

A Hospital based Study on Prevalence and Clinical Presentation of Cataract in Northern India



Ragni Kumari¹, Salal Khan², Sunil Kumar Gupta³, Rajiv Janardhanan⁴, Jamshed Ali⁵ and Vishwdeep Mishra⁶

^{1,2,3,5,6}Department of Optometry (E.I.A.H.S & R), Era University, Lucknow (U.P), India

⁴Professor, Faculty of Medical & Health Sciences, SRM Institute of Science & Technology, Kattankulathur, Tamil Nadu, India

Submission: March 05, 2024; **Published:** March 22, 2024

***Corresponding author:** Salal Khan, Assistant Professor, Department of Optometry, Era University, Lucknow, U.P, India

Abstract

Purpose: The major goal of this study was to investigate and compare the prevalence of cataract and its associated factors among the rural and urban population.

Material & Methods: An Observational cross-sectional study was conducted to estimate the prevalence of cataract among the rural and urban population. A total of 9023 (mean age: 55±2.51 years) patients (male=4848 & female=4175) were enrolled in this study. The subjects underwent a comprehensive eye examination. Information on the patient's lifestyle, habits, indoor & outdoor activities, socioeconomic status and systemic diseases were collected using a self-structured questionnaire. The collected data were analyzed to explore the factors associated with cataract using the Pearson Chi-square test for each factor through SPSS version 17.0.

Results: Out of the total 9023 enrolled in the study, only 3348 (37.1%) were from rural while 5675 (62.9%) were from urban backgrounds. The majority of the participants (80%) did not have any history of addiction. Only 12% consumed smokeless tobacco (nonsmokers) products, 7% were habitual smokers, and 1% to alcohol. Amongst those having cataracts, the majority of patients had cortical cataracts (66.2%), followed by nuclear (27.1%) and a small number (6.6%) of posterior subcapsular cataracts. As per the study's findings, the overall prevalence of cataracts in the rural population is much higher, 93.5%, compared to the urban population, where it is only 57.1%. All parameters were found to be statistically significant with the Pearson Chi-square test. A p-value of < 0.05 was considered as significant.

Conclusion: Amongst the general population prevalence of senile cataracts is relatively high, and cortical cataract is the commonest type of senile cataract. The prevalence of cataracts is higher in the outdoor workers, and patients with lower socioeconomic status. Patients addicted to the tobacco, alcohol and cigarette smoking is also affected from the cataract conditions in rural population greater than the urban population.

Keywords: Cataract; Public health; Smokers; Diabetes mellitus; Optometry

Introduction

According to World Health Organization (WHO) cataract is clouding of the lens of the eye, which initially prevents clear vision and eventually progresses to blindness if left untreated [1]. It causes increased light sensitivity, decreased vision at night, seeing double images and leads to total blindness [2]. The term cataract was introduced by Constantinus African. He translated the Arabic "suffusion" into Latin "Cataracta," meaning "something poured underneath something," the "waterfall." It possesses all the characteristics of a biconvex lens physically. The eye's lens performs similar functions to a camera's lens. The Lens directs light rays entering the eye to the Retina's sensitive layers. Senile cataract, the most prevalent form of acquired cataract, also known as an "age-related cataract," affects people of both sexes equally

and typically develops after age 50. More than 90% of people experience senile cataracts by age 70. Although one eye is almost always afflicted before the other, the disorder is typically bilateral.

Morphologically, the senile cataract occurs in two forms, the cortical (soft cataract) and the nuclear (hard cataract). Generally, the predominant form is cortical or cuneiform 70 percent, nuclear 25 percent, and cupuliform or posterior or subcapsular 5 percent. Specialized proteins (referred to as crystallins) are present in the lens, and their optical characteristics are based on the precise arrangement of their three-dimensional structure and hydration. Osmotic and ionic equilibrium is maintained throughout the lens by membrane protein channels. In contrast, the lens cytoskeleton, particularly the fiber cells of the nucleus,

contributes to the distinctive form of the lens cells. High levels of reduced glutathione, known as the “mother of all antioxidants,” shield the protein-bound sulfhydryl (SH)-groups of crystallins against oxidation and cross-linking. The larger crystallins, which can absorb radiation energy (shortwave visible light, ultraviolet, and infrared radiation) over longer times without essentially changing their optical properties, give these materials high spatial and temporal stability (heat-shock proteins). This also provides a substantial protective function for the activity of various enzymes of carbohydrate metabolism [3]. It is commonly acknowledged that oxidative stress plays a significant role in the onset of senile cataracts.

Due to their inability to extrude, faulty cells either undergo apoptosis or necrosis-based degradation or are relocated to the posterior capsular region, where they aid in developing posterior subcapsular cataracts [4]. Additionally, nutritional and trace metal shortages, smoking, toxic chemicals like drug misuse, alcoholism, etc., and radiation (ultraviolet, electromagnetic waves, etc.) can cause oxidative stress and osmotic imbalance. It is causing the development of cataracts. However, it is obvious that the precise pathophysiology of the risk mentioned above factors needs to be understood [4].

