A Case of Temporal Arteritis Associated with Atypical Clinical Findings
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Abstract

Introduction: We describe a case of temporal arteritis associated with atypical clinical findings, that affected both eyes of the patient within a 1 month interval.

Case report: A 63 years old hypertensive male patient applied to our clinic with complaint of vision loss in his right eye for two weeks. His visual acuity was perception of hand movements in right eye. He did not complain of any associated headache, scalp tenderness, jaw claudication or constitutional symptoms such as weight loss, fever, malaise or sweats. We consulted the patient to internal medicine, rheumatology and hematology departments. His clinical and laboratory findings were unremarkable except thrombocytosis. Following a presumptive diagnosis of non-arteritic ischemic optic neuropathy, the patient was hospitalized and treated with intravenous 1000mg methylprednisolone for 3 days. After 3 days, oral prednisolone therapy was started 60mg per day. Oral steroid therapy was tapered 10mg with 3 days interval. 1 month later, patient presented with sudden vision loss in his left eye. Fundus examination revealed left optic disc swelling and soft exudates near the optic disc.

Conclusion: In people older than 55 years, amaurosis fugax or visual loss, development of an acute ocular ischemic lesion with or without elevated erythrocyte sedimentation rate and systemic symptoms, should raise suspicion for giant cell arteritis.

Table 1: Symptoms in Giant Cell Arteritis [3].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Symptoms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>Jaw claudication (pain on chewing)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scalp tenderness</td>
<td>Loss of vision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormalities of the temporal artery</td>
<td>(pain, nodules, absence of pulse)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Introduction

Giant cell arteritis (GCA), is a systemic vasculitis, affects medium and large sized cranial arteries [1], it can cause serious morbidities. Giant cell arteritis (GCA) predominantly affects elderly females [2]. The typical symptoms of new-onset GCA are bitemporal headaches, jaw claudication, scalp tenderness, visual disturbances, systemic symptoms such as fever and weight loss, and polymyalgia [3]. The diagnostic assessment comprises laboratory testing (erythrocyte sedimentation rate, C-reactive protein), temporal artery biopsy and imaging studies. The most important complication is permanent and deep vision loss. Diagnosis of TA is difficult if typical symptoms other than visual loss are absent. It can be difficult to diagnose this disease in the absence of typical clinical signs and laboratory findings. Here we report a silent and atypical temporal arteritis case (Table 1 & 2).
Table 2: The American College of Rheumatology classification criteria [4] for GCA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Age at disease onset: development of symptoms or findings beginning at the age of ≥50 years.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• New headache: new onset of or new type of localized pain in the head.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Temporal artery abnormality: temporal artery tenderness to palpation or decreased pulsation, unrelated to arteriosclerosis of cervical arteries.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Elevated ESR: ESR ≥50 mm/hour.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Abnormal artery biopsy: biopsy specimen with artery showing vasculitis characterised by a predominance of mononuclear cell infiltration or granulomatous inflammation, usually with multinucleated giant cells.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A patient is said to have GCA if at least three of these five criteria are present. The presence of any three or more criteria yields a sensitivity of 93.5% and a specificity of 91.2%.

Case Report

63 year old hypertensive male patient applied to our hospital with complaint of vision loss in his right eye for two weeks. His visual acuity was perception of hand movements in right eye and 8/10 according to Snellen Chart in left eye. While anterior segment evaluation revealed bilateral nuclear sclerosis, fundoscopic examination revealed papilledema in his right eye. He did not complain of any associated headache, scalp tenderness, jaw claudication or constitutional symptoms such as weight loss, fever, malaise or sweats. We consulted the patient to internal medicine, rheumatology and hematology departments. His clinical and labaratory findings were unremarkable except thrombocytosis. Following a presumptive diagnosis of non-arteritic ischemic optic neuropathy the patient was hospitalized and treated with intravenous 1000mg methylprednisolone for 3 days. After 3 days, oral prednisolone therapy was started 60mg per day. Oral steroid therapy was tapered 10mg with 3 days interval. However at 10-days’ examination visual acuity in his right eye was reduced to loss of light perception. 1 month later, patient presented with sudden vision loss in his left eye, headache and malaise. Fundus examination revealed left optic disc swelling and soft exudates near the optic disc (Figure 1). Floroscein angiography showed delayed filling at arterial phase and late staining of the optic disc. Humphrey Perimetry test revealed a paracentral island in his left eye. Since the visual acuity was at the level of loss of light perception at the right eye we didn’t perform the Humphrey Perimetry test for the right eye.

