Introduction

Brown tumor is a rare benign lesion of bone. It results from disturbed bone remodeling due to long-standing increase in parathyroid hormone (PTH) level [1]. The incidence is less than 5% in primary hyperparathyroidism [2]. It is more common in secondary hyperparathyroidism, with a reported incidence of up to 13% of cases [3,4]. Bone involvement, usually focal lytic lesion, has a predilection for facial and mandibular bones, but can occur at any bone [5,6]. Spine involvement is very rare [7]. Cervical spine involvement is extremely rare [3,8]. There are only five cases of cervical spine involvement reported, three of which are of secondary hyperparathyroidism [1]. We report one such case of Brown tumor of cervical spine due to secondary hyperparathyroidism.

Case Report

A 55 year old lady presented with chronic axial neck pain and left sided global brachialgia. The neurological examination was unremarkable. She had a history of inherited polycystic kidney disease but was otherwise well.

The CT of the Cervical Spine demonstrated a well delineated expansile lytic lesion in the 6th cervical vertebral body extending into the posterior elements (Figure 1a & 1b). There was no neural compression. A whole-body CT scan revealed another lesion in the Left 1st rib. The rib was similarly expanded and hollow in appearance. Multiple cysts were found in both kidneys and the liver (Figure 2). Serum calcium and PTH were raised.
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A routine follow up for clinical and radiological surveillance did not show any further progression.

**Discussion**

OFC is also called Von Recklinghausen’s disease of bone and it was first described by Friedrich Daniel von Recklinghausen in 1890. OFC is a form of renal osteodystrophy, which is a skeletal complication of end stage renal disease [9]. The chronic kidney dysfunction due to polycystic disease caused the kidneys to produce less calcitriol which led to high parathyroid hormone levels, halting the storage of calcium. This leads to high levels of calcium, parathyroid hormone and alkaline phosphatase.

Conventional radiographs have been historically used to diagnose the disease. Usual findings are extremely resorbed, thin bones which are sometimes deformed or fractured. CT scan characteristics of brown tumor are expansive lytic lesions, with various amounts of bone destruction and thinning of trabeculae [8,10].

Brown tumor should be differentiated from aneurysmal bone cyst, giant cell tumor, myeloma, Paget’s disease [11], Langerhans cell histiocytosis, multiple myeloma [8] and metastasis [12,13]. Medical management of OFC consists of Vitamin D treatment which in some cases has resulted in regression of these tumours [10,14]. Brown tumours are long standing and slowly progressing bone conditions driven by the underlying condition which can range from conservative and supportive care to surgical intervention. If part of a generalised bone disease then treatment should be directed to underlying condition.

Occasionally brown tumours of the spine require surgical intervention if causing instability or neurological compression [11]. In severe cases of OFC, partial or total parathyroidectomy has been shown to result in the reversal of bone resorption and the complete regression of brown tumours. Pre-emptive surgical intervention was not offered in this case as the patient had no neurological signs or symptoms.

**Conclusion**

OFC should be considered as a differential diagnosis in cases with multiple lytic lesions of the skeletal system. This can avoid unnecessary anxiety and possible unwarranted intervention both for the treating physician and the patients.

**Patient Consent**

Informed consent was obtained from the patient included in this case report.
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