Methodology

The study was conducted at Era Lucknow Medical College and Hospital, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, from January 2019 to July 2022 after obtaining prior institutional ethical clearance from Era University and advertising informed consent forms to the participants enrolled in the study. The study design was an Observational cross-sectional study, and it was included the sample random sampling methods to collect the data by following the protocol of the study. A standard pre-test questionnaire on the patient’s demographic profile and degree of visual handicap was administered and sample size calculation methods were used to decide the sample size for this study.

Inclusion Criteria:

All the patients diagnosed with cataracts in the age group of more than 50 years were included in the study.

Exclusion Criteria:

It excluded pre-clinical stage of cataract and patients who did not agree to participate in this study. Also excluded patients’ age group less than 50 years of age and if patients having any pathological conditions of the eye.

Data Analysis:

In this study, measurable dissects were performed utilizing SPSS Version 23.0, All analyses were tested using two-sided hypothesis tests, and a p value of less than 0.05 was assumed to indicate significance. The statistical hypothesis was tested using the Pearson chi-square test.

Results

All the subjects enrolled in the study were adults the age of 50 years or above, regardless of gender and demographic settings. Out of the total 9023 enrolled in the study, only 3348 (37.1%) were from rural while 5675 (62.9%) were from urban backgrounds. The urban population outnumbered the rural population, probably because of the urban setting of the place of study. Overall gender distribution showed male (4848) subjects outnumbered female (4175) subjects enrolled in the study. A similar pattern of gender distribution is reflected in both rural and urban participant populations individually (Table 1).

Table 1: Sociodemographic profile of participant enrolled in the study.

Sociodemographic profile	Background		Total
	Rural	Urban	
Gender			
Female	1656	2519	4175
	39.70%	60.30%	100.00%
Male	1692	3156	4848
	34.90%	65.10%	100.00%
Total	3348	5675	9023
	37.10%	62.90%	100.00%
Age			
50-60	1980	5035	7015
	28.20%	71.80%	100.00%
61-70	1018	434	1452
	70.10%	29.90%	100.00%
71-80	328	164	492
	66.70%	33.30%	100.00%
81-90	22	42	64
	34.40%	65.60%	100.00%
Total	3348	5675	9023
	37.10%	62.90%	100.00%
Occupation			
Indoor	2243	2541	4784
	46.90%	53.10%	100.00%
Outdoor	1105	3134	4239
	26.10%	73.90%	100.00%
Total	3348	5675	9023
	37.10%	62.90%	100.00%
Addiction			
None	1998	5224	7222
	27.70%	72.30%	100.00%
Alcohol	117	0	117
	100.00%	0.00%	100.00%

Smoking	626	0	626
	100.00%	0.00%	100.00%
Tobacco	607	451	1058
	57.40%	42.60%	100.00%
Total	3348	5675	9023
	37.10%	62.90%	100.00%
Socioeconomic Status (SES)			
Upper Class	822	5675	6497
	12.70%	87.30%	100.00%
Middle Class	940	0	940
	100.00%	0.00%	100.00%
Lower Class	1586	0	1586
	100.00%	0.00%	100.00%
Total	3348	5675	9023
	37.10%	62.90%	100.00%
Associated Systemic Disease (ASD)			
Nil	1616	5663	7279
	22.20%	77.80%	100.00%
DM	794	2	796
	99.70%	0.30%	100.00%
HTN	748	6	754
	99.20%	0.80%	100.00%
DM+HTN	50	0	50
	100.00%	0.00%	100.00%
Others	140	4	144
	97.20%	2.80%	100.00%
Total	3348	5675	9023
	37.10%	62.90%	100.00%
Associated eye disease (AED)			
Nil	2538	5667	8205
	30.90%	69.10%	100.00%
DR	530	8	538
	98.50%	1.50%	100.00%
HTR	134	0	134
	100.00%	0.00%	100.00%
Others	146	0	146
	100.00%	0.00%	100.00%
Total	3348	5675	9023
	37.10%	62.90%	100.00%

The majority of the participants were aged 50-60 years (7015), and as the age group advanced number of participants was reciprocally proportional. The majority of subjects enrolled in

the study from urban areas reported comparatively at a younger age in contrast to those from rural backgrounds. Most of the subjects from the urban areas were aged between the ages 50-60 years, while the subjects from the rural areas were between age 60-80 (Table 1).

