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Abstract

The purpose of our research was to assess the effect on blood pressure (BP) control and rennin levels of the Percutaneous recanalization of totally occluded renal arteries in patients with resistant arterial hypertension, preserved blood flow in the sub-segmental renal arteries, and high level of plasma rennin. we examined 7 patients with total occlusion of a renal artery and collected data for partially preserved sub segmental circulation.

Percutaneous recanalization was attempted in all of them. Success was achieved in 6 (85.7%) of the cases. All patients were hypertensive before the procedure, with mean BP values of 167.1/95.1 mmHg under systematic antihypertensive treatment with at least 3 antihypertensive agents. In all patients, plasma rennin activity levels were more than 2.5 ng/mL/h before the procedure. The patients had duplex signs of occluded renal artery and partially preserved sub segmental flow. For recanalization of the occlusions of the renal arteries, we used coronary CTO techniques. Clinical and Duplex follow-up was performed at 4 weeks, 3 months, 6 months, and 1 year after the intervention. BP was significantly reduced in all of the patients who had undergone successful revascularization. Two cases of in stent restenosis showed increased BP levels, which normalized again after the second PTA. In all of the patients with successful procedure, normal rennin levels were established at 6 months and 1 year.
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Introduction

Hypertension affects more than 25% of the world wide adult population [1]. Although the vast majority of patients suffer from essential hypertension, it is important to identify patients with secondary treatable causes of hypertension, especially renal artery stenosis (RAS), which is the usual cause of hypertension resistant to medical treatment [2]. The two main causes of renal artery stenosis are atherosclerosis and fibromuscular dysplasia (FMB). Atherosclerosis accounts for about 90% of all cases of RAS, while FMB is the cause of about 10%. FMD is most common in women between 20 and 50 years of age and its progression to total occlusion is rare, compared to that of atherosclerotic renal artery stenosis [3].

Atherosclerotic disease of the renal artery, which is frequently responsible for uncontrolled hypertension, congestive heart failure, and progressive renal failure leading to end stage renal disease, is prevalent among elderly patients [4]. The first percutaneous transluminal renal angioplasty (PTRA) for the treatment of atherosclerotic renal artery stenosis was performed by Gruentzig in 1977; the same year as the first coronary angioplasty was performed [5,6]. Later on, stenting has emerged as a procedure, associated with low mortality and morbidity for symptomatic renovascular disease. Since then, a lot of clinical data has been gathered, raising controversies about the effect of renal artery stenting in the treatment of renovascular hypertension and chronic renal failure. Further, while stenting of the renal artery stenosis is still justified and widely performed, chronic total occlusions (CTO) of the renal arteries are largely considered inappropriate for endovascular treatment [7,8].
Indeed, in most cases, the total occlusion of a renal artery, supplying a small atrophied kidney may not be considered an appropriate target for intervention. In fact in the largely accepted guidelines, the small size of the target kidney (less than 7 cm) is a contraindication for renal artery intervention. However, in case of patients with occluded renal arteries, which have the potential to benefit from recanalization and full restoration of flow after balloon PTA or stenting, it is important for them to be identified. There are scarce clinical data for technical feasibility and clinical effect of the percutaneous recanalization of occluded renal arteries and only several case reports with positive results have been published thus far [9-11].

The purpose of this registry was to test whether Percutaneous recanalization and stenting of totally occluded renal arteries might be justified as effective in reducing the blood pressure (BP) in some patients with chronically occluded renal arteries and to identify specific predictors of clinical effect, which are probably preserved cortical blood flow and high levels of plasma renin.

Materials and Methods
Inclusion criteria for this pilot registry were as follows:

a. Resistant arterial hypertension
b. Duplex evidence of total renal artery occlusion
c. High level of plasma renin activity > 2.5 ng/mL/h.
d. Doppler sonographic evidence of preserved flow in the sub segmental arteries (interlobular and arcuate arteries) (Tables 1 & 2).

Table 1: Preprocedural characteristics and procedural data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Initials</th>
<th>gender</th>
<th>age</th>
<th>renal etiology</th>
<th>IR*</th>
<th>PRA**</th>
<th>Average BP (mmHg)</th>
<th>Guide wire 0.014*</th>
<th>SBalloon (mm)</th>
<th>Stent (mm)</th>
<th>success</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>TKT</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>left FMD</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>163 102</td>
<td>Asahi Grand Slam</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>RIN</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>left FMD</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>181 112</td>
<td>Miracle 6.0 1.25x15</td>
<td>Xience V 4.0x28</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>IIM</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>left Athero</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>167 97</td>
<td>Miracle 6.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>STN</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>right Athero</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>158 84</td>
<td>Miracle 6.0 1.5x15</td>
<td>Resolute 4.0x26</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>VNP</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>right FMD</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>170 98</td>
<td>Miracle 3.0 1.25x15</td>
<td>Infirium 3.25 x29</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>TKP</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>left Takayasu arteriitis</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>159 94</td>
<td>Miracle 6.0 1.5x15</td>
<td>Sprinter Racer</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>FMF</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>left Dissection</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>172 79</td>
<td>Whisper Sprinter</td>
<td></td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*IR – index of resistance of the interlobular arteries
**PRA – plasma renin activity

