Comparison of Abnormal Lipid Profile in Normotensive and Hypertensive Women during Pregnancy and its Significance
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Abstract

Background: Abnormal lipid profiles and reactive species may have role in the promotion of oxidative stress and vascular dysfunction seen in hypertensive disorders complicating pregnancy.

Aim: To detect and compare abnormal lipid profile in normotensive and hypertensive women during pregnancy. To find its significance in relation to maternal and perinatal outcome.

Material and methods: Study was conducted at Mamata general hospital, Khammam, Telangana state, India, over 3 years from 2012-2015. Study group consisted of 150 pregnant women divided into three groups of 50 each - group-A: normotensive women, group B: women with mild hypertension (BP<160/110mm of Hg) and group C: women with severe hypertension (BP>160/110 mm of Hg).

Results: There was no significant difference in mean age (about 23 years) between the study groups and most of them were primigravidas. Mean gestational age at delivery was significantly less in groups B and C compared to group A, correlating with high mean systolic (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP). All lipid parameters were abnormal in maximum number of patients in groups B and C, which correlated well with number of women having maternal and perinatal complications. Mean values of all lipid paramaters were also abnormal in both hypertensive groups.

Conclusion: The present study concludes that abnormal lipid profile may have an effect on patho-physiology of hypertension in pregnancy and its correlation with severity.
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Introduction

Abnormal lipid metabolism contributes to the promotion of oxidative stress and vascular dysfunction seen in hypertensive disorders complicating pregnancy. Especially the atherogenic small low density lipoproteins (LDL) and vascular adhesion molecules are in association with hyper lipidemia in pre-eclampsia [1]. Although there is growing evidence indicating that risk of preeclampsia is increased in women with elevated levels of LDL and Triglycerides [2], the causal relationship of lipid peroxidation in the pathogenesis of preeclampsia is not clear.

The gestational hypertension associated with abnormal serum lipid profile shown in many studies is highly suggestive of lipid profile as a diagnostic tool in pregnancy induced hypertension. Abnormal lipid profile leads to decrease in prostaglandin: thromboxane A2 ratio. So this study was undertaken to evaluate abnormal lipid profile in hypertension in pregnancy and correlate with maternal and perinatal outcome.

Material and Methods

Study group consisted of 150 pregnant women divided into three groups of 50 each - group-A: normotensive women, group-B:
women with mild hypertension (BP<160/110mm of Hg) and group-C: women with severe hypertension (BP>160/110mm of Hg). Study was conducted at Mamata general hospital, Khammam, Telangana state, India, over 3 years from 2012-2015.

Exclusion criteria: Pregnant women with other medical disorders like diabetes, chronic hypertension, renal failure, liver disorders, thyroid disease, and severe anemia were excluded.

Procedure
5ml of venous blood was drawn from study subjects after overnight fasting for 8-12hrs. Serum was separated by centrifugation and evaluated for
a. Total cholesterol,
b. Triglycerides,
c. High density lipoprotein (HDL) and
d. Low density lipoprotein (LDL).

Statistical analysis
Descriptive and inferential statistics were used to summarize the data. In descriptive statistics, Mean and standard deviation were used. In inferential statistics, Z-test of means was used and p-value calculated.

Results
Lipid profile in all the three groups was studied. Baseline characters of study population are seen in Table 1. Mean age of women in groups-A, B and C was 23.12±3.39(SD), 22.96±4.31(SD) and 23.40±4.7 (SD) respectively with p-value>0.05 (not significant). Mean gestational age at delivery was 37.92±1.94(SD), 35.61±3.1(SD) and 33.6±2.71(SD) respectively with significant p-value=0.0001. Most women were primigravida- 60%, 72% and 54% respectively. Mean systolic blood pressure (SBP) was 116.08±7.77(SD), 144.29±6.45(SD) and 166.6±8.25(SD) respectively with highly significant p-value= 0.000001. Mean diastolic blood pressure (DBP) was 76.08±4.93(SD), 94.08±5.74(SD) and 116.8±11.33 respectively with highly significant p-value= 0.000001.