The working background of the 9023 individuals was almost evenly distributed; 4784 (53%) worked indoors, while 4239 (47%) worked outdoors. Working hours of eight hours or more per day in a particular environment were taken as criteria for labeling an individual as an indoor and outdoor worker. Analysis revealed that a smaller number of indoor workers (46.9%) were from rural areas compared to those from urban areas (53.1%). Among those subjects working outdoors, 26.1% were from rural areas, and 73.9% were from urban areas (Table 1). The majority of the participants (80%) did not have any history of addiction. Only 12% consumed smokeless tobacco (nonsmokers) products, 7% were habitual smokers, and 1% to alcohol (Table 1). Addiction was more common in the participants from rural backgrounds. All the alcoholics and smokers were from rural areas, along with the tobacco chewers (57.4%) (Table 1).

Most of the participants enrolled in the study belonged to the upper socioeconomic class (72%), followed by the lower (18%) and middle class (10%). When the urban and rural background was further elaborated, it revealed that in the upper socioeconomic group, the majority of the patients were from urban areas (87.3%), while all the participants from rural backgrounds were from middle and lower socioeconomic classes (100%) (Table 1). The majority of the participants were free of any associated systemic disease (80.7%), and only a small percentage of them had Diabetes Mellitus (DM) (8.8%), hypertension (HTN) (8.4%), DM with HTN (0.6%) and others (1.6%). Patients with systemic diseases were mainly from rural backgrounds (Table 1). The majority of participants were free of any other ocular diseases (90.9%). Associated ocular diseases among participants included diabetic retinopathy (DR) (6%), hypertensive retinopathy (HTR) (1.5%), and others (1.6%) (Table 1).

Prevalence and Clinical Presentation of Cataract

Out of the 9023 participants enrolled for the study, 6370 (70.6%) had cataracts. Amongst those having cataracts, the majority of patients had cortical cataracts (66.2%), followed by nuclear (27.1%) and a small number (6.6%) of posterior subcapsular cataracts. As per the study's findings, the overall prevalence of cataracts in the rural population is much higher, 93.5%, compared to the urban population, where it is only 57.1%. This may be explained because cataract gives early blurring of vision, and people in urban settings are more conscious of clarity of vision. So, people seek medical advice while villagers work with even decreased vision (Table 2). In rural populations, Posterior Subcapsular Cataract (PSC) was the more common type of cataract (99%), followed by nuclear cataract (60.4%). While in the urban

population, cortical cataract was more common (60.4%). This result was statistically significant ($p < 0.001$) (Table 3). However, no specific reason can be assigned for this variation.

Table 2: Prevalence of cataract among subjects enrolled for the study.

Background	Cataract		Total
	No	Yes	
Rural	216	3132	3348
	6.50%	93.50%	100.00%
Urban	2437	3238	5675
	42.90%	57.10%	100.00%
Total	2653	6370	9023
	29.40%	70.60%	100.00%

Table 3: Clinical Presentation of Cataract in rural and urban background.

Type of cataract	Background		Total	P value
	Rural	Urban		
Cortical	1671	2549	4220	<0.001
	39.60%	60.40%	100.00%	
Nuclear	1044	685	1729	
	60.40%	39.60%	100.00%	
PSC	417	4	421	
	99.00%	1.00%	100.00%	
Total	3132	3238	6370	
	49.20%	50.80%	100.00%	

Prevalence and Clinical Presentation of Cataract According to Age

Table 4: Prevalence of cataract according to age.

Background	Age	Cataract		Total	P value
		No	Yes		
Rural	50-60	216	1764	1980	<0.001
		10.90%	89.10%	100.00%	
	61-70	0	1018	1018	
		0.00%	100.00%	100.00%	
	71-80	0	328	328	
		0.00%	100.00%	100.00%	
	81-90	0	22	22	
		0.00%	100.00%	100.00%	
	Total	216	3132	3348	
		6.50%	93.50%	100.00%	
Urban	50-60	2437	2598	5035	<0.001
		48.40%	51.60%	100.00%	
	61-70	0	434	434	
		0.00%	100.00%	100.00%	
	71-80	0	164	164	
		0.00%	100.00%	100.00%	
	81-90	0	42	42	
		0.00%	100.00%	100.00%	
	Total	2437	3238	5675	
		42.90%	57.10%	100.00%	

Total	50-60	2653	4362	7015
		37.80%	62.20%	100.00%
	61-70	0	1452	1452
		0.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	71-80	0	492	492
		0.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	81-90	0	64	64
		0.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	Total	2653	6370	9023
		29.40%	70.60%	100.00%

With increasing age (60 years beyond) presence of cataracts, in their varying grades differing from individual to individual was universal. In the rural population (89.1% age 50-60 years), cataract seems to start developing at a comparatively early age compared to the urban population (51.6% age 50-60 years). This result was statistically significant ($p < 0.001$) (Table 4). Cortical

cataract was more common in an urban population in every age group except in the case of nuclear cataract, which was found to be predominant in subjects belonging to the advanced age of 81-90 years in the urban population as compared to the rural population. The majority of the patients had PSC in the rural population, and this result was statistically significant ($p < 0.001$) (Table 5).

