate of CRPS type 1 [6].

Database queries yielded 853,186 patients diagnosed or treated for DRF [8]. Multivariable logistic regression showed that anxiety was positively associated with CRPS [8]. Bayle-Iniguez et al. performed a case-control study and reported that multivariate analysis showed a strong association with being anxious (OR 44.9: 95% CI 7.4-273) [23]. A case-control study showed that psychological factors were not associated with CRPS onset [24]. A prospective study showed that the psychological
context (anxi-depressive state) showed a (sub-significant) tendency to increase the risk of CRPS I relative risk [RR] 2.6) [25]. A systematic review reported that in summary, studies with a higher methodological quality suggested no relationship between psychological factors and CRPS I in adults [26].

A prospective study showed that those who developed algodystrophy did not show increased distress before the onset of the condition in patients with Colles’ fractures [27]. Another prospective study showed that there were no significant differences in scores on any of the personality and depression scales between CRPS Type I and non-CRPS Type I patients after DRF [28]. A review published by Feliu et al. concluded there was no evidence to support a linear relationship that established a psychologic predisposition to develop CRPS [29]. A review reported that the observed modifications in behaviour and personality of CRPS patients, in particular depression and anxiety, were a consequence of the persisting pain disease and its considerable resistance towards treatment and not the cause [30].

A prospective study using 50 patients with a DRF reported that the risk of CRPS type I was significantly increased in patients with high trait anxiety scores (P = 0.038), indicating that after DRF, patients who have an anxious personality have a higher risk of developing CRPS type 1 [31]. A prospective multicenter cohort study using 596 patients indicated that psychiatric history (OR 7.22: 95% CI 1.315-39.606) was associated with a higher risk of developing CRPS I (relative risk [RR] 2.6) [25]. A systematic review reported that in summary, studies with a higher methodological quality suggested no relationship between psychological factors and CRPS I in adults [26].

Smoking: A case-control study showed that cigarette smoking was strikingly increased in patient frequency in RSD (60% versus 37% of hospitalized controls, p < 0.0001) [36]. Pawelka et al. reported that there were 37 women and 27 men; 32% of the female and 48% of the male patients were smokers and in the general population of Vienna, these percentages are 30 and 46, respectively [37]. In a retrospective cohort study of 390 patients who had undergone elective foot and/or ankle surgery, 5 patients (29.41%) were smokers of the 17 patients with CRPS [34]. Of 301 patients who underwent Carpal Tunnel Release (CTR), 25 patients developed CRPS and smoking did not show a relationship with the development of post-CTR CRPS [38].

Social or psychological stress: Eighty percent of all dystrophy patients had a recent life-event while only 20% of the control group members reported such an event [39]. Of 145 patients (122 patients with CRPS I and 23 patients with CRPS II), 61 patients (42.1%) reported stressful life events in a close relationship to the onset of CRPS [40]. Most frequent were conflicts within the family (n=30; 20.7%), death of relatives (n=13; 9%) or serious job-related problems (n=12; 8.3%) [40]. A systematic review reported that patients who experienced more life events appeared to have a greater chance of developing CRPS1 [26].

Good to excellent school performers: Bayle-Linéquez et al. performed a case-control study and reported that multivariate analysis showed a strong association with being good to excellent school performers (OR 4.7; 95% CI 1.3-52.1) [23].

Diseases

Osteoporosis: A case-control study showed that a medical history of osteoporosis (OR 2.44; 95% CI 1.17-5.14) was associated with CRPS [24].

Diabetes: Retrospective analysis of the Nationwide Inpatient Sample database from 2007 to 2011 in the United States [6]. There were 22,533 patients with the discharge diagnosis of CRPS type 1 of an inpatient sample of 33,406,123 [6]. Diabetes was associated with a lower rate of CRPS type 1 [6]. Database queries yielded 853,186 patients diagnosed or treated for DRF [8]. Multivariable logistic regression showed that diabetes was negatively with CRPS [8]. A retrospective study showed that of the 200 stroke patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM), 108 were in the CRPS group and 92 were in the non-CRPS group [41]. There were significant differences in HbA1c (p<0.05) between the two groups [41]. Across the five HbA1c groups, there were significant differences in CRPS prevalence (p<0.01); specifically, it increased as HbA1c increased [41].