Table 1: Base line characters of study Population.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Base line characters</th>
<th>Group “A”</th>
<th>Group “B”</th>
<th>Group “C”</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean age</td>
<td>23.12±3.39(S.D)</td>
<td>22.96±4.31(S.D)</td>
<td>23.40±4.7(S.D)</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05 (NS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Gestational Age</td>
<td>37.92±1.94(S.D)</td>
<td>35.61±3.10(S.D)</td>
<td>33.6±2.71(S.D)</td>
<td>0.00001 (S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravida</td>
<td>Primi 60%</td>
<td>Primi 72%</td>
<td>Primi 54%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean SBP</td>
<td>116.08±7.77(S.D)</td>
<td>144.29±6.45(S.D)</td>
<td>166.6±18.25(S.D)</td>
<td>0.000001(S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean DBP</td>
<td>76.08±4.93(S.D)</td>
<td>94.08±5.74(S.D)</td>
<td>116.8±11.33(S.D)</td>
<td>0.000001(S)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SBP: Systolic Blood Pressure
DBP: Diastolic Blood Pressure
SD: Standard Deviation

Table 2 shows frequency distribution of abnormal lipid profile in the study groups. High total cholesterol was present in 4%, 90% and 98% of groups A, B and C respectively. Abnormal triglycerides were seen in 6%, 90% and 100% respectively. 12%, 82% and 90% had abnormal HDL levels and 4%, 76% and 86% had abnormal LDL values respectively.

Table 2: Frequency Distribution of abnormal Lipid Profile.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lipid Parameters</th>
<th>Group “A”</th>
<th>Group “B”</th>
<th>Group “C”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Cholesterol&gt;200mg/dl</td>
<td>2(4%)</td>
<td>45(90%)</td>
<td>49(98%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides&gt;150mg/dl</td>
<td>3(6%)</td>
<td>45(90%)</td>
<td>50(100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL&lt;45mg/dl</td>
<td>12(24%)</td>
<td>41(82%)</td>
<td>45(90%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL &gt;130mg/dl</td>
<td>2(4%)</td>
<td>38(76%)</td>
<td>43(86%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HDL: High Density Lipoprotein
LDL: Low Density Lipoprotein

Abnormal mean lipid values in each group are shown in (Table 3). Mean total cholesterol was 179.04±14.82(SD), 221.16±24(SD) and 262.9±28.06(SD) in groups A, B and C respectively. Mean triglyceride was 136.94±15.94(SD), 185.65±48.26(SD) and 237.68±44.36(SD) respectively. Mean HDL was 48.6±4.72(SD), 42.31±3.9(SD) and 38.94±4.09(SD) respectively. Mean LDL was 115.35±11.74(SD), 145.43±23.16(SD) and 166.6±39.9(SD) respectively. All abnormal mean lipid values had significant p-values <0.001.

Table 4 show correlation of abnormal lipid profile in groups B and C with maternal and fetal complications. Group-A was not correlated as frequency distribution of abnormal lipids was less and complications were negligible. In women with high total cholesterol, maternal complications were seen in 6.66% and 50% respectively. Mean gestational age at delivery was 37.92±1.94(SD), 35.61±3.1(SD) and 33.6±2.71(SD) respectively with significant p-value=0.0001. Most women were primigravida- 60%, 72% and 54% respectively. Mean systolic blood pressure (SBP) was 116.08±7.77(SD), 144.29±6.45(SD) and 166.6±8.25(SD) respectively with highly significant p-value= 0.000001. Mean diastolic blood pressure (DBP) was 76.08±4.93(SD), 94.08±5.74(SD) and 116.8±11.33 respectively with highly significant p-value= 0.000001.

Table 4 show correlation of abnormal lipid profile in groups B and C with maternal and fetal complications. Group-A was not correlated as frequency distribution of abnormal lipids was less and complications were negligible. In women with high total cholesterol, maternal complications were seen in 6.66% and 53.35% of groups B and C respectively, while perinatal complications were seen in 75.5% and 97.9% respectively. In women with high triglycerides, maternal complications were 6.66% and 50% in B and C groups, while perinatal complications were 12%, 82% and 90% respectively.

Table 4: Correlation of abnormal lipid profile in groups B and C with maternal and fetal complications.
were 75.5% and 98% respectively. With abnormal HDL, maternal complications were 7.3% and 80.48% in groups B and C, while perinatal complications were 90.2% and 97.7% respectively. With abnormal LDL, maternal complications were 7.9% and 60.5% in B and C groups, while perinatal complications were 34.2% and 97.6% respectively.

