



The Role of 2nd Trimester Screening Test Parameters in Predicting the Birth Weight in Pregnancy



Pervin Karlı^{1*}, Durmuş Ayan², Esra Güner¹, Ayşe Zehra Özdemir³ and Osman Fadıl Kara¹

¹Department of Obstetric and Gynecology, Amasya University Research Hospital, Turkey

²Department of biochemistry, Amasya University Research Hospital, Turkey

³Department of Obstetric and Gynecology, Ondokuz Mayıs University, Turkey

Submission: August 08, 2017; **Published:** September 06, 2018

*Corresponding author: Pervin Karlı, Department of Obstetric and Gynecology, Amasya University Research Hospital, 05 000 Amasya, Turkey, Fax: 90 358 212 00 01; Tel: +9003583065000; Email: parpi2300@hotmail.com

Abstract

Introduction: The increasing popularity of double-test, the quadruple test and Non-Invasive Prenatal Test (NIPT) has led to a decreasing in the preference of the triple test in a clinical perspective. The clinical importance of triple test has been decreasing due to increasing popularity of double-test, the quadruple test and Non-Invasive Prenatal Test (NIPT). Other tests cannot be replaced with alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) screening, however. Other tests cannot be used instead of AFP screening, however. Serum parameters tested in pregnancy can be partly considered as a guide of perinatal mortality and morbidity not only in the prediction of such diseases as preeclampsia and Intrauterine Growth Restriction (IUGR), but in determination of risk rates related to fetal trisomy, as well. Perinatal mortality and morbidity can be considered as a sign of direction, as serum markers in pregnancy are predictive of diseases such as preeclampsia and IUGR, as well as risk ratios of fetal trisomy.

Materials and methods: In this paper, we investigated whether the parameters of triple tests conducted between September 2017 and October 2017 in our clinic played a role in predicting the birth weight as well as whether these parameters had a relationship with other parameters.

Results: After a series of tests, it was found that there was a significant positive correlation between birth weight and birth age ($p=0.009$, $r:0.199$), a significant negative correlation between birth weight and serum AFP levels as well as a modest positive correlation between birth weight and baby weight ($p=0.023$, $r:0.174$).

Conclusion: In this paper, we found that only AFP from triple screening test parameters was related to birth weight significantly. AFP analysis can be regarded as a convenient approach in that it gives indicators showing that the birth weight is higher than normal levels. Since AFP is attached to importance in Neural tube defect (NTD) screening, it can be just enough to conduct AFP from triple screening parameters which are taken over by quadruple and NIPT tests.

Keywords: Second trimester screening; Triple test; Prediction of birth weight; AFP; Esrtriol; HCG

Abbreviations: NIPT: Non-Invasive Prenatal Test; IUGR: Intrauterine Growth Restriction; NTD: Neural Tube Defect; HEG: Hyperemesis Gravidarum

Introduction

Serum triple screening test used to be a popular test used in down-syndrome screening test until recently. The preference of it, however, is continuously decreasing due to the increasing popularity of quadruple tests, NIPT tests, and ultrasonographic examination which currently gives more detailed information. It can be argued that whether preferring new tests to this is an advantage or a disadvantage in terms of a screening test hasn't been certain yet. In this research, we attempted to examine the importance of triple screening test parameters on predicting birth weight.

Material and Method

A total of 170 patients who were between 18-45 ages and came to hospital for routine screening during 16th and 18th weeks of their pregnancy in September 2017 and October

2017 were recruited for this study and their triple screening test results were analysed retrospectively. The information on their birth weight was obtained via their birth registrations. In patient data, it was seen that the triple test had been conducted via chemiluminescence method with Immulite 2000 Hormone device. Parameters had been calculated with Prisca 5 (versions: 5,0,2,37). The statistical analysis was conducted through SPSS 15 windows programme. The descriptive statistics were given as median, minimum, maximum and percentage. Spearman test from non-parametric tests was used since there wasn't normal distribution in data. To test the normality of distribution and homogeneity, Skewness and Kurtosis values, histogram graphics and Shapiro-wilk tests were utilised. Mean, minimum and maximum values were used instead of standard deviation since the results didn't show normal distribution. The triple test was measured by the chemiluminescence method with the Immulite

2000 hormone device. The parameters were calculated with Prisca 5 (Version: 5,0,2,37). Statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS 15 for Windows program.