Hypertriglyceridemia: Disease analysis using a retrospective series of nine cases and a review of the literature of RSD in pregnancy reported that hypertriglyceridemia appeared to be a risk factor for RSD [42].
**Rheumatoid arthritis:** A prospective multicenter cohort study of 596 patients with a single fracture of the wrist, scaphoid, ankle, or the fifth metatarsal showed that patients who developed CRPS I at a later time more often had rheumatoid arthritis (14.3% vs 5.3% non-CRPS I) [17].

**Musculoskeletal comorbidities:** A prospective multicenter cohort study of 596 patients with a single fracture of the wrist, scaphoid, ankle, or the fifth metatarsal showed that patients who developed CRPS 1 at a later time more often had musculoskeletal comorbidities (54.8% vs 27.6% non-CRPS1) [17].

**Allergy/hypersensitivity reactions:** A case-control study showed that of the patients with CRPS I, 78 (67.8%) reported a statistically significant history of allergies compared with the 39 (33.9%) patients in the control group (P<.0001) and skin hypersensitivity testing yielded an 83.3% positive predictive value with an accuracy of 76.9% [43]. Bayle-Iniguez et al. performed a case-control study and reported that multivariate analysis showed a strong association with presence of an atomic background (OR 25.0; 95% CI 4.6-135) [23]. A case-control study showed that asthma (OR 3.0; 95% CI 1.3-6.9) and CRPS were related [24].

**Trouble falling asleep:** Bayle-Iniguez et al. performed a case-control study and reported that multivariate analysis showed a strong association with having trouble falling asleep (OR 5.3: 95% CI 1.6-17.0) [23].

**Diseases hard to cause CRPS:** Retrospective analysis of the Nationwide Inpatient Sample database [6] from 2007 to 2011 in the United States [6]. There were 22,533 patients with the discharge diagnosis of CRPS type 1 of an inpatient sample of 33,406,123 [6]. Obesity, hypothyroidism, and anemia were associated with a lower rate of CRPS type 1 [6] period Database queries yielded 853,186 patients diagnosed or treated for DRF [8]. Multivariable logistic regression showed that heart failure was negatively with CRPS [8].

**Painful disorders**

**Chronic pain:** Of 145 patients (122 patients with CRPS I and 23 patients with CRPS II), 60 patients (41.4%) had a history of chronic pain before CRPS [40]. The majority suffered from chronic low back pain (n=20; 13.7%), chronic headache (n=17; 11.7%), multiple chronic pains (n=6; 4%) or different other pains (n=17; 11.7%) [40]. A case-control study showed that in a recent history (1-year before CRPS), cases had more menstrual cycle-related problems (OR 2.60; 95% CI 1.16-5.83) and neuropathies (OR 5.7; 95% CI 1.8-18.7) [24]. A prospective study showed that history of CRPS was a significantly correlated factor (RR 10.4) [25]. A retrospective analysis using 190 patients with CRPS and additional 26 patients with CRPS NOS (not otherwise specified) showed that a third had already experienced other than everyday pains in the now CRPS-affected limb before the CRPS incident trigger [44]. A total of 21 patients (1.11%) experienced widespread pain in clinic [44]. Additional patients reported CRPS-concomitant regional pains, most commonly headaches/migraines, lower back pain, and irritable bowel syndrome [44].

