Rosai-Dorfman Disease Presenting as A Breast Mass
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Abstract
Rosai-Dorfman disease (RDD) is an idiopathic, benign proliferation of histiocytes that can be present in multiple organs such as lymph node, skin, soft tissue, orbit, central nerve system and bone; however, it rarely occurs in the breast. In general, RDD is a painless, firm and poorly defined lesion, which can radiologically mimic a breast cancer and is therefore an important consideration. The diagnosis of breast RDD is challenging, especially on a small biopsy specimen. We report a unique case of breast RDD with a literature review including common presentation, differential diagnosis, and recommended management. A high index of suspicion for this rare entity is essential to render a correct diagnosis, thereby avoiding unnecessary diagnostic tests and treatment.
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Introduction
Rosai-Dorfman disease (RDD), or sinus histiocytosis with massive lymphadenopathy, is an uncommon, benign, histiocytic proliferative disorder with poorly defined pathogenesis. Initially, it was described by Rosai and Dorfman in 1969 [1]. The characteristic histology features a S100 positive histiocytic proliferation with lymphoplasmacytic infiltration and the hallmark finding of emperipolesis [1,2]. RDD is often seen in children and young adults, with a slight propensity for males, and may occur in any part of the body. Usually, RDD presents with painless lymphadenopathy predominantly in cervical lymph nodes and often bilateral [1]. Extranodal RDD accounts for nearly 40% of all RDD cases, and most commonly is seen in the skin, upper respiratory tract and bone [3]. The breast is rarely involved in RDD. So far, approximately 34 cases of breast RDD are reported in the English literature. RDD of the breast usually presents as an asymptomatic, solitary mass lesion with irregular borders and increased vascularity on radiographic study, which may mimic invasive breast cancer. Due to its rarity, pathologic diagnosis of breast RDD is challenging, especially in a small biopsy sample with limited tissue. In this report, we discuss a case of breast RDD with a literature review [4-10].

Figure 1: Imaging study in the left breast mass. A. Sonogram showing an irregular, solid, well-circumscribed, hypoechoic lesion. B. Screening mammogram demonstrating an irregular mass lesion with high density. C. Mammogram with spot compression displaying a well-defined mass lesion with an infiltrating margin.
Case Report

A 42-year-old asymptomatic female underwent a routine screening mammogram. Imaging study revealed a dense mass lesion with irregular borders in the upper outer quadrant of the left breast (Figure 1A). Subsequently, it was confirmed by spot compression mammogram (Figure 1B). The ultrasound examination showed a 1.1 cm hypoechoic mass with irregular margins at 11 o’clock position (Figure 1C). The patient underwent core needle biopsy and the standard of care for a Breast Imaging-Reporting and Data System (BIRADS) 4 lesion. The final pathological diagnosis for the core needle biopsy was Rosai-Dorfman Disease. Subsequently, a lumpectomy was performed [11-13].

Figure 2: Histopathologic findings of the breast mass. A. Nodular proliferation of histiocytes with dense lymphoplasmacytic infiltration and peripheral thick hyalinizing fibrotic bands (10 X). B. Histiocytes with a round nucleus, inconspicuous nucleolus and abundant pale and foamy cytoplasm (400 X). C. Dense lymphocytic infiltration and thick collagen bundles (200 X). D. Emperipolesis of a lymphocyte by the large histiocyte as indicated by arrow “→” (800 X).

Figure 3: Immunohistochemical study of the breast mass (400 X). A. S100, B. CD68 and C. CD1a.

Gross examination of the lumpectomy specimen showed a 1.5 x 1.2 x 1.0 cm, white-tan, firm, and ill-defined mass with no necrosis identified. Histologic examination of the mass revealed a histiocyte proliferation in a sheet-like and nodular pattern admixed with dense hyalinized collagen bands (Figure 2A & 2C). Large polygonal histiocytes form syncytia which displayed abundant pale and foamy cytoplasm with indistinct cell borders. Intermediate to large round vesicular nuclei were present, usually eccentrically located with small conspicuous nucleoli (Figure 2B). Scattered histiocytes with engulfed intact lymphocytes and plasma cells were identified, indicative of emperipolesis (Figure 2D). A dense lymphoplasmacytic infiltrate was present focally (Figure 2A,2C). The histiocytic mass infiltrated into adjacent adipose tissue and involved the surgical resection margin. The immunohistochemical study of S100, CD1a, CD68, CD20, CD3, and CD5 was performed on the resection specimen. The lesional histiocytes displayed diffuse strong positivity for both S100 (Figure 3A) and CD68 (Figure 3B), and were negative for CD1a (Figure 3C). CD3, CD5 and CD20 stains demonstrated the presence of a mixed population of B and T lymphocytes. Kappa and lambda in-situ hybridization showed the presence of polyclonal plasma cells. No pancytokeratin positive epithelial
component was identified. Modified Ziehl-Nelson, Gomori’s Methenamine Silver (GMS) and Periodic acid-Schiff (PAS) stains were negative for mycobacteria, fungi, or other organisms. The patient has been followed for three years without any evidence of recurrence or malignant transformation on clinical exam or imaging studies [14,15].

