



Approaches for the Detection of Latent Fingermarks on Deceased Human Bodies

Kevin Juan da Silva Luz^{1,2} and Rodrigo Meneses de Barros^{2,3}

¹Institute of Biological Sciences, University of Brasilia, Brazil

²Identification Institute, Civil Police of the Federal District, Brazil

³University of the Federal District, Brazil

Submission: March 11, 2023; Published: March 31, 2023

*Corresponding author: Rodrigo Meneses de Barros, Identification Institute, Civil Police of the Federal District, Brazil

Abstract

Latent fingermarks are produced when there is contact between the friction ridges of the thick skin and a particular handled surface. This type of evidence is frequently developed at crime scenes, but their detection on human skin, a complex type of surface, has posed a challenge to the forensic science community. A thorough scientific literature review on this topic was conducted. Experimental studies have evaluated the effectiveness of different techniques such as direct transfer method, powder dusting, and chemical techniques, including the application of cyanoacrylate fuming, iodine vapor, and ruthenium tetroxide. Also, a few case reports provided information of such approaches in real case scenarios. Moreover, techniques for detecting blood-contaminated fingermarks on human skin were evaluated. However, the recommendation of a technique with effectiveness to obtain fingermarks with sufficient ridge detail for identification purposes has not yet been achieved. Different factors that may influence experimental results are presented.

Keywords: Latent Fingermarks; Friction Ridge Evidence; Postmortem; Human Skin; Cadaver; Homicide

Introduction

Latent fingermarks are formed from the deposition of secretions and contaminants present in the friction ridge skin onto a substrate [1]. As the morphology of the friction ridges is unique to everyone, experts in the fingerprint identification field use a comparative examination to verify the morphological compatibility between minutiae from a latent fingerprint evidence and a known source fingerprint, with the aim of providing technical support for criminal investigations [2]. A wide range of techniques may be applied for the detection of latent fingermarks on surfaces of interest at the crime scene. However, depending on the circumstances of the criminal event and on the type of surface (e.g., porous, semiporous, or nonporous), choosing the ideal technique or sequence of techniques may be challenging.

The skin is a complex surface consisting in a multi-layered organ that acts as a crucial mechanical barrier between the organism and its external environment, enabling temperature regulation, and preventing dehydration [3]. It can be categorized as either thin or thick based on their location, comprising two essential layers of varying thickness: the inner dermis and the outermost epidermis. Thick skin is predominantly present on the volar regions, while thin skin covers the rest of the body where hair follicles are present [4]. Postmortem degradation of

skin structures induces modifications in its morphology and histology, and the decomposition of eccrine and sebaceous gland structures, being directly impacted by environmental factors [5].

The detection of latent fingermarks on the skin of deceased victims has the potential to provide crucial evidence in criminal investigations. However, a constrained amount of research has examined techniques relevant for this application. So far, a general agreement concerning this matter has not been achieved for forensic practice. The present study aimed to evaluate the available scientific literature in order to establish guidelines for the detection of latent fingermarks on the skin of deceased human bodies.

Discussion

Detecting latent fingermarks on human skin poses a challenge due to the similarity between the compounds of the latent fingerprint residue and those found on the skin surface. The success of this endeavor is affected by variables related to skin as a deposition surface. As described by Sampson and Sampson [6], temperature, humidity, and skin conditions have been identified as critical factors. According to the authors, an ambient relative humidity of 40-60% and temperature of 20-

25°C are considered ideal for developing latent fingerprints on human skin. In addition, other studies have argued that there should be a match between the room and the body temperatures for best results [6,7].

The Home Office Fingerprint Visualizations Manual - FVM [8] empathizes the continuity of the sweat excretion for some minutes after death, hindering the differentiation between secretions from the deceased skin and those transferred during contact from the thick skin from another individual. The FVM states that the presence of hair on the skin surface and its propensity to undergo deformation upon contact also reduces the likelihood of producing identifiable friction ridge detail. Smoother, hairless regions of the body touched after death are considered the most promising sites for the recovery of this type of evidence, although the probability of successful latent fingerprint development remains very low. According to the scientific literature available, both physical and chemical techniques have been explored for the detection of latent fingerprints on this context (Table 1).