Table 5: Clinical presentation of cataract in age groups.

Background	Age	Type of Cataract			Total	P value
		Cortical	Nuclear	PSC		
Rural	50-60	919	602	243	1764	<0.001
		52.10%	34.10%	13.80%	100.00%	
	61-70	572	342	104	1018	
		56.20%	33.60%	10.20%	100.00%	
	71-80	170	100	58	328	
		51.80%	30.50%	17.70%	100.00%	
81-90	10	0	12	22		
	45.50%	0.00%	54.50%	100.00%		
Total	1671	1044	417	3132		
	53.40%	33.30%	13.30%	100.00%		
Urban	50-60	2024	572	2	2598	<0.006
		77.90%	22.00%	0.10%	100.00%	
	61-70	365	67	2	434	
		84.10%	15.40%	0.50%	100.00%	
	71-80	132	32	0	164	
		80.50%	19.50%	0.00%	100.00%	
81-90	28	14	0	42		
	66.70%	33.30%	0.00%	100.00%		
Total	2549	685	4	3238		
	78.70%	21.20%	0.10%	100.00%		

Total	50-60	2943 67.50%	1174 26.90%	245 5.60%	4362 100.00%	<0.001
	61-70	937 64.50%	409 28.20%	106 7.30%	1452 100.00%	
	71-80	302 61.40%	132 26.80%	58 11.80%	492 100.00%	
	81-90	38 59.40%	14 21.90%	12 18.80%	64 100.00%	
	Total	4220 66.20%	1729 27.10%	421 6.60%	6370 100.00%	

Prevalence and Clinical Presentation of Cataract Vs. Gender

Overall prevalence of cataract amongst males (87.7%), outnumbered females (50.7%) (Table 6).

Table 6: Prevalence of cataract among subjects enrolled for the study in regard to gender.

Gender	Cataract, number (%)		
	No	Yes	Total
Female	2059 (49.3%)	2116 (50.7%)	4175
Male	594 (12.3%)	4254 (87.7%)	4848
Total	2653 (29.4%)	6370 (70.6%)	9023

Prevalence and Clinical Presentation of Cataract in Work Environment

Work environment of participants was classified on the basis of their nature of work and the time spent in that environment. Individual working or staying for 8 hours or more in particular working condition was labelled as indoor or outdoor workers. We

included housewife, teachers, computer operators, office workers and retired professionals under the category of indoor workers; whereas laborer’s, farmers, drivers and traffic police etc. were included under the category of outdoor workers. Amongst outdoor workers (86.5) cataract was more common in comparison to the indoor workers (56.5%), and this finding is statistically significant (p <0.001) (Table 7).

Table 7: Prevalence of cataract vs Work environment.

Work environment	Cataract, number (%)		Total	P value
	No	Yes		
Indoor	2081(43.5%)	2703 (56.5%)	4784	<0.001
Outdoor	572 (13.5%)	3667 (86.5%)	4239	
Total	2653 (29.4%)	6370 (70.6%)	9023	

Correlation with Prevalence and Clinical Presentation of Cataract with Socioeconomic Status of the subjects enrolled in the Study

Further analysis revealed that cataract cases in the rural population are evenly distributed regardless of socioeconomic status. The majority of the individuals (93.2%) diagnosed as

having cataracts belonged to the lower socioeconomic status, followed by the middle (91.5%) and upper class (61.1%). In contrast, in the urban population, there were no participants from the lower and middle classes, and in the upper class, only 57.1% of patients were found to have a cataract. This finding is statistically significant (p <0.001) (Table 8).

Table 8: Correlation with Prevalence and Clinical Presentation of Cataract with Socioeconomic Status of the subjects enrolled in the Study.

Urban/Rrural	SES	Cataract		Total	P value
		No	Yes		
rural	Upper Class	28 3.40%	794 96.60%	822 100.00%	<0.001
	Middle Class	80 8.50%	860 91.50%	940 100.00%	
	Lower Class	108 6.80%	1478 93.20%	1586 100.00%	
	Total	216 6.50%	3132 93.50%	3348 100.00%	
urban	Upper Class	2437 42.90%	3238 57.10%	5675 100.00%	
	Total	2437 42.90%	3238 57.10%	5675 100.00%	
	Upper Class	2465 37.90%	4032 62.10%	6497 100.00%	
Total	Middle Class	80 8.50%	860 91.50%	940 100.00%	
	Lower Class	108 6.80%	1478 93.20%	1586 100.00%	
	Total	2653 29.40%	6370 70.60%	9023 100.00%	

Prevalence and Clinical Presentation of Cataract in Addicts

Prevalence of cataract amongst tobacco users is significantly higher in comparison to the patients who did not consume tobacco

products. Tobacco consumption seems to have statistically significant effect on the development of cataract (p <0.001). Consumption of alcohol and smoking tobacco products didn't have a statistically significant effect on development of cataract (p = 0.158 and 0.410, respectively) (Table 9).