### Table 3: Distribution of abnormal mean lipid values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abnormal Means</th>
<th>Group &quot;A&quot;</th>
<th>Group &quot;B&quot;</th>
<th>Group &quot;C&quot;</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Cholesterol</td>
<td>179.04±14.82(S.D)</td>
<td>221.16±24.1(S.D)</td>
<td>262.9±28.06(S.D)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001(S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglyceride</td>
<td>136.94±15.94(S.D)</td>
<td>185.6±48.26(S)</td>
<td>237.6±44.36(S)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001(S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean HDL</td>
<td>48.6±4.72(S.D)</td>
<td>42.31±3.9(S.D)</td>
<td>38.94±4.09(S.D)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001(S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL</td>
<td>115.35±17.4(S.D)</td>
<td>145.4±23.16(S.D)</td>
<td>166.6±37.9(S.D)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001(S)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4: Correlation of abnormal lipid profile with maternal and perinatal complications.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abnormal Lipids</th>
<th>Group &quot;B&quot;</th>
<th>Group &quot;C&quot;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Cholesterol &gt;200mg/dl</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>3(6.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides &gt;150mg/dl</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>3(6.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL &lt;45mg/dl</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>3(7.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL &gt;130mg/dl</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>3(7.8%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Total women with abnormal lipid profile.

**Discussion**

In above study there was no significant difference in mean age (about 23 years) between the study groups and most of them were primigravida. This was similar to a study by Josephine Latha P3 (about 24 years) who concluded that there was no relation of mean age to hypertension in pregnancy. But study by Gohil J T2 showed that hypertensive disorders in pregnancy were more common at younger age (19-22y) and in primigravida [3].

In present study, mean gestational age at delivery was significantly less in groups B and C compared to group A with least in group C correlating with mean systolic (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP), which were significantly higher in groups B and C with highest in group C (Table 1). So, as the severity of hypertension increased, gestational age decreased. This correlation was not observed by Meena Mittal [4].

All lipid parameters were abnormal in maximum number of patients in groups B and C while only a few women in group A had abnormal profile in present study (Table 2). This correlated well with number of women having maternal and perinatal complications, especially in group C. But in group B, although number of women with perinatal complications correlated well with those having abnormal lipid profile, maternal complications were comparatively fewer (Table 4).

In group A there were no maternal complications. In group B, pregnancies were complicated by abruption placenta in 2% and atonic postpartum haemorrhage in 4% of women. Maternal complications seen in group C were HELLP syndrome (14%), acute renal failure (4%), pulmonary edema (10%), disseminated intravascular coagulation (2%) and maternal death (8%), in addition to abortion (14%) and atonic PPH (4%). These complications were comparable to a study by Edgar M Ndaboine5, except the incidence of HELLP syndrome which was quite high (50.9%) [5].

In group A, there were a few perinatal complications like IUGR, preterm (-8% each) and fetal distress (10%). In groups B and C, complications like IUGR (36%, 68%), prematurity (48%, 70%), intra-uterine death (12%, 24%), fetal distress (34% each), birth asphyxia (14%, 36%), respiratory distress syndrome (22%, 30%), neonatal sepsis (2% each), neonatal death (14% each) were more. Similarly correlation of perinatal complications, especially IUGR, with hypertriglyceridemia was also done by D S Mshelia [6], who suggested that this could be a compensation pathway to counter uteroplacental hypoperfusion in order to enhance fatty acid transfer the fetus.

In present study, mean values of all lipid parameters were abnormal in both hypertensive groups B and C compared to group A, with the degree of abnormality correlating well with the severity of hypertension (Table 3). This was similar to a study by Gohil [2], where dyslipidemia in the form of raised total cholesterol, triglycerides, LDL, VLDL and decreased HDL were conspicuously evident in pre-eclamptic subjects compared to normotensive pregnant women. In a study by Jayante De [7], pre-eclampsia group was associated with rise in triglycerides and VLDL and fall in HDL, while eclampsia group showed significant rise in LDL and fall in HDL. But there was no direct relationship between triglyceride levels and severity of pre-eclampsia in a study by Mikhail [8].

**Conclusion**

Hyperlipidemia is significantly evident in hypertension complicating pregnancy and may play an important role in its patho-physiology by promoting oxidative stress leading to
vascular dysfunction. In present study increase in lipid levels paralleled with severity of hypertension and its effect on maternal and perinatal complications.
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