Correlation between Intravascular Ultrasound and Multi-Detector Computed Tomography in Assessment of Coronary Lesion in Patients with Ischemic Heart Disease
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Introduction

Undoubtedly, ischemic heart disease (IHD) causes major morbidities and represents 53% of all death worldwide [1]. Its clinical presentation is highly variable. Patients may complaint of chronic stable angina, unstable angina, prinzemtal angina or acute myocardial infarction. However, it may be asymptomatic (silent ischemia) or complicated with heart failure, cardiac arrhythmia or sudden cardiac death [2].

The degree of coronary luminal narrowing is universally used to aid in the diagnosis as well as designing the therapeutic interventions [3]. Intravascular ultrasonography (IVUS) is the invasive gold standard method for anatomical assessment of coronary lesion. It allows visualization of the cross-sectional image of coronary artery and this modality helps to quantify plaque burden, artery size and luminal stenosis [4].

Various non-invasive methods for coronary lumen assessment and atherosclerotic plaque detection had been used. Multi-detector computed tomography (MDCT) is one of them. It gives excellent image quality and high diagnostic accuracy when compared with other methods [5]. CT image does not provide only coronary luminography, as it also adds more information about plaque morphology, plaque burden and the plaque remodeling degree [6].

Different authors had previously compared MDCT with IVUS, indicating a good agreement between these two methods [7,8]. Data showed that both techniques appeared to be highly accurate for estimating luminal area, plaque volume, plaque burden as well as detecting plaque morphology [9]. It was crucial to evaluate the accordance between MDCT and IVUS in a detailed manner.
according to local settings and practice. Thus, our study aimed to comprehensively investigate quantitative measurements of coronary lumen dimensions using MDCT and IVUS.

**Patients and Methods**

The study was conducted on IHD patients of both sexes and any age at cardiovascular department of Benha University Hospital and Military Production Hospital in the period between May 2016 and May 2018 after approval from the local research ethics committee. All patients planned for coronary angiography were explained the criteria of enrollment in the study as well as the description of the two tested interventions. Out of 72 patients who met our inclusion criteria, 55 patients agreed to be enrolled in the study. Eligible participants signed a written informed consent form before the beginning of the trial. Five patients, who developed allergy during intervention, were excluded.

We excluded patients who had one of the following criteria: acute myocardial infarction, previous stenting, documented allergy of intravenous contrast medium and renal insufficiency (creatinine >1.5 mg/dl) that contraindicated its administration. Patients with findings suggestive of suspected pregnancy, heart rate (>80bpm) or arrhythmias, contraindication to coronary angiography such as high risk of bleeding, heavy calcification (Ca score >600) and patients having obstacles in undergoing the CT, like improper breath holders were also excluded.

All patients were subjected to history taking (including age, gender and major contributing factors for Coronary artery disease (CAD) e; HTN, DM, Smoking, and dyslipidemia), clinical examination (vital signs, general examination and local cardiac examination) and routine laboratory test including (CBC, renal function and lipid profile).

All patients were referred to do MDCT and IVUS within 72 hour before coronary angiography. Measurements including minimal luminal area, minimal luminal diameter and plaque burden were obtained by both techniques and compared at patient, vessel and segment levels.

**MDCT**

Before the procedure, patients with heart rate (>65bpm) received beta-blocker before the acquisition of MDCT image, unless contraindicated due to specific clinical situation. All patients were instructed that how to hold breath, as it is crucial for the exam, told and reassured about the side effects of the contrast as warm sensation in the body after injection. Intravenous injection of contrast agent, lopromide (Ultravist 370/ml solution injection@ Bayer limited, Germany) and when a threshold of 180 Hounsfield units (HU) was reached, at descending aorta, the helical scan for MDCT was obtained.

The following parameters were assessed:
- **Coronary calcium score**: It allowed quantification of coronary calcium using dedicated software.
- **Minimal luminal area and stenosis grading**: At the site of the maximum narrowing of the coronary segment, it was studied using double-oblique short axis and luminal cross sectional area measurements were obtained, then the percentage of luminal stenosis was also calculated by (MLA/ corresponding reference lumen area) x100 and classified to mild stenosis <50%, moderate stenosis>50<75% and severe stenosis>75%.
- **Plaque volume**: It was measured using the equation of multiplying the total plaque areas of the studied cross-sections by their thickness. Small side branches were ruled out to avoid small non measurable plaques (beyond the CT spatial resolution).
- **Plaque burden quantification**: was calculated by (Plaque volume x100/vessel volume). Plaque burden were calculated automated.