**Headache:** Retrospective analysis of the Nationwide Inpatient Sample database from 2007 to 2011 in the United States [6]. There were 22,533 patients with the discharge diagnosis of CRPS type 1 of an inpatient sample of 33,406,123 [6]. Headache was associated with higher rate of CRPS type 1 [6]. Of 145 patients (122 patients with CRPS I and 23 patients with CRPS II), 60 patients (41.4%) had a history of chronic pain before CRPS [6]. The majority suffered from chronic low back pain (n=20; 13.7%), chronic headache (n=17; 11.7%), multiple chronic pains (n=6; 4%) or different other pains (n=17; 11.7%) [40]. A case-control study reported that headache and a first-degree family history of headache were risk factors for CRPS [45]. A case-control study showed that a medical history of migraine (OR 2.43: 95% CI 1.18-5.02) was associated with CRPS [24].

Age and gender-adjusted standardized morbidity ratios (SMR) showed that those with CRPS were 3.6 times more likely to have migraine and nearly twice as likely to have chronic daily headache (CDH) as the general population [46]. Of those CRPS sufferers with migraine, 61.2% (41/67) reported the onset of severe headaches before the onset of CRPS symptoms [46]. More extremes were affected by CRPS in participants with migraine (median of four extremities) compared with the combined group of those CRPS sufferers with no headaches or tension-type headache (TTH) (median 2.0 extremities), P < 0.05 [46]. Migraine may be a risk factor for CRPS and the presence of migraine may be associated with a more severe form of CRPS [46].

**Fibromyalgia:** Database queries yielded 853,186 patients diagnosed or treated for DRF [8]. Multivariable logistic regression supported the association between fibromyalgia and the development of CRPS, with estimated OR of 2.0 (P < .001) [8]. Crijns et al. identified 59,765 patients treated for a DRF, of whom 114 (0.19%) were diagnosed with CRPS [11]. Comorbid fibromyalgia (OR 16.0: 95% CI 4.92-51.8; p < 0.001) was independently associated with a diagnosis of CRPS [11].

**Multiple sclerosis:** Schwartzman et al. reported that multiple sclerosis patients (4 cases per 205 patients) were at a higher risk of developing CRPS than the general population (expected prevalence: 0.078 cases per 205 persons) [47].

**Difference due to treatment**

**Surgery:** Using the Danish Patient Compensation Association’s database, Petersen et al. identified 647 patients claiming CRPS following orthopedic treatment [7]. The following ratios were found: surgical: nonsurgical treatment was 3:1 [7]. Multivariate logistic regressions were performed. Surgical treatment was one of risk factors associated with post-treatment development of CRPS [7]. Database queries yielded 853,186 patients diagnosed or treated for DRF [8]. Multivariable logistic
regression showed that surgical or manipulative treatment was positively associated with CRPS [8].

Difference of incidence due to difference of treatment for distal radius fracture: A network meta-analysis showed that compared with bridging external fixation, K-wire fixation, dorsal plating, volar plating, dorsal and volar plating, platter fixation and non-bridging external fixation might be the better treatment methods to reduce the risk of CRPS in DRF patients [48]. A total of 77 postmenopausal female patients with DRF were divided into three subgroups: 1. closed reduction and immobilisation in a plaster cast; 2. closed reduction and percutaneous fixation with k-wires; 3. open reduction and fixation with a locking plate [49]. Open reduction with locking plate fixation produced better functional outcomes in the early postoperative period and reduced the risk of development of CRPS [49]. A meta-analysis showed that the overall incidence of complications, including CRPS, was higher with pinning/wiring in patients with unstable DRF, though the incidence of carpal tunnel syndrome and nerve defects was not different [50].

Fixation: Pons et al. [5] conducted a systematic search of the literature focused on determining the potential risk factors for the onset of CRPS 1 [5]. Immobilisation was one of risk factors for the onset of CRPS 1 [5]. Field et al. reported that in patients who had objective signs of algodystrophy the plaster cast was significantly tighter during the first three weeks than in patients who did not develop algodystrophy [51].