When considering the application of powders on human skin, it is recommended to utilize black magnetic powder for dusting procedures on body regions such as shin, ankle, forearm, and feet of deceased individuals [9-11], with the possibility of also using moldable materials like Isomark® for lifting the marks [10]. The effectiveness of the powdering procedure is contingent upon the time interval between the fingerprint deposition and the application of the developing technique, with shorter intervals leading to higher probability of developing fingerprints. However, it must be reinforced that despite these considerations, the probability of recovering latent fingerprints with sufficient quality for identification through powdering procedures remains relatively

low, at approximately 10% [10,11].

Another physical procedure that should be noted is the direct transfer method. The benefit of utilizing non-toxic materials for direct transfer when collecting latent fingerprints from the dead skin should be considered since posterior forensic toxicological examination may be recommended in the specific case. Following trace collection, the transfer material can be processed in laboratory to unveil fingerprints with other techniques. However, despite the application of direct transfer techniques to human skin, their effectiveness in deceased human bodies remains under investigation [6,12]. Besides other materials, thermal paper was used for retrieving latent fingerprints from the wrist, neck, and forehead of two cadavers, and was posterior treated with a ninhydrin derivate, obtaining a 66% rate of suitable fingerprint development [12].

The application of cyanoacrylate (CA) fuming has offered a certain degree of effectiveness in detecting latent fingerprints on human skin [9,13-15], and have been successfully applied in real case scenarios [16,17]. However, condensation produced on the skin of refrigerated cadavers can compromise the detection of the present fingerprints [7]. Improvised chambers were used for the CA processing of the skin, including a plastic tent over the body at the crime scene obtaining relevant evidence for criminal investigations [16,17]. Researchers have also used a casket air tray [13] and a plastic tent to fit the upper and lower limbs [9,15] for CA treatment of the skin. Another study applied CA directly on a dead skin using a neutral filter paper [14]. Despite significant research efforts, the results showed variable effectiveness and were insufficient to support a strong recommendation of the technique for detecting latent fingerprints on human skin.

Table 1: Summary of the main findings available in the literature regarding techniques for developing latent fingerprints on the skin of cadavers and their effectiveness.

Technique	Deposition Surface	Results: Effectiveness
Magnetic Black Powder	Wrist, neck [7], forearm, lower leg, and foot [10]	Identifiable fingerprints: 12% [10]; ?[7]
Swedish Black Powder	Wrist, neck [7], chest, legs, ankle [11], forearm, lower leg, and foot [10]	Identifiable fingerprints: 8% [11]; 6% [10]; ? [7]
Magnetic Silver Powder	Wrist and neck	No fingerprint was detected [7]
Silver Special	Wrist and neck	No fingerprint detected [7]
Thermal Paper + Thermanin	Wrist, neck and forehead	Identifiable fingerprints: 66% (up to 5h) [12]
CA fuming + Magnetic Powder and Rhodamine 6G	Not specified	Fingerprints detected, but affected by skin moisture?[13]
CA fuming + Europium dye	Not specified	Fingerprints detected upon exposure to UV light?[15] *
CA + Neutral filter paper	Not specified	Identifiable fingerprints: <90%* [14]
CA fuming + Magnetic Powder	Impressions produced on the neck and wrist of cadavers.	Identifiable palmprint and footwear impression detected [16, 17]
	The method was also employed in real-life cases.	No fingerprint detected due to skin moisture [7]

Iodine Fuming** + Silver plate	Thighs	Identifiable fingerprints detected: 31% (after 10 min) and 2% (after 15 min) [18]
Iodine Fuming** + α -naphthoflavone**	Lower limbs	Identifiable fingerprints: ?[9]
RTX**	Wrist and neck	Identifiable fingerprints: < 90% [7]; ? [9]

*Information on the production of fingerprint samples not presented.