**IVUS**

It was conducted with meticulous adherence to the standard protocol. After the vascular access was achieved through the femoral artery by “Seldinger technique” using 7f sheath, IVUS examination was started using a dedicated IVUS console (Volcano Corporation). Intracoronary nitrate was administrated then IVUS catheter was adjusted to a suitable position at distal coronary segment and was pulled back toward the ostium of coronary artery at continuous speed 0.5mm/s and assessment of all the following: Luminal and external elastic lamina, Athermanous area, Minimal luminal area and Plaque burden.

Statistical analysis was performed on a personal computer using IBM SPSS Statistics version 21 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY). The data was test for the normality of distribution of numerical data by Kolmogorov–Smirnov goodness. The normally distributed numerical data were presented as mean ± SD, while the paired samples student t test was used to test the in-between groups’ difference. Also, the categorical data were presented as number and percentage. Parameters were compared by “Wiloxon signed ranks test” and correlated using “Pearson’s and spearman coefficients”. Two-tailed P values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**Table 1: Baseline characteristics with the medical history of the study population.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baseline data</th>
<th>All patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (n, %)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>36 (72%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>14 (28%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The study population consisted of 50 patients who had coronary artery disease with different presentations and planned for coronary angiography. 72% of study participants were men and the mean age was 56.3 years. Dyslipidemia was the most prevalent risk factor followed by hypertension and smoking. Chronic stable angina and unstable angina were the two main presentations. After MDCT and coronary angiography were performed, single vessel disease was the commonest finding and multi-vessel involvement was the least encountered one. 73 vessels (1.4 vessels per patient) were visualized and the left anterior descending vessel was the most viewed one as shown in (Table 1). Also, 73 plaques were detected by using IVUS (63 with plaque burden more than 40% with remaining 10 with plaque burden less than 40%).

Table 2: Correlations between quantitative coronary lumen parameters by MDCT and IVUS at patient level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantitative Coronary Lumen Parameters</th>
<th>CCTA</th>
<th>IVUS</th>
<th>Correlation</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference diameter (mm) (Mean ± SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient level</td>
<td>3.19 ± 0.38</td>
<td>3.26 ± 0.40</td>
<td>r=0.934</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vessel level</td>
<td>3.16 ± 0.42</td>
<td>3.27 ± 0.44</td>
<td>r=0.926</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference area (mm²) (Mean ± SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient level</td>
<td>7.35 ± 1.18</td>
<td>7.66 ± 1.29</td>
<td>r=0.915</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vessel level</td>
<td>7.66 ± 1.34</td>
<td>7.96 ± 1.51</td>
<td>r=0.939</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLD (mm) (Mean ± SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient level</td>
<td>1.86 ± 0.46</td>
<td>2.09 ± 0.35</td>
<td>r=0.879</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vessel level</td>
<td>1.91 ± 0.52</td>
<td>2.09 ± 0.46</td>
<td>r=0.880</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLA (mm²) (Mean ± SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient level</td>
<td>3.66 ± 0.82</td>
<td>3.81 ± 0.75</td>
<td>r=0.810</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vessel level</td>
<td>3.68 ± 0.85</td>
<td>3.78 ± 0.80</td>
<td>r=0.800</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plaque burden (%) (Mean ± SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient level</td>
<td>57.2 ± 13.1</td>
<td>56.7 ± 11.4</td>
<td>r=0.805</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vessel level</td>
<td>57.6 ± 12.2</td>
<td>56.7 ± 11.3</td>
<td>r=0.804</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CCTA: Coronary computed tomography angiography, IVUS: Intravascular ultrasound, MLD: Minimal luminal diameter, MLA: Minimal luminal area.

Figure 1: Correlation between IVUS and MDCT for measurement of minimal luminal area, minimal luminal diameter and plaque burden at patient level (the upper row) and at vessel level (the lower row).
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**Discussion**

CAD is the commonest heart disease that causes death due to heart attacks. It is caused mainly by developing a plaque along the interiors of the coronaries, which narrows the arteries’ lumens and reduces their blood flow. It is a prevalent cause of hospital admissions and deaths worldwide [10].