Perioperative factors: Thirty-nine patients were diagnosed with CRPS immediately after Open Reduction and Internal Fixation (ORIF) for limb fracture from a cohort of 185,378 in patients treated with ORIF [4]. A longer duration of anaesthesia, but not regional anaesthesia, was significantly associated with a higher incidence of CRPS [4].

A total of 301 patients who underwent carpal tunnel release were randomly distributed to undergo one of the following techniques: general anesthesia, regional intravenous anesthesia with lidocaine, regional intravenous anesthesia with lidocaine and clonidine, or axillary plexus block [38]. Twenty-five of them developed CRPS [38]. Predominance was not observed among the anesthetic techniques used [38].

Medicine

A total of 126 neurosurgical patients were treated with barbiturates, and 108 control patients were treated with carbamazepine or phenytoin [52]. A total of 25 barbiturates-treated patients (27.6%) experienced shoulder-hand syndrome (SHS) and none of the patients in the control group developed SHS [52]. A retrospective case-control study (186 cases and 697 controls) showed that current use of Angiotensin Converting Enzyme (ACE) inhibitors was associated with an increased risk of CRPS (adjusted OR 2.7: 95% CI 1.1-6.8) [53]. The association was stronger if ACE inhibitors were used for a longer time period (adjusted OR 3.0: 95% CI 1.1-8.1) and in higher dosages (adjusted OR 4.3: 95% CI 1.4-13.7) [53]. None of the other antihypertensive drug classes was significantly associated with CRPS [53]. Forty-seven patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma were treated with Everolimus and 3 developed unilateral leg pain related to RSD [54].

Gene

Huehne et al. reported that particular angiotensin-converting enzyme gene polymorphism is unlikely to be a predisposing factor for CRPS I [56]. Huehne et al. tested a GT-repeat polymorphism in the Neutral Endopeptidase (NEP) promoter region as well as 18 tag Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs) in six linkage disequilibrium blocks in the NEP gene region in 320 CRPS patients and 376 controls [56]. No significant genetic association was observed. Thus, Huehne et al. [56] concluded that the NEP gene did not seem to be a major risk factor for CRPS. Fifteen of 163 patients with DRF were diagnosed with CRPS I and significant association was detected for the rs1048101 polymorphism of the alpha1a-adrenoceptor [57].

All other tested variants were not associated with CRPS1. This study suggests the rs1048101 within the alpha1a-adrenoceptor as one risk factor for the development of CRPS I after DRF [57]. Smith theorizes that genetic alterations including functional polymorphisms of Nrf2 (a master regulator of the transcription of multiple antioxidants) may render certain subjects more or less susceptible to developing CRPS after surgery or trauma [57].

Discussion

Difference of incidence

The incidence of CRPS varies greatly among studies. Three factors explain it. First, the concept of the disease changed, and the diagnostic criteria changed accordingly. Second, decision of abnormal right-left difference of each objective sign is based on a physician’s subjectivity. Third, we have to distinguish between CRPS and the usual course of injury. CRPS has many aliases. RSD, the former major disease name, was renamed CRPS. The concept of CRPS (or RSD) changed, and the diagnostic criteria changed accordingly. Even if the identical patients are diagnosed by the identical physician, the incidence of CRPS depends to a large extent on the diagnostic criteria used [17]. Of the 596 participants after a single fracture, 42 (7.0%) were diagnosed with CRPS 1 according to the Harden and Bruehl criteria [59]. 289 (48.5%) according to the International Association for the Study of Pain criteria [60], and 127 (21.3%) according to the criteria of Veldman [61]. The problem of right-left difference is serious. Almost all CRPS occur in the extremities. There are many diagnostic criteria for CRPS (or RSD), however, all criteria are combinations of right-left difference of some subjective symptoms and right-left difference of some objective signs.

