I Have no Period, How can I Have Children: A Case of Mayer-Rokitansky-Kuster-Hauser Syndrome
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Abstract

Mayer-Rokitansky-Kuster-Hauser Syndrome (MRKH) is not frequently encountered and when cases are seen it is imperative that the topic be revisited and shared with colleagues in order to grasp a good understanding of its management. In this case report we present a typical but delayed diagnosis in a 28 year old.

Introduction

Mayer-Rokitansky-Kuster-Hauser syndrome is a disorder characterized by the congenital absence of the upper two thirds of the vagina and an absent or rudimentary uterus in a phenotypical female (46XX). It affects approximately 1 in 4000 - 5000 live female births [1]. It is known by other names that include congenital absence of the uterus and the vagina, mullerian agenesis, mullerian aplasia or genital renal ear syndrome [2]. The syndrome is named for the persons that described and documented their findings over a period from 1829 - 1965 [3]. Most physicians will only see this condition once or twice in their career. In this review we will describe the presentation, classification, associated abnormalities, current genetic associations and management options for improvement of sexual function in MRKH syndrome.

Case Report

A 28 year old nulliparous patient presented to the gynecology clinic with a complaint of amenorrhea. She noted that at the age of 18 years old she got concerned when she did not have a period and sought medical attention from a general practitioner. She recalls that at that time she had an ultrasound that revealed the presence of an “unusually small” uterus. It was unknown what additional testing was requested, but due to financial constraints, she defaulted. Her new concerns regarding the ability to conceive lead her to the gynecology clinic. Attempts at coitus were unsuccessful with an inability to achieve penile penetration. She never used contraception and was a non-smoker and did not consume alcohol. She had no prior surgeries or allergies. There was no cyclical abdominal or pelvic pain or changes reflecting cyclical hormonal variations. She had normal breast development and noted normal growth of pubic and axillary hair.

On examination, there was a young female of normal stature (5ft) with a body mass index of 22.26kg/m2. Blood pressure and pulse were normal. There were no obvious external anatomical abnormalities and she had normal female axillary hair and pubic hair distribution. Her breasts were symmetrical and normal with no nipple discharge seen. The external genitalia was phenotypically female with an annular hymen (clitoris and labia were normal). A small vaginal pouch was palpated on digital exam (only the fifth digit could be introduced, vaginal length was about 3cm). No female pelvic organs were palpated bimanually. Speculum exam (done with a nasal speculum as a vaginal speculum could not be inserted) revealed normal vaginal walls with a blind-pouch, and no cervix was visualized. She was assessed as primary amenorrhea with aims to fully elucidate the cause.

An abdominal, pelvic and renal ultrasound revealed normal organs including two kidneys that were normal in size and echopattern without hydronephrosis or calculi. The urinary bladder was well distended, with no abnormalities. There was no uterus seen. A right gonad was noted on the pelvic side wall that was suggestive of an ovary measuring 2.5cm X 1.7cm X 2.6cm (no gonad was seen on the left).
A hormonal profile, chromosomal analysis and a magnetic resonance imaging was requested. The patient did not do the MRI; however other test result were available (Table 1). The hormonal profile was normal and karyotype was 46 XX (Table 1).

### Table 1: Laboratory Results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Result (Normal Value in Parenthesis)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Free Testosterone</td>
<td>26 ng/dl (8-48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Testosterone</td>
<td>&lt;20.0 ng/dl (270-1734)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex Hormone Binding Globulin</td>
<td>78.4 nmol/L (24.6-122.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free Androgen Index</td>
<td>1.2 (0.4-8.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHEAS</td>
<td>107 (31 – 701 based on age)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oestrogen</td>
<td>215 pg/ml (Pre-pubertal &lt;40, 61-350 based on cycle days)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luteinizing Hormone (LH)</td>
<td>4.5 miu/ml (1.13 – 39.8, however based on day of cycle)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follicle stimulating hormone</td>
<td>3.50 miu/ml (0.8-7.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(FSH)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium</td>
<td>140 mg/dl (136-145)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium</td>
<td>3.9 nmol/l (3.50-5.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>107 nmol/l (98-107)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood Urea Nitrogen</td>
<td>5 mg/dl (7-18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemoglobin</td>
<td>14.1 g/gl (12-16.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haematocrit</td>
<td>39.9 % (36-47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelets</td>
<td>169 K/Ul (140-420)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The definitive diagnosis was mullerian agenesis. The patient was thoroughly counseled and options regarding management were discussed. She and her partner were comfortable with adoption, but noted that use of assisted reproductive technology and surrogacy would remain an option if desired. They wanted to be able to achieve coitus and the patient wanted to avoid any surgical intervention and therefore non-surgical creation of a neovagina was discussed which she agreed to. Vaginal dilators are currently being used in this patient for the same.