Table 9: Prevalence of Cataract vs. Addiction.

Addiction	Cataract		Total	P value
	No	Yes		
Alcohol				
No	2624(29.5%)	6282 (70.5%)	8906	<0.158
Yes	29 (24.8%)	88 (75.2%)	117	
Total	2653(29.4%)	6370 (70.6%)	9023	
Smoking				
No	2466(29.4%)	5931 (70.6%)	8397	<0.410
Yes	187 (29.8%)	439(70.2%)	626	
Total	2653(29.4%)	6370(70.6%)	9023	

Tobacco (Smokeless tobacco Product)				
No	2558(32.1%)	507(67.9%)	7965	<0.001
Yes	95 (9.0%)	963 (91.0%)	1058	
Total	2653(29.4%)	6370 (70.6%)	9023	

Prevalence and Clinical Presentation of Cataract in Participants with Comorbidities

Comorbid conditions do not seem to influence the development of senile cataracts. In participants from the rural populations, the prevalence of cataracts was 95.4% without any comorbidities, while those with associated systemic comorbidities had an equal

prevalence of cataracts (91.8%). In the urban population, only 57% reported cataracts without any comorbidities. Since the number of cases with associated comorbidity from the urban population was too small (only 12 cases), the data cannot be taken as representative. This result was statistically significant (rural <0.001, urban <0.029) (Table 10).

Table 10: Prevalence of Cataract vs. Systemic Diseases.

Urban/ Rural	SD	Cataract		Total	P value
		No	Yes		
rural	Nil	74	1542	1616	<0.001
		4.60%	95.40%	100.00%	
	DM	92	702	794	
		11.60%	88.40%	100.00%	
	HTN	35	713	748	
		4.70%	95.30%	100.00%	
	DM& HTN	8	42	50	
		16.00%	84.00%	100.00%	
	Others	7	133	140	
		5.00%	95.00%	100.00%	
Total	216	3132	3348		
		6.50%	93.50%	100.00%	
urban	Nil	2437	3226	5663	<0.029
		43.00%	57.00%	100.00%	
	DM	0	2	2	
		0.00%	100.00%	100.00%	
	HTN	0	6	6	
		0.00%	100.00%	100.00%	
	Others	0	4	4	
		0.00%	100.00%	100.00%	
	Total	2437	3238	5675	
		42.90%	57.10%	100.00%	

Total	Nil	2511	4768	7279
		34.50%	65.50%	100.00%
	DM	92	704	796
		11.60%	88.40%	100.00%
	HTN	35	719	754
		4.60%	95.40%	100.00%
	DM& HTN	8	42	50
		16.00%	84.00%	100.00%
	Others	7	137	144
		4.90%	95.10%	100.00%
	Total	2653	6370	9023
		29.40%	70.60%	100.00%

Discussion

A higher proportion of the male population may prevail due to social structure of society. However, a study conducted in India recorded 41% men and 59% women, which is contrary to the present study’s findings. This may be because of the differences in the setting of the study [5]. Participants work environment was classified based on their nature of work and the time spent in that environment. Individuals working or staying for 8 hours or more in particular working conditions were labeled as indoor or outdoor workers. As indoor workers, we included housewives, teachers, computer operators, office workers, and retired professionals. In outdoor workers, we included laborers, farmers, drivers, traffic police & others.

Socioeconomic status (SES) is a major risk factor affecting the health status of a person or a family. Participants in the study were from varied socioeconomic strata, upper class (72%), lower class (18%), and middle class (10%). In the present study, BG Prasad’s socioeconomic status scale was adopted to grade the SES of participants enrolled in the study (Table 11). Considering the associated comorbidities, the majority of participants were free of any associated systemic diseases (80.7%), only a small proportion of them had Diabetes Mellitus (D.M.) (8.8%), hypertension (HTN) (8.4%), D.M. with HTN (0.6%), and others (1.6%). Patients with systemic diseases were mainly from rural backgrounds (Table 1). The overall prevalence of cataracts was 71% in the present study. A similar prevalence has been reported in southern India (61.4%) [6]. Various other studies in the Indian subcontinent have found a higher prevalence of cataracts in northern India (58%) and in southern India (53%) [7,8]. The differences in cataract prevalence reported in different studies could be due to several reasons, including differences in ethnicity, clinical and epidemiological features of the population, and age group of the population. [9,10] In the present study, population living background is a risk factor for developing cataracts; in the rural population (OR 10.9, CI 9.4-

12.6, p<0.001), the risk of developing cataracts was 10.9 times higher than in the urban population.