The difference between right-left difference corresponding to diagnostic criteria and right-left difference not corresponding to diagnostic criteria, in other words, the difference between abnormal range right-left difference and normal range right-
left difference, is unclear. The decision is made based on a physician’s subjectivity. Therefore, even if the identical patients are diagnosed with the identical criteria, the diagnosis depends on physicians. Operators are not more likely to diagnose the patients who were operated by himself/herself as having CRPS. CRPS usually occurs after injury and operation. Naturally, there is right-left difference in pain, skin temperature, and skin color of the both limbs after injury and operation. A physician’s subjectivity decides whether these right-left differences are natural course or abnormal. It is similar to afore mentioned right-left difference.

**Is CRPS-associated factor cause of CRPS or consequence of CRPS?**

Is CRPS-associated factor that was found with case-control studies cause of CRPS or consequence of CRPS? In case of age, certain age is never consequence of CRPS, but cause (or risk factor) of CRPS. In case of depression and anxiety, they cause a chicken-and-egg situation. Many case-control studies or retrospective studies showed that depression and/or anxiety were positively associated with CRPS [6,8,13,23,24]. Of five prospective studies, three studies showed no association between psychological factors and CRPS [27,28,32]. One study showed a (sub-significant) tendency to increase the risk of CRPS [25]. One study showed that patients who had an anxious personality had a higher risk of developing CRPS [31]. Systematic review and/or meta-analysis of prospective studies alone were not published; however, I believe that depression and anxiety are consequence of CRPS at the moment.

**Significance of risk factor**

Identification of risk factor for CRPS provides some significance. First, it helps to find etiology of CRPS. Second, if a relative disease is found, treatment of the relative disease can be applied to CRPS. Third, it is helpful in the early detection of CRPS. Forth, it becomes helpful in an injury treatment such as fracture. Menstrual cycle-related problems [24], headaches/migraines [24,40,44,45], lower back pain [44], irritable bowel syndrome [44], fibromyalgia [8], widespread pain [44] are risk factors for CRPS. And female sex is also a risk factor for CRPS [1-12]. These indicate that CRPS may be included in central sensitivity syndrome.

Steroids are effective to some extent in the early stage of CRPS [62-72,73], however, these are not effective in a chronic stage of CRPS. There are few evidence-based effective treatments for CRPS. There are many evidence-based effective treatments for FM or headache, therefore, it is possible to use them as treatments for CRPS. In some patients with a chronic stage of CRPS, objective signs such as swelling, local heat, redness disappear, and pain alone persists on the affected limb, further pain spreads to the trunk and/or other limbs. In such a patient, it is almost impossible to distinguish between chronic stage of CRPS and FM or chronic widespread pain (incomplete form of FM). If we know risk factors for CRPS, it is useful to detect CRPS early. If CRPS occurs after operation, treatment outcomes are worsened. Knowledge of risk factors for CRPS is important to decrease occurrence of CRPS. Cowell et al. [73] reported that simple practice changes (a patient information leaflet, a local gold standard for care of DRF, education for staff regarding risk factors and early warning signs of CRPS, and simple patient and staff visual aid(s) reduced the incidence of CRPS from 25% to 1% after DRF.

A severe pain after injury may be a risk factor of CRPS, however, it may be an early symptom of CRPS. In any case, a severe pain after injury should be alleviated as soon as possible in some way. Until only recently, in Japan, almost all physicians did not know FM and some physicians consider FM equivalent to Piltdown Man (fabricated Homo sapiens). Therefore, physicians who treat FM is very rare like Nipponia Nippon, endangered bird. Knowledge of FM has spread in Japanese orthopedic surgeons in an unfortunate state. Some Japanese orthopedic surgeons do not want to operate patients with FM. One of the reasons is that FM is a risk factor for CRPS. A FM patient with comminuted and displaced DRF underwent a conservative treatment and suffered from serious malunion. Initially, surgery was planned, however, treatment suddenly changed to a conservative therapy immediately after she explained that she suffered from FM. It is an inappropriate use of knowledge of FM or risk factor for CRPS.
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