The Role of Bacterial Biofilms in Ocular Inflammation
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Editorial

For several decades, BLEPHARITIS, DRY EYE DISEASE and meibomian gland dysfunction (MGD) have been thought to be three distinct entities, and evaporative dry eye distinct from aqueous insufficiency [1]. To further muddy the discussion, subtle distinctions separate posterior blepharitis and meibomian gland dysfunction (MGD) [2]. Is it possible that all these diseases are really linked by the same pathogenic mechanism? A new theory suggests that these diseases are all connected by a single concept, namely bacterial biofilm formation [3] and that a new term, dry eye blepharitis syndrome (DEBS) would be more accurate and more appropriate terminology. This term explains the relationship between bacterial biofilm formation on the eyelid margin and connects blepharitis, dry eye and MGD as linear changes related to biofilm maturation and migration [4].

First, we must understand that bacteria come in two forms: planktonic, which are individual, free-floating bacteria and biofilm-dwellers, which are bacteria that produce and live in a polysaccharide biofilm [5]. In nature, the prevailing habitat of bacteria is not planktonic, but is within a biofilm that they create for themselves. Biofilm is a well-hydrated matrix of bacterial glycocalyx, and is produced by the vast majority of bacterial species, including Staphylococcus [6]. It can be thought of as a layer of protective armor. It is virtually impenetrable, like a fortress. Antibiotics can’t penetrate it, a surgical iodine prep can’t penetrate it, and believe it or not, our own white cells can’t penetrate it [7].

Biofilms will occur anywhere moisture and nutrients exist on a surface [8]. The lid margin with moisture, nutrients and warmth, are the perfect environment for a thriving bacterial biofilm. In fact, it would be unrealistic to suggest that a biofilm does not exist on the lid margin. It probably begins forming just after birth when the lids first become colonized with lid flora [9].

A single bacterium would have a low chance of survival. However, put that bacteria together with billions of others, and the biofilm as a whole, can survive and expand. Bacteria communicate with one another within a biofilm using a chemical called homoserine lactone (HSL) [10]. While the HSL concentrations in the biofilm of a 2-year old child is very low, and hence the biofilm is non-pathogenic, the biofilm of a 50 or 60 year old has had decades to thicken, and increase its bacteria load and HSL concentrations. This is a critical component of the pathogenesis of DEBS.

Once the bacterial colony senses that it numbers have reached critical mass though an increased concentration of HSLs, quorum-sensing gene activation (QSGA) occurs [11]. QSGA occurs when the bacterial population reaches a certain quorum, triggering dormant genes to activate. The newly activated genes now begin expressing inflammatory virulence factors such as lipases, proteases, and cytolytic toxins, among a host of others [12].

Why do bacteria not just start making virulence factors from the beginning? Because bacteria do not want to produce an inflammatory response from the host until they know they can survive it. So the colony waits until they reach a quorum, indicating that they are safe within a thickened biofilm.

It is important to realize that not all strains of Staphylococcus are identical. Some are much more pathogenic than others. Some might create an early mature biofilm together with highly inflammatory toxins producing severe blepharitis and chalazion in an 8 year-old, while others may produce minimal biofilms with relatively mild virulence factors over a person’s lifetime, and therefore spare an 80 year-old of any significant lid margin disease. It all depends on the particular strain of staphylococcus [13].

Stages of DEBS

Stage 1 Folliculitis: Inflammation and edema of the lash follicles. It is always first due to the easy access of the encroaching biofilm down along the lash. Stage One DEBS, occurs especially rapidly in contact lens wearers [14].
Stage 2 MGD: Impaction and inflammation of the meibomian gland (MG). Due to the size of the MG relative to the lash follicle and the small ductule with constant efflux of lipids, it simply takes longer for biofilm to accumulate and thicken within the MGs. First, a simple plugging of the MG with altered meibum (raises melting point), reduces the quantity and quality of the meibum, sometimes referred to as non-obvious MGD with minimal inflammation. As the biofilm thickens within the MG, it eventually undergoes quorum-sensing and virulence factor production which causes the inflammation that is referred to as “posterior blepharitis.” At this point one will begin to see domes of meibofilm (altered meibum) over each meibomian ductule. It has long been thought that these little cream-colored domes over the MG were “caps” of keratin [15]. But since the posterior lid margin consists of non-keratinized stratified squamous epithelium, this is more likely simply meibofilm (altered meibum).

Stage 3 DEBS: Aqueous insufficiency - inflammation of the accessory lacrimal glands of Krause and Wolfring. It always occurs after MGD.20. This is easy to understand if one reviews the lid anatomy and location of these tear glands. The Glands of Wolfring are located along the top of the tarsal plate, and the Glands of Krause deep within the fornices. These 2 areas are quite distant from the margin, delaying access to a growing biofilm. However, biofilms can “seed” new areas by constantly dispersing tiny bits of biofilm into their environment, in this case the tear film. If this happens hundreds of times a day, for 30-50 years, it is not inconceivable that a microscopic bit of biofilm eventually reaches these glands.

We regularly see patients present with watery eyes, difficulty reading for long periods, burning etc, and they are typically difficult to refract as the vision changes with every blink. A low TBUT, few if any meibomian puddles and occluded ducts, confirm the diagnosis of Evaporative Dry Eye disease. But explaining that to the patient can be difficult, as they can’t comprehend how this can happen to them.

Overall, try rethinking dry eye, as a biofilm problem and this will lead to earlier and more effective treatment paradigms with improved outcomes.
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