Results

Figure 1 to Figure 3

Discussion and Conclusion

RDD more commonly involves in lymph nodes where the characteristic features for RDD are sinus expansion by histiocytes, prominent emperipolesis and histiocyte proliferation resulting in lymphadenopathy. This constellation of findings is not seen in extranodal RDD, such as breast RDD, due to increased fibrosis and absence of sinus architecture which may make the diagnosis more challenging. Diagnosis of breast RDD by a core needle biopsy is complicated by limited tissue which may not reveal the characteristic features. In our case, a core needle biopsy showed distinct histiocyte-rich and lymphocyte rich areas with rare emperipolesis. Immunohistochemical studies support the diagnosis [16]. A few reported cases were successfully diagnosed by fine needle aspiration [7,8,17-19] based on identification of the hallmark feature of emperipolesis.

Breast RDD usually presents as a painless, palpable mass lesion, which may be found incidentally on routine screening mammography... So far, there are 34 cases of breast RDD reported (Table 1) in English journals. The age ranged from 15 to 84 years with a mean age of 53.2 years. Breast RDD can occur in both females and males with a female predominance (85%). The ratio of female to male was 5.8 to 1. Among those reported cases of breast RDD, 4 cases involved skin, showing deep dermal and subcutaneous lesions [4-7]. Three cases involved intramammary lymph nodes [8-10]. Twenty-seven cases presented with parenchymal RDD (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>References</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Laterality</th>
<th>Other Sites</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Recurrence</th>
<th>Follow-Up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Our Case</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Excision</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>12 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El-Attache, et al. [35]</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Excision</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>3 yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaney, et al. [34]</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Excision</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhou, et al. [33]</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>R, M</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Excision</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parkin, et al. [32]</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>3 yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mantilla, et al. [29]</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Excision</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fu, et al. [15]</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Excision</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gwin, et al. [31]</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>B, M</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenny, et al. [28]</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Excision</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>11 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bansal, et al. [7]</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>16 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wu, et al. [14]</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Excision</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>29 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morkowski, et al. [16]</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Excision</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>5 yrs and 10 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>da Silva, et al. [12]</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Excision</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>4 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perera, et al. [6]</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Excision</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pham, et al. [32]</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuzmiak, et al. [13]</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Excision</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ng, et al. [9]</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Excision</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>6 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hummel, et al. [19]</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Excision</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>18 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>soares, et al. [18]</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>B, M</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>4 yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green, et al. [4]</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>B, M</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Excision</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>6 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Excision</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>84</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>B, M</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Excision</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>Died after 2 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>56</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Excision</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>5 yr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Summary of reported Rosai-Dorfman Disease.
Six out of 34 (about 21%) patients had multiple mass lesions in either one or bilateral breasts. Seven patients (about 21%) had synchronous or metachronous nodal or non-mammary extranodal disease (Table 1). Ultrasoundography usually showed a hypoechoic lesion in breast RDD. Radiological features were variable with some cases presenting as a benign lesion, such as fat necrosis [11], and most presenting suspicious for malignancy, as BI-RADS 4 or 5 [12,13]. Occasionally, positron-emission tomography (PET) scan demonstrated a hypermetabolic lesion [14,15]. The histiologic differential diagnosis includes idiopathic granulomatous mastitis, granulomatous inflammation, Langerhans’ histiocytosis, fibrous histiocytoma, and malignancy [4]. The pathophysiology remains uncertain. Recently, both infectious and immune mechanisms have been proposed [20] for breast RDD. Definitive association with a specific organism has not been identified so far. Foucar et al. reported that many patients showed serum polyclonal gammapathy and plasma cell proliferation. A subset of patients also manifested various immune disorders including autoimmune hemolytic anemia, immune arthritis and glomerulonephritis [21,22]. Cha and Fu [11,15] reported that increased IgG4 plasma cell was observed, especially in breast RDD with prominent fibrosis. Correlation of breast RDD and IgG4 related sclerosing mastitis has been investigated. The outcomes and mechanism remain unclear [23-29]. In addition, RDD has responded well to steroid treatment, which suggested that RDD may be related to immune dysfunction [30]. Further studies are needed to clarify the pathogenesis. Our case showed prominent hyalinizing fibrosis at the periphery of the lesion. No immunologic or infectious agents were identified.

RDD is considered an indolent, benign, reactive process with a histiocytic proliferation of unknown etiology. The majority of patients with RDD follow a variable, benign course with some patients experiencing recurrences, exacerbations or stable disease for years. The reported mortality is extremely low. The risk factors for a poor prognosis include older age, concurrent immunologic dysfunction, systemic diseases, and involvement of vital internal organs such as kidneys, lung and liver [1,24-27].

In rare cases, mass formation or infiltration caused by RDD can be a direct cause of death [26]. Spontaneous remission was only seen in one reported case, which happened 6 months after initial diagnosis [7]. One elderly female patient died of disseminated RDD with breast involvement 2 months after diagnosis [4]. Our patient was treated by surgical resection with positive surgical margins. The patient was followed up for at least three years. No recurrence was observed. Currently, there are no guidelines for treating RDD. The majority of extranodal lesions are treated surgically. Based on our literature review, 28 of 34 (82%) patients were treated with a surgical excision (Table 1). Short term post-operative follow up is necessary as disease recurrences occur. Patients with normal immune function and no coexisting systemic diseases may be returned to routine care. We conclude that RDD is a benign clinical process with histiocytic proliferation showing hallmark finding of emperiploicis. The RDD lesion demonstrates positivity of S100 and CD68, negativity of CD1a by immunohistochemical study. Current common treatment modality is surgical resection with rare recurrence [31-35].
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