Management of Female Urethral Diverticulum
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Abstract

Introduction: female urethral diverticulum is an uncommon pathologic condition, with symptoms ranging from pain, dyspareunia to variable urinary symptoms. Our aim was to summarize the diagnosis and the treatment options of urethral diverticulum.

Methods: a systematic review was carried out utilizing the publicly available medical databases, by using the keywords listed below.

Results: medical history and physical examination augmented by a physical examination and augmented by voiding cystourethrography, positive pressure urethrography, endovaginal sonography, or magnetic resonance imaging remains the cornerstone of the diagnosis. In symptomatic patients surgical solution is required, including endovaginal diverticulectomy, or marsupialization which are the best choice for treatment.

Conclusion: female UD has patients are usually candidates for operative treatment, although the currently available operative approaches have a relative high recurrence rate.
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Introduction

Urethral diverticulum (UD) is a rare and unique condition, defined as a pocket or pouch forms alongside the female urethra [1], with an overall annual incidence of 0.02% (17.9 per 1,000,000) [2]. It is considered to be more common among African American women compared to Caucasians [3,4]. Two types of them are known, the congenital and the acquired form. While the congenital type is thought to be originate from the Gartner’s duct, which is a vestigial remnant of the mesonephric duct (Wolffian duct) [5], the acquired forms could be iatrogenic, traumatic or inflammatory manifestations, leading to outflow obstruction of the paraurethral glands (Skene’s gland) content [6]. The symptoms of the condition ranges from asymptomatic forms through the classic triad of postmicturition dribble, dyspareunia and dysuria, but might also include frequency, urgency, hematuria recurrent cystitis and urinary incontinence [5,7]. Our aim was to summarize the diagnosis and the therapy options of this rare condition.

Results and Discussion

Diagnosis

The diagnosis of UD is based on taking an extensive medical history focusing on the condition related specific symptoms. It is followed by a thorough physical examination, during which a palpable vaginal mass can be revealed, accompanied by coexisting stress urinary incontinence (SUI) in about 50% of the cases [2]. The diagnostic modalities include voiding cystourethrography, positive pressure urethrography, urethroscopy, endovaginal sonography, transectal sonography, postvoid radiograph, computer tomography (CT) scan, and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) [2]. Imaging techniques are crucial in the diagnosis making, among them MRI is the most commonly carried out examination. On the sagittal T2-weighted images in patients with UD a low-signal density mass, with hemorrhage or inflammatory content, or cell debris can be seen. The cyst usually has peripheral rim enhancement and subtle irregularity.
suspicious to malignancy, and results the antrolateral dislocation of the urethra [8]. With endovaginal sonography lateral or horseshoe-shaped diverticulum can be visualized (Figure 1).

**Figure 1:** Endovaginal ultrasound image of a urethra communicating periurethral diverticulum with a diameter of 3cm.

**Treatment**

Asymptomatic patients can be conservatively treated and followed up. Symptomatic patients should be candidates of surgical treatment. The most common surgical intervention is transvaginal diverticulectomy (83%), followed by marsupialization of the distal diverticulum (14.9%-Figure 2), or rarely transabdominal diverticulectomy (1.8%) [2]. In cases, when due to extensive dissection there is the lack of periurethral fibromuscular tissue provide a cover layer of the excision a Martius fat pad flap can be utilized in urethral reconstruction. Although there is limited available data in the current literature about the efficacy of the surgical modalities, previous studies demonstrated 9.64% recurrence rate in 5 years period, and a repeat surgery rate of 8.8%, resulting a 23.4% overall cumulative recurrence rate of UD [2].

**Figure 2:** Intraoperative image of a dissected UD after marsupialization.
Conclusion

Female UD is rare condition with variable symptoms. Imaging techniques are essential in the augmentation of the physical findings in the diagnosis making. In the symptomatic cases surgical interventions is needed with relatively high recurrence rate.
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