### Discussion

MRKH Syndrome can present similar to other conditions that are also not commonly encountered. The differential diagnosis in these patients include (but is not limited to); imperforate hymen, transverse vaginal septum, androgen insensitivity syndrome (which is a 46XY disorder) and 17 alpha hydroxylase deficiency.

Most of the present patients often present with primary amenorrhea but with developed secondary sexual characteristics [4-6]. Other presenting symptoms include the inability to have sexual intercourse as in the case above or dyspareunia or infertility [4].

### Classification

The syndrome is divided into the following three classes;

#### Typical MRKH (Type I)

This has isolated symmetric absence of the vagina or hypoplastic uterus.

#### Atypical MRKH (Type II)

This has asymmetric uterovaginal absence or hypoplasia, absence or hypoplasia of one or both of the fallopian tubes and malformation in the ovaries and/or the renal system.

#### MURCS (Mullerian agenesis, Renal Agenesis, Cervicothoracic Somite abnormalities)

This classification has the above with the addition of a skeletal and/or cardiac malformation, muscular weakness and renal malformation. The typical classification is the most common type followed by the atypical and MURCS.

### Embryology

In females the mullerian ducts are present by the sixth week of development and continued development, migration and fusion during the twelfth week. Mullerian agenesis is caused by the embryologic failure of the mullerian duct and sinovaginal bulbs, as a result the vagina and uterus may not develop. The vagina may be absent or shorter than normal. A remnant of the uterus or uterine horns may be present [7].

### Aetiology

Although cases of the syndrome are sporadic, there are cases that have a genetic link. Research shows that there may be a genetic component with deletions on chromosomes 1, 4, 8, 16, 17or 22 [8]. Duplication was also noted on chromosome X. Partial duplication of SHOX gene is found in some cases for MRKH [9]. Loss of function on the WNT4 gene has also been considered [10].

### Anatomical Abnormalities

The predominant effect is in the genitourinary system; however, the syndrome may affect additional systems besides the genitourinary tract as some have alopecia areata or hirsutism [11,12]. Cardiac abnormalities have also been noted [13,14]. Other cases have been described with auditory, skeletal, hirsutism [11,12]. Cardiac abnormalities have also been noted [13,14]. Other cases have been described with auditory, skeletal, hematologic and endocrine abnormalities such as diabetes and hyperprolactinemia [12,15-20]. The syndrome may also be associated with fibroids in the rudimentary uterus [21]. One case reports a possible association with metastatic papillary adenocarcinoma carcinoma [5].

### Investigations

A hormonal assay (including but not limited to; testosterone, oestrogen, FSH & LH and other tests to rule out differentials should be requested, guided by clinical presentation. Imaging studies are essential to assist with outlining the abnormalities present in the genitourinary tract. Ultrasound and MRI are some options. In most settings, ultrasound is available. However, it has its limitations as it may not identify underdeveloped mullerian structures and extra pelvic ovaries. MRI is the imaging of choice as it closely correlates with surgical findings [22,23]. But it is more expensive than ultrasound and not readily accessible in all clinical settings.
Treatment and Management

The treatment of the syndrome involves a multidisciplinary approach with emphasis on treating each aspect of the syndrome. Counselling should be used to address the emotional and psychological components of MRKH [24].

Nonsurgical and surgical options are available for the treatment of the physical aspect of the syndrome [25]. Non-functional uterine remnants may have to be resected laparoscopically. A neovagina may be created to facilitate sexual activity. A non surgical approach may be achieved by the Frank Procedure or Ingrain's Method which entails use of dilators [26]. In one reported series 90%-95% of patients were able to achieve anatomic and functional success [27,28].

The surgical method is the creation of a neovagina via open, laparoscopic or robotic techniques [29,33]. Surgical methods include the older procedures such as McIndoe procedure and the Williams procedure [34] using a split thickness skin graft and vulval flap respectively and new laparoscopic techniques include the Vecchietti procedure, using single peritoneal flap (SPF) and Davydov's laparoscopic technique [35]. The tissues used may be autologous or biologically engineered [36,37].

It is important that these patients be screened for human papilloma virus once they are sexually active [38]. Postsurgical complications may be encountered such as necrosis of the vagina or vault prolapse [39-41]. Patients that want to pursue childbearing will require the assistance of reproductive technology [42].

Summary

MRKH although rare, we are learning more about the syndrome, the causative molecular genetics, how to diagnose it and the subsequent management. The options exist for non surgical and surgical management of the syndrome. All options should be reviewed with the patient to facilitate function and improve patient overall satisfaction with the outcome of the treatment. In terms of fertility, options will depend on the initial organs that are present and their function, such as use of autologous oocytes via a surrogate carrier. However, with continued expansion in the reproductive and endocrine and infertility arena additional options may soon be readily at hand such as uterine transplant.
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