Table 11: BG Prasad socioeconomic status scale (updated for January 2021).

Per Capita Monthly Income	Socioeconomic Status Class
≥2698	Upper Class
1349-2697	Upper Middle Class
809-1348	Middle Class
405-808	Lower Middle Class
≤404	Lower Class

Amongst those having cataracts, the majority of them had cortical cataracts (66.2%), followed by nuclear (27.1%) and a small number of posterior subcapsular cataracts (6.6%). In the Tanjong-Pagar survey in 2003, cortical cataracts (62%) were high, and reports were akin to the present study [11]. Previous studies [12] have shown that nuclear cataract was more common in northern India (48%) than in southern India (38%). In contrast, the present study conducted in Northern India showed cortical cataract was more common. Oxidative stress plays a different role in the development of nuclear cataract than in cortical cataract. The work of Neale et al. (2003)[13] suggests that the majority of UV-induced lens damage occurs before age 30 in the cortical lens fibers, which gradually shift to the centre of the nucleus as the lens ages, supporting the role of a cumulative effect of occupational hazards, including sun exposure, especially when it begins at a young age.

The prevalence of PSC in the present study is broadly in accord with some previous studies in India and Asia, although the prevalence rates in the Shih-Pai [14,15] studies appeared to be somewhat lower. Prevalence rates of PSC opacities are consistently lower in the Western population, with rates of approximately 5% to 8% [16-20].

The evidence published in the literature that ultraviolet radiation is a risk factor for cataracts is strongest for cortical cataracts [21]. Exposure to ultraviolet radiation depends on latitude, occupation, and behavioral factors; genetic factors have also been strongly correlated with the development of cortical cataracts [22], although only a few genes have been identified. In the rural population (89.1% age 50-60 years), cataract seems to start developing at a comparatively early age as compared to the urban population (51.6% age 50-60 years). This observation is consistent with a study conducted on the rural population in Pondicherry, which showed a steep increase (75.1%) in cataract cases in subjects over 50 years of age [23,24]. Age is an important predisposing factor for the development of senile cataract, which was clearly established in this study. The increasing risk trend with age is most significant in nuclear cataract, and this finding is consistent with the results of other studies [25-28] and suggesting a natural aging process of the nucleus and possibly a cumulative effect of certain risk exposures throughout life.

Present study found a higher prevalence of cataracts in males compared with females, and this finding is contrary to observations made by Nirmalan P.K Krishnaiah et al.; (2003) & S Lewallen et al.; (1995) [29,30]. Exposure to indoor smoke has been implicated as one of the causes; toxins from biomass fuel smoke are systematically absorbed and accumulate in the lens, leading to the appearance of the cataract [31]. Two separate studies conducted in Aligarh (U.P.) by Khan et al.; 2017[32] in Aligarh Maroof et al. 2017[33], did not find any gender bias in the prevalence of cataracts. In the present study, there was gender bias whilst estimating the risk factor for developing a cataract (OR, 6.9 CI 7.31-7.74, $p < 0.001$). Men were found to develop cataracts 6.9 times more than women.

Regardless of the work environment, indoor and outdoor PSC was seen more commonly seen in the rural population (indoor and outdoor workers, 14.1% and 11.5%, respectively) as compared to in the urban population (indoor and outdoor workers, 0.7% and 0% respectively). In the rural population, domestic workers were also similarly affected (95.2% and 90.1%, respectively), possibly due to household air pollution from burning solid fuels for cooking, including coal and biomass fuels (wood, crop residues, and manure). This form of energy use has been shown to be associated with high levels of indoor air pollution and an increase in the incidence of cataracts in adults and children [34]. According to research by D. G. Fullerton et al. [34], this type of energy used in daily activities is associated with high indoor air pollution and an increase in the prevalence of cataracts in adults. There are numerous reports in the literature that kerosene use is associated with nuclear and posterior subcapsular cataracts, particularly in women who have been exposed to biomass gas cookstoves for prolonged periods of time [35-36].

Jones et al. [37] & Kakkar et al. [38] have examined the relationship between DM and cataracts and have unanimously

found that D.M. patients are at significant risk for cataracts. In a review article by Drinkwater et al. [39] the authors have maintained that age (year) and blood glucose levels were associated with a higher risk of cataract formation. However, another study Khan et al. [32] found that the duration of D.M. is the main risk factor for the occurrence of cataracts. Associated systemic conditions can further increase your risk of developing cataracts. Analysing the study's results reveals that individuals with diabetes mellitus have a four-fold increased risk of developing cataracts compared to those without the disease, whereas hypertensives and those with other combination illnesses had a ten-fold increased risk. According to Kiziltoprak H et al. [40] excessive levels of glucose in aqueous humour in diabetes mellitus initially diffuse into the lens before being converted to advanced glycation end products, which build up in the lens and are crucial for the development of cataracts.