Findings

Diabetes status of the patients (n = 170): 164 (96.5%) not having diabetes, 3 (1.8%) unknown, 3 (1.8%) having diabetes. Smoking status of the patients: 151 (88.8%) not smoking, 16 (9.4%) smoking, 3 (1.8%) unknown. Gender rates of babies: 75 (44.1%) girl, 95 (55.9) boy. According to the results of Spearman Correlation Analysis, there was a significant positive correlation between birth weight and birth age (p=0.009, r:0.199), a significant negative correlation between birth weight and serum AFP levels and a modest positive correlation between birth weight and baby weight (p=0.023, r:0.174). It was also found that there was a significant positive correlation between diabetes and smoking status (p=0.001, r:0.367), a modest negative correlation between diabetes and AFP MoM

Table 1:

Patient (n=170)	Birth Age (yıl)	Serum AFP (ng/ml)	AFP MoM	HCG MoM	UE3 MoM	NTD MoM	Pregnancy Week	Fetal Birth Weight	Weight of Patient
Medyan	27.5	41.6	1.06	0.87	0.91	1.06	17	3302.5	65
Minimum	19	1.12	0.44	0.16	0.38	0.44	15	790	40
maksimum	41	157	4.62	3.82	3.02	4.62	20	4360	107

Discussion

The triple test has been giving way to double-test, the quadruple test and NIPT tests across the world. In this research, we attempted to investigate the role of triple test in predicting the birth weight. Wald et al. compared the birth weights of 4198 pregnant with AFP levels and found that there was a significant negative relation. They also expressed that there was a relation between low level AFP and extended pregnancy period and increased birth weight, but elective early pregnancy was terminated due to increased fetal weight [1]. Brock et al. [2] on the other hand, conducted a prospective study on 103 pregnant and found that AFP levels were higher two-three times than averages. They put forward that 10% of those were 2,5 ki under the regular birth weights and the high level of AFP were together with premature birth [2]. In a study conducted on 1739 pregnant, the high level maternal AFP levels were related to low birth weight, low maternal weight and smoking rate. It was suggested that smoking and low maternal weight affected birth weight more than these screening tests [3]. Simpson et al. [4] investigated the relationship between second and third trimester maternal AFP levels and bad perinatal results and found no significant difference between third trimester AFP levels and pregnancy results, but found significant relation between second trimester AFP levels and premature rupture of membran (PROM), preterm birth and low birth weight. This relationship wasn't found in other certain complications [4]. Lambert et al. [5] used PAPP-A levels in prediction of preeclampsia and found highly significant [5]. Peled et al. [6]

(p=0.049, r:-0.152), and a modest negative correlation between diabetes and birth week (p=0.034, r:-0.163). Additionally, there was a modest positive correlation between smoking status and birth weight (p=0.024, r:0.173), a modest positive correlation between serum AFP levels and birth week (p=0.02, r: 0.179), a significant negative correlation between serum AFP levels and birth weight (p=0.001, r: -0.271). There wasn't any correlation between HCG and birth age (p=0.062, r:-0.144), diabetes (p=0.271, r:0.085), smoking (p=0.616, r:-0.039), birth week (p=0.088, r: -0.131), gender (p=0.072, r: -0.138), birth weight (p=0.460, r: -0.507), maternal weight (p=0.906, r: -0.009) (Table 1). There wasn't a correlation between UE3 (unconjugate estriol) and birth age (p=0.095, r:-0.129), diabetes (p=0.273, r:0.085), smoking (p=0.906, r:-0.009) birth week (p=0.830, r: -0.017) gender (p=0.164, r: -0.107) birth weight (p=0.945, r: 0.005) maternal weight (p=0.242, r: -0.090). There wasn't a correlation between other parameters and in-group.