Discussion

Patients with GCA typically present headache, jaw claudication, fever, weight loss, myalgia, arthralgia or malaise. Conversely, patients with occult GCA, first described by Simmons & Cogan [5], present with sudden visual loss without systemic symptoms and signs. Thus, due to the lack of symptoms, diagnosis and treatment of silent GCA may be considerably delayed when compared to typical GCA. Occult GCA, a potential cause of blindness, is defined as ocular involvement of GCA without any systemic symptoms and signs [6]. Ocular symptoms include visual loss, amaurosis fugax, diplopia and eye pain.
Hayreh et al. [6], described cotton wool spots in up to one third of eyes with visual loss during the early stages of occult GCA [6]. Scalp abscess, chronic earache, bilateral central retinal artery occlusion, mydriatic pupil, dry cough, aort aneurism, bilateral submandibular lymphadenopathy, hepatosplenomegaly are some atypical symptoms of GCA. The occult GCA group had higher C-reactive protein levels, a higher platelet count, and lower serum albumin levels [7]. Ophthalmic involvement can occur in up to 50% to 70% of the GCA patients, and this represents an ocular emergency. Arteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy (AAION) is the most common type of ophthalmic involvement in GCA and can cause permanent visual loss. Therefore, prompt diagnosis and treatment with a high dose of steroids is essential for these patients. In our patient at the first presentation labaratory findings were unremarkable and there were no systemic symptoms; therefore at the beginning, our presumptive diagnosis was non-arteritic ischemic optic neuropathy of right eye. 1 month later, sudden vision loss appeared at left eye and he was complaining from headache, scalp tenderness; ESR and CRP levels were elevated on laboratory examination at that time. Hayreh et al. [6] found that ESR and CRP levels were relatively lower in patients with occult GCA compared to cranial GCA. On the other hand, Hamidou et al. [7] found higher CRP levels, a higher platelet count, and lower serum albumin levels in occult GCA group. In our patient’s first presentation, ESR and CRP levels were within the normal limits. One month later when the other eye was effected ESR and CRP levels were remarkably high (Figure 3).

Although Liozon et al. [8] describes occult GCA as a protracted inflammatory response and a relatively benign short term outcome, in our case despite the long term corticosteroid and immununspressive treatment, visual acquity was loss of light perception level in the right eye. Tan et al. [9] reported a case of GCA involving only the occipital artery which was revealed by magnetic resonance angiography. Chomlak et al. [10] reported a case of GCA with vertebral artery involvement, which was refractory to immunosuppressives. Hocevar et al. [11] claimed that, even early diagnosis and a prompt initiation of steroid did not prevent relapses in GCA. In our case after first attack despite the prompt intravenous steroid therapy one month later the patient consulted with visual loss at his other eye this verified Hocevar’s hypothesis. Cullen et al. [12] reported an occult GCA case in Singapore. Papakostas et al. [13] reported a case of GCA that presented with cotton wool spots and retinal vasculitis affecting small-size retinal arterioles. Shambhu et al. [14] reported an atypical case of GCA presenting as mild upper abdominal pain and generalized weakness in the context of hyponatremia as the presenting manifestation of vasculitis that was subsequently diagnosed by MRI scanning. Cheema et al. [15] described a case had the signs and symptoms consistent with GCA but who had an ESR within the normal limits, 27mm/h. In our case ESR at the first presenting ESR was within the normal limits, too. Labarca et al. [16] reported that patients with hypertension or diabetes at GCA diagnosis have more relapses during follow-up. Kermani et al. [17] reported seven patients with a positive temporal arteritis for GCA had a normal ESR and CRP at diagnosis (Figure 4).

In people older than 55 years, amaurosis fugax or visual loss, development of an acute ocular ischemic lesion with or without elevated erythrocyte sedimentation rate and systemic symptoms, should raise suspicion for giant cell arteritis. The diagnosis of temporal arteritis is easily made when sudden loss is contemporary with other symptoms and raised inflammatory markers. In the absence of known symptoms, in a patient diagnosed as ischemic optic neuropathy, occult temporal arteritis shouldn’t be out of mind. Despite the early diagnosis and treatment final visual acquity may not be satisfactory.
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