Conclusion

Amongst the general population prevalence of senile cataracts is relatively high, and cortical cataract is the commonest type of senile cataract. The prevalence of cataracts is higher in the outdoor workers, and patients with lower socioeconomic status. Patients addicted with the tobacco, alcohol and cigarette smoking is also affected from the cataract conditions in rural population greater than the urban population.

References

1. WHO (2019) World report on vision. Geneva: World Health Organization. Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO.
2. Salal K et al (2022) Knowledge and Awareness about Cataract and factors affecting cataract surgery among rural versus urban population in Eastern India: An Observational study. *IJMSIR* 7(1): 117-125.
3. Shiels A, Bennett TM, Knopf HLS, Maraini G, Li A, et al. (2008) The EPHA2 gene is associated with cataracts linked to chromosome 1p. *Molecular Vision* 14: 2042-2055.
4. Michael R, Bron AJ (2011) The ageing lens and cataract: a model of normal and pathological ageing. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 366(1568): 1278-1292.
5. Devi R V, Anthony K, Ranjana M (2018) Visual outcome in outreach eye camp cataract surgery and its complications in Northeast India. *Indian J Clin Exp Ophthalmol* 4(2): 280-283.
6. Singh S, Pardhan S, Kulothungan V, Swaminathan G, Ravichandran JS, et al. (2019) The prevalence and risk factors for cataract in rural and urban India. *Indian J Ophthalmol* 67(4): 477-483.
7. Klein BE, Klein R, Lee KE, Meuer SM (2003) Socioeconomic and lifestyle factors and the 10-year incidence of age-related cataracts. *Am J Ophthalmol* 136(3): 506-512.
8. Nirmalan PK, Robin AL, Katz J, Tielsch JM, Thulasiraj RD, et al (2004). Risk factors for age related cataract in a rural population of southern India: the Aravind Comprehensive Eye Study. *Br J Ophthalmol* 88(8): 989-994.
9. Aarthi R, Roy Gautam, Kar Sitanshu Sekhar, Srinivasan Renuka (2015) Prevalence of cataract among adults above 50 years in a rural community of Villupuram, Tamil Nadu. *International Journal of Advanced Medical and Health Research* 2(1): 50-54.