conducted a study including 219 patients of whom 73 were diagnosed with Hyperemesis Gravidarum (HEG) and found HCG values of patients with HEG were much higher [6]. It was found that patients whose pregnancy-associated plasma protein-A(PAPP-A) and NT values were higher in first trimester screening had higher rates in giving large-for-gestational-age (LGA) births [7]. Parker et al. [8] compared the possible fetal weight in second trimester with the third age weight of the child and put forward that this may have a relation with body fat and obesity [8]. Morris et al. [9] screened five serum parameters 169, 637 and 382, 005 in terms of preeclampsia small for gestational age (SGA) in systematically (The data sources included Medline, Embase, Cochrane library, Medion) and found that these serum parameters had low predictive certainty and would be more significant if evaluated with other tests [9]. In our study, we found a perfect negative correlation between serum AFP levels and birth weight (p=0.001). We suggest that serum AFP levels can be an indicator of birth weight of the baby.

Conclusion

AFP from triple screening test parameters were found as significant in terms of prediction birth weight. Serum estriol and HCG levels were found to be no relation. From the findings of the study, we suggest that AFP from triple screening test parameters which isn't encountered among routine down syndrome screenings in many countries can be important in conducting serum screening alone since there isn't any other parameters giving information on NTD risk in second trimester and it isn't significant in prediction of fetal weight.

References

1. Wald NJ, Cuckle HS, Boreham J, Turnbull AC (1980) Maternal serum alpha-fetoprotein and birth weight. *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology* 87(10): 860-863.
2. Brock DJH, Barron L, Jelen P, Watt M, Scrimgeour JB (1977) Maternal serum-alpha-fetoprotein measurements as an early indicator of low birth-weight. *Lancet* 310(8032): 267-268.
3. Secher NJ, Eriksen PS, Hansen PK, Lenstrup C, Thisted J (1985) High Maternal Serum Alpha-Fetoprotein and Low Birthweight. A study concerning possible correlation between maternal weight, smoking, and serum alpha-fetoprotein and subsequent birthweight and gestational age. *Am J Perinatol* 2(2): 78-81.
4. Simpson JL, Palomaki GE, Mercer B, Haddow JE, Andersen R, et al. (1995) Fetus-placenta-newborn. *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology* 173(6): 1742-1748.
5. Lambert-Messerlian G, Eklund EE, Chien EK, Rosene-Montella K, Neveux LM, et al. (2014) Use of first or second trimester serum markers, or both, to predict preeclampsia. *Pregnancy Hypertension: An International Journal of Women's Cardiovascular Health* 4(4): 271-278.
6. Peled Y, Melamed N, Krissi H, Eitan R, Yogev Y, et al. (2012) The impact of severe hyperemesis gravidarum on the triple test screening results. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med* 25(6): 637-638.
7. Plasencia W, González Dávila E, Tetilla V, Padrón Pérez E, García Hernández JA, et al. (2012) First-trimester screening for large-for-gestational-age infants. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 39(4): 389-395.
8. Parker M, Rifas-Shiman SL, Oken E, Belfort MB, Jaddoe VW, et al. (2012) Second trimester estimated fetal weight and fetal weight gain predict childhood obesity. *J Pediatr* 161(5): 864-870.
9. Morris RK, Cnossen JS, Langejans M, Robson SC, Kleijnen J, et al. (2008) Serum screening with Down's syndrome markers to predict pre-eclampsia and small for gestational age: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 8: 33.



This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License
DOI: [10.19080/JOJCS.2018.08.555738](https://doi.org/10.19080/JOJCS.2018.08.555738)

Your next submission with Juniper Publishers will reach you the below assets

- Quality Editorial service
- Swift Peer Review
- Reprints availability
- E-prints Service
- Manuscript Podcast for convenient understanding
- Global attainment for your research
- Manuscript accessibility in different formats
(Pdf, E-pub, Full Text, Audio)
- Unceasing customer service

Track the below URL for one-step submission

<https://juniperpublishers.com/online-submission.php>