Table 2: Follow up of the blood pressure at 30 days and 12th month.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>PRA (30 d)</th>
<th>BP (30 d) Systolic/Diastolic</th>
<th>Restenosis 6 m</th>
<th>Reintervention</th>
<th>Secondary patency 12 months</th>
<th>Survival 1 year</th>
<th>BP (12th month) Systolic/Diastolic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>110/64</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>115/67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>157/97</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>145/90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>189/106</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>174/99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>145/87</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>150/90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>143/99</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>140/90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>115/73</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>125/75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>125/70</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>130/70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results

Percutaneous recanalization of the chronic renal artery occlusion was attempted in seven patients. The treatment showed technical success in six cases and was completed with good immediate angiographic result with no residual stenosis and transstenotic gradient and restored peripheral subsegmental blood flow. All seven patients were thoroughly monitored for adverse clinical events (vascular access complications, plasma creatinine levels increase, myocardial infarction, unexpected drop or increase of the systemic BP, bleeding complications, stroke or other neurological events). No adverse clinical events were registered during the hospital stay and 30 days after the procedure.

The 24-h ABPM in the successfully recanalized renal CTO patients, performed 30 days after the renal stenting showed a dramatic decrease in the BP values, with an average of 132.5/81.7 mmHg compared to 167.1/95.1 mmHg before the procedure. In the patient with unsuccessful recanalization, no significant change of BP control or medication was registered. In the successful recanalization group the medication intake dropped from average 5.11 agents per patient before the procedure to an average of 1.17 per patient. Two patients needed no medication for the arterial hypertension, two patients were using only one medication, one was on double therapy, and one on triple therapy. In all of the cases, adequate BP control was achieved with ABPM not exceeding values of 140/90 mmHg.

During the 6-month follow-up, two of the patients had instant restenosis with reoccurrence of the unsatisfactory BP control with registered re-elevation of the PRA. In the first case (the female patient with Takayasu arteritis), this occurred 4 months after the procedure, and in the second case it occurred after 3 months. Both patients underwent successful second PTA: in the first patient, a second stent was implanted and treatment with oral rapamune 2 mg/day was administered for 1 month to prevent reoccurrence of restenosis. The second patient was treated with efficient balloon PTA with a noncompliant balloon and additional drug eluting balloon inflation.

Further, the patients were followed up by Doppler ultrasound of the renal arteries and a clinical exam for an average of 18 months (6-26 months). All of the patients had normal BP values, <130/85 mmHg at the 12-month visit and no evidence of restenosis (secondary patency rate=100%). They followed their existing treatment regimen: two patients with no therapy and other two with monotherapy.

Discussion

The prevalence of renal artery stenosis in the elderly hypertensive patients approaches 20% after the age of 70 years [12]. Approximately 5% of renal artery lesions with >60% diameter stenosis will progress to complete occlusion over a period of 3 years [13]. Critical renovascular disease is associated...
with substantial morbidity and mortality. The survival rate at 2 years is a dismal 56%, with the majority of deaths associated with complications of vascular disease [14]. In several studies in patients undergoing renal dialysis, the prevalence of renal artery disease is 10-20% [15]. Acute pulmonary edema is not an infrequent presentation of severe renovascular disease in the elderly [10] and carries high risk in patients with decreased cardiopulmonary reserve and is one of the current indications in the present guidelines. The DRASTIC, EMMA and ASPIRE-2 studies showed that renal stenting resulted in improvement of BP and reduced the number of antihypertensive medications [16,17].

The ASTRAL and CORAL studies showed that there is no significant difference between the group with renal stenting and the group medical therapy [18,19]. Doppler ultrasonography may potentially have good accuracy in assessing renal artery stenosis/occlusion and preserved subsegmental collateral blood flow (Figures 1 & 2), and it may be a useful tool for assessing which patient will respond to endovascular revascularization in renal stenosis, but requires a well-trained operator and adequate ultrasound windows. It has been suggested that a resistive index > 0.8 predicts poor response to revascularization [20]. Several small trials have tried to establish surgical treatment as a standard procedure to treat resistant hypertension in case of total renal occlusion. Whitehouse published the results of 30 patients with renal CTO surgically treated. The registered mortality was 6.6% and the nephrectomy incidence was 36.6% [21].