IVUS was considered the best, but invasive method in evaluating and assessment of coronary lumen and athermanous plaque [11]. It provides valuable data regarding stenosis severity, lumen and vessel morphology, lesion length and plaque characteristics [12]. On the other hand, MDCT has been used in diagnosis of coronary artery disease as it improved spatial resolution and temporal resolution of the images. Thus, MDCT proved to be a reliable alternative to invasive method with high diagnostic accuracy [13,14].

Our study which was carried on 50 patients known to suffer from coronary artery disease and planned for coronary angiography (imaged vessels 73). All measurement obtained by MDCT and IVUS including (MLA, MLD and plaque burden) were compared at patient and vessel levels. Our study reported that, there is a high correlation between MDCT and IVUS (p<0.001) for assessment of coronary lumen. Also, with any given plaque burden (>40% or <40%), MDCT and IVUS were statistically correlated with high significance (P<0.001) at segment level.

With the rising involvement of MDCT in management of CAD, it is critical to overview its relationship with IVUS as well their diagnostic accuracy to obtain minimal luminal diameter, minimal luminal area and plaque burden. It was agreed that MDCT could provide useful data in managing for patients with CAD [15,16].

Different reports regarding the diversity of MDCT and IVUS parameters had been published. Mostly, the variation originated from changes of lesion criteria, lesion intensity, methodological designs and different sample sizes [17-23].

Our study was supported and in agreement with those reported by Alexander et al. [18] who studied 59 patients. Their study showed that, measurements of coronary lumen by MDCT correlated well with IVUS [MLA correlation r=0.81 (p<0.001), MLD correlation r=0.78 (p<0.001) and plaque area r=0.72 (p<0.01)].

Also, Caussin et al. [18] who 54 imaged vessels with 30% to 70% stenosis in a major coronary vessel, showed that there was a good correlation between MDCT and IVUS in assessment of coronary lumens including MLA, MLD and atherosclerotic plaque burden [(r=0.88, 0.846 and 0.806 respectively (P<0.001)]. Moreover, Papadopoulou et al. [19] showed that there was excellent agreement between MDCT and IVUS for measurement of MLA and atherosclerotic plaque burden [r=0.87 and r=0.79 respectively with (p<0.001)].

Some results pointed the MDCT potential to determine coronary atherosclerotic plaque in cases without significant coronary stenosis, but with further advancements in image quality that would be necessary to reach more reliable assessment, especially of non-calcified plaque throughout the coronary circulation [24]. Others stated that although the density measurements were highly dependent on slice width as well as the enhancement of contrast medium, the results indicated that more methodology standardization was required till the CT non-invasive detection of coronary plaque morphology could be announced as a primary screening tool for coronary plaques in any clinical setting [25].

However, the MDCT measurements, apart from being significantly correlated with IVUS, slightly gave relatively vast
margin of error through lower measurements of both lumen area and minimal lumen diameter [21]. Cademartiri et al. [26] showed that plaque attenuation was significantly affected by the lumen attenuation measured by CT. It was a direct proportionate relationship more lumen attenuation meant more plaque attenuation detected. On the other hand, both calcium and surrounding fat attenuation were not significantly affected.

Basically, tracing coronary lumen and plaque borders by the use of a semiautomatic tool, using aortic lumen attenuation, could underrate plaque affected segments’ luminal area and therefore decrease the CT specificity to detect the non-significantly affected segments by the use of IVUS. To sum up, MDCT gave acceptable alternative that provided good overall predictive accuracy in detecting markers of the limited flow in coronary lesions as luminal area < 4.0 mm² or MLA < 1.8 mm² [21].

In conclusion, the newly advanced state of art MSCT, being significantly correlated with IVUS with or without significant plaques, can identify mildly stenotic coronary lesions and aid the assessment of its morphology and remodeling management.

Of course, our study had some limitations. In creasing motions artifacts, in patients with heart rate more than 70 bpm during MDCT, limited the study even with the use of beta blockers. Our study population had a high prevalence of risk factors, which limited the generalizability of the study results to multiple clinical scenarios. Also, the correlation between MDCT and IVUS measurements could be altered by excluding patients with coronary calcium score >600. Conducting multi-center comprehensive trial with bigger sample size to confirm our results is recommended.
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