10. Singh Sumeer, Pardhan Shahina, Kulothungan Vaitheeswaram, Swaminathan Gayathri, Ravichandran Janani Surya, et al. (2019) The prevalence and risk factors for cataract in rural and urban India. *Indian Journal of Ophthalmology* 67(4): 477-483.
11. Foster PJ, Wong TY, Machin D, Johnson GJ, Seah SKL (2003) Risk factors for nuclear, cortical and posterior subcapsular cataracts in the Chinese population of Singapore: the Tanjong Pagar Survey. *The British Journal of Ophthalmology* 87(9): 1112-1120.
12. Vashist P, Talwar B, Gogoi M, Maraini G, Camparini M, et al. (2011) Prevalence of cataract in an older population in India. *Ophthalmology* 118(2): 272-278.
13. Neale RE, Purdie JL, Hirst LW, Green AC (2003) Sun exposure as a risk factor for nuclear cataract. *Epidemiology (Cambridge, Mass.)* 14(6): 707-712.
14. Tsai SY, Hsu WM, Cheng CY, Liu JH, Chou P (2003) Epidemiologic study of age-related cataracts among an elderly Chinese population in Shih-Pai, Taiwan. *Ophthalmology* 110(6): 1089-1095.
15. Xu L, Cui T, Zhang S, Sun B, Zheng Y, et al. (2006) Prevalence, and risk factors of lens opacities in urban and rural Chinese in Beijing. *Ophthalmology* 113(5): 747-755.
16. Klein BE, Klein R, Linton KL (1992) Prevalence of age-related lens opacities in a population: the Beaver Dam Eye Study. *Ophthalmology* 99(4): 546-552.
17. Mitchell P, Cumming RG, Attebo K, Panchapakesan J (1997) Prevalence of cataract in Australia: the Blue Mountains eye study. *Ophthalmology* 104(4): 581-588.
18. West SK, Muñoz B, Schein OD, Duncan DD, Rubin GS (1998) Racial differences in lens opacities: the Salisbury Eye Evaluation (SEE) project. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 148(11): 1033-1039.
19. McCarty CA, Mukesh BN, Fu CL, Taylor HR (1999) The epidemiology of cataract in Australia. *American Journal of Ophthalmology* 128(4): 446-465.
20. Varma R, Torres M, Los Angeles Latino Eye Study Group (2004) Prevalence of lens opacities in Latinos: the Los Angeles Latino Eye Study. *Ophthalmology* 111(8): 1449-1456.
21. McCarty CA, Taylor HR (2002) A review of the epidemiologic evidence linking ultraviolet radiation and cataracts. *Developments in Ophthalmology* 35: 21-31.
22. Hammond CJ, Duncan DD, Snieder H, de Lange M, West SK, et al. (2001) The heritability of age-related cortical cataract: the twin eye study. *Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science* 42(3): 601-605.
23. Nirmalan PK, Krishnadas R, Ramakrishnan R, Thulasiraj RD, Katz J, et al. (2003) Lens opacities in a rural population of southern India: the Aravind Comprehensive Eye Study. *Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science* 44(11): 4639-4643.
24. Krishnaiah S, Vilas K, Shamanna BR, Rao GN, Thomas R, et al. (2005) Smoking and its association with cataract: results of the Andhra Pradesh eye disease study from India. *Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science* 46(1): 58-65.
25. Delcourt C, Carrière I, Delage M, Descomps B, Cristol JP, et al. (2003) Associations of cataract with antioxidant enzymes and other risk factors: the French Age-Related Eye Diseases (POLA) Prospective Study. *Ophthalmology* 110(12): 2318-2326.
26. Leske MC, Chylack LT, Wu SY (1991) The Lens Opacities Case-Control Study. Risk factors for cataract. *Archives of Ophthalmology* 109(2): 244-251.
27. Klein BE K Klein R Lee KE (1998) Incidence of age-related cataract: the Beaver Dam Eye Study. *Arch Ophthalmol* 116(2): 219-225.
28. Leske MC, Chylack LT, He Q, Wu SY, Schoenfeld E, et al. (1998) Risk factors for nuclear opalescence in a longitudinal study. LSC Group. Longitudinal Study of Cataract. *Am J Epidemiol* 147(1): 36-41.
29. Nirmalan PK, Krishnadas R, Ramakrishnan R, Thulasiraj RD, Katz J, et al. (2003) Lens opacities in a rural population of southern India: the Aravind Comprehensive Eye Study. *Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science* 44(11): 4639-4643.
30. Courtright P, Kanjaloti S, Lewallen S (1995) Barriers to acceptance of cataract surgery among patients presenting to district hospitals in rural Malawi. *Tropical and Geographical Medicine* 47(1): 15-18.
31. Shalini VK, Luthra M, Srinivas L, Rao SH, Basti S, et al. (1994) Oxidative damage to the eye lens caused by cigarette smoke and fuel smoke condensates. *Indian Journal of Biochemistry & Biophysics* 31(4): 261-266.
32. Khan A, Petropoulos IN, Ponirakis G, Malik RA (2017) Visual complications in diabetes mellitus: beyond retinopathy. *Diabetic Medicine: A Journal of the British Diabetic Association* 34(4): 478-484.
33. Maroof M, Ahmad A, Khalique N, Ansari M (2016) Health Problem Pattern Among Geriatrics In Aligarh- A Cross Sectional Study. *Natl J Integr Res Med* 7(2): 61-65.
34. Fullerton DG, Bruce N, Gordon SB (2008) Indoor air pollution from biomass fuel smoke is a major health concern in the developing world. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 102(9): 843-851.
35. Pokhrel AK, Bates MN, Shrestha SP, Bailey IL, Dimartino RB, et al. (2013) Biomass stoves and lens opacity and cataract in Nepalese women. *Optometry and Vision Science: Official Publication of the American Academy of Optometry* 90(3): 257-268.
36. Ravilla TD, Gupta S, Ravindran RD, Vashist P, Krishnan T, et al. (2016) Use of cooking fuels and cataract in a population-based study: The India eye disease study. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 124(12): 1857-1862.
37. Jones RB, Allison SP, Janghorbani M (2000) Incidence of and risk factors for cataract among diabetes clinic attenders. *Ophthalmic Epidemiology* 7(1): 13-25.
38. Kakkar R, Aggarwal P, Kandpal SD, Bansal SK (2013) An epidemiological study to assess morbidity profile among geriatric population in District Dehradun. *Indian J Community Health* 25(1): 39-44.
39. Drinkwater JJ, Davis WA, Davis TME (2019) A systematic review of risk factors for cataract in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes/Metabolism Research and Reviews* 35(1): e3073.
40. Kiziltoprak H, Tekin K, Inanc M, Goker YS (2019) Cataract in diabetes mellitus. *World Journal of Diabetes* 10(3): 140-153.



This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License
DOI: [10.19080/JOJO.2024.09.555797](https://doi.org/10.19080/JOJO.2024.09.555797)

**Your next submission with Juniper Publishers
will reach you the below assets**

- Quality Editorial service
- Swift Peer Review
- Reprints availability
- E-prints Service
- Manuscript Podcast for convenient understanding
- Global attainment for your research
- Manuscript accessibility in different formats
(Pdf, E-pub, Full Text, Audio)
- Unceasing customer service

Track the below URL for one-step submission

<https://juniperpublishers.com/online-submission.php>