Endovascular revascularization of occluded renal artery is still considered controversial, but has the potential of lower morbidity/mortality [7,8]. Total occlusion of renal arteries is not considered as a standard indication for endovascular intervention. There are no trials or big series published to date. We found several case descriptions with favorable results from the procedural and clinical point of view.

Murat Sezer et al. [10] reported two cases of recanalization of occluded renal artery in patients with severe hypertension and high level of creatinin. After the procedure, they established significant improvement over the control of BP with a significant reduction of used anti hypertensive drugs [10].

Wykrzy kowska et al. [11] reported a case of an 81-year-old woman with a history of giant-cell arteritis, hypertension, tobacco use, and peripheral vascular disease presented with acute hypoxic respiratory failure due to pulmonary edema that required mechanical ventilation and chronically occluded the left renal artery. After recanalization of chronically occluded artery reported improvement in the patient’s hypertension and congestive symptoms [11].

Yokoy et al. [22] reported a case of left renal subtotal stenosis and right renal artery total stenosis and concomitant CAD with favorable clinical result after bilateral renal artery intervention (better BP control and improved renal function) [22]. They suggested that preserved blood flow and kidney structure may be one of the factors indicating renal artery CTO recanalization. Our personal opinion is that preserved collateral flow to the kidney can lead to functional survival of the juxtaglomerular apparatus; thereby leading to high renin production and resistant hypertension totally independent from kidney’s functioned and size. On the other hand, the preserved collateral flow is, at the same time, a predictor of efficient BP control after successful recanalization. In our cases, high level of rennin production together with preserved subsegmental collateral flow was an indication for intervention and a predictor for clinical success, and both are considered as possible predictors for significant reduction of BP values after successful recanalization. This has to be proven in larger trials.

Vascular access and French size of the materials used for renal artery interventions is another interesting point of discussion. According to our extensive experience with renal artery interventions (including not only PTA and stenting but also renal denervation in more than 200 patients during the last 5 years) upper access (radial or brachial) is more convenient for renal interventions because in hypertensive subjects, the renal artery arises at a sharp angle from the aorta in the caudal direction causing complications for the manipulation of catheters and devices from the “classic” femoral access in many cases. In these cases, is much easier and faster to engage a guiding catheter (in our protocol the JR 4.0/6 Fr is the preferred one) into the ostium of the renal artery or at least to be axial versus the axis of the renal artery (because in part of the cases the occlusion is almost
strictly ostial and real engagement is not possible).

In these cases, crossing across the minimal proximal stump is much more probable because of the better push ability of devices such as microcatheters and wires and better axial alignment between the axis of the guiding catheter and the axis of the occluded renal artery. It also provides better support for driving and implanting a stent. Other reason to use a preferably radial approach is the fact that it is related to significantly lower incidence of bleeding complications and lower overall MACE that is categorically shown in several “coronary” trials (such as RIVAL and RIFLE) [23].

Regarding the French size: nowadays major part of contemporary Interventional devices including stent systems dedicated to visceral and renal arteries are compatible with 6 Fr guiding catheters. In many cases, the size of the kidney and the artery in case of CTO are smaller than the usual, advocating the use of coronary DES in order to prevent restenosis and enabling the use of 6 Fr, thus allowing really minimally invasive approach (radial/ulnar) and reducing vascular complications and morbidity and mortality.

Size of the treated kidney in our series the average size of the treated kidney (6.3cm) is much smaller compared to the indicated size approved in the guidelines for intervention. The reason we did not consider the contraindication for intervention in such “small” kidneys is because we were studying the decrease in renin level and not improvement of kidney function. In some of our patients, the excretion function of such kidneys was categorically shown (by nuclear investigation) to be totally lost and was less probable to be restored after recanalization. However, as shown in our group of patients, the kidney size does not affect the potential for high renin production and the potential for beneficial effect on the BP control after successful recanalization and normal systemic flow restoration reaching the receptors in the juxtaglomerular apparatus (negative feedback mechanism).

**Conclusion**

In case of renal occlusion and resistant AH, preserved renin production as a consequence of preserved collateral sub-segmental blood flow is a predictor of clinical success after recanalization. The most probable mechanism of AH is the preserved vascular microcirculation allowing juxtaglomerular survival and elevated renin production. At the same time, preserved microcircular perfusion is a predictor of lowering renin levels and success of BP control after renal CTO recanalization. There is evidence of a direct relationship between preserved parenchymal flow and expected post interventional result in terms of BP control, confirmed in our cases.

The recanalization of total renal artery occlusions is feasible and safe and has a positive effect on lowering the plasma renin activity and BP control. This procedure has to be applied only to a selective group of patients with resistant hypertension and evidence of preserved sub-segmental flow. Further, larger multicentre trials need to be performed to establish the exact indications and results before broader application of this strategy to the daily clinical practice.
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