**flammability or toxicity concerns.

In addition to chemical methods, two published articles have investigated the potential use of Ruthenium tetroxide (RTX) for the detection of latent fingerprints on the skin of dead human bodies [8,9]. The results of these studies suggest that RTX is a suitable chemical for developing this type of evidence with adequate quality for identification, as demonstrated by Trapecar & Balazic [7]. The authors achieved a 90% rate when applying RTX directly on the human skin. Regardless these positive findings, the application of RTX is not currently recommended due to the limited number of experimental studies and the acute toxicity that may harm forensic examiners [8]. Iodine is a common chemical compound utilized for detecting latent fingerprints on porous and semi-porous surfaces, which was also indicated for detecting impressions on the skin of cadavers [9,18]. The technique showed remarkable decrease in effectiveness as a function of time since deposition: after 15 min, only 2% of the impressions were detectable [18]. Wilkinson et al. [9] examined the employment of iodine vapor with α -naphthoflavone-based fixative solution to produce more stable developed marks. However, Bleay et al. [19] pointed out that this fixative solution is highly flammable and not recommended for forensic routines. Non-flammable alternative fixative formulations have been suggested, but they have not yet been tested on human skin (Table 1).

Detecting patent fingerprints, which are visible to the naked eye, should also be considered crucial in criminal investigations, particularly at violent crime scenes. Petretei & Angyal [20] made some effort in detecting experimentally produced fingerprints contaminated with blood on the skin of cadavers. The authors compared the utilization of Amido Black, Gentian Violet, and Acid Fuchsin for the enhancement of the samples. Treatment with Amido Black and Acid Fuchsin resulted in better development in unrefrigerated bodies, generating sufficient ridge detail for an identification. Another experiment involved the application of Acid Fuchsin in fingerprint samples contaminated with varying amounts of blood produced on the lower limbs of the cadaver, followed by refrigeration for 72 hours before treatment. Some enhancements were obtained for impressions containing smaller amounts of blood but insufficient for identification. In a third experiment, the same method was applied to eight cadavers, achieving 28% of enhanced fingerprints with sufficient quality for identifications.

In the case report published by Lawley [21], blood stains were found on the ankle, legs, wrists, and hands of a stabbed corpse. The body was maintained in a 4°C cold storage room and

subsequently exposed to room temperature for 2 hours prior to examination. Amido Black was applied for the detection of a fingerprint on the inner surface of the right thigh of the victim, but with insufficient quality for an identification. According to the author, the cold storage maintenance may have influenced the results.

Conclusion

Over the past few decades, various techniques have been suggested for the detection of latent fingerprints on the skin of deceased individuals. Although there is no agreement on the optimal method for this purpose, certain factors are crucial to preserve fingerprint evidence on the skin after death. It should be noted that the time since death is a major factor, with better perspectives in recent death cases. Also, implementing strategies for non-contact drying of refrigerated bodies before processing could be crucial, particularly due to condensation on the skin surface. In cases where investigation require latent fingerprint evidence from a dead body, examination at the crime scene would be considered ideal. However, if it is not feasible, caution must be exercised in handling the corpse and in the transportation, refrigeration, and preservation of specific body parts, such as wrists, shins, ankles, neck, among others.

Although positive identification has not been obtained in real cases, the efforts to develop fingerprint evidence on the skin of deceased individuals at crime scenes [16,17] or in examination rooms [21] have highlighted the necessity for strategies that improve the effectiveness of the existing methods. In this context, the effect of biases in research should be taken into account such as the production of latent fingerprints under optimal conditions with excessive sebaceous content instead of 'natural' fingerprints; the previous selection of body regions with a higher probability of producing high-quality fingerprints; knowledge of the locations where the samples were produced prior to the development procedures; a very short or unrealistic time lapse. Only part of the literature presented quantitative data on the effectiveness of the techniques, even when detection of identifiable fingerprints was achieved.

Conflict of Interest

All authors would like to express their gratitude to Dr. Ruben Sérgio Veloso Gumprich, Director of the Institute of Identification of the Civil Police of the Federal District, for the institutional support provided. Additionally, we would like to thank the Re-

search Support Foundation of the Federal District (FAP-DF) for their financial support.



References

1. Barros RM, Faria BEF, Kuckelhaus SAS (2013) Morphometry of latent palmprints as a function of time. *Sci Justice* 53(4): 402-408.
2. Vanderkolk JR (2011) Examination process. *Fingerprint Sourcebook*, pp. 9-12.
3. Kolarsick PA, Kolarsick MA, Goodwin C (2011) Anatomy and physiology of the skin. *J Dermatol Nurses Assoc* 3(4): 203-213.
4. Junqueira LC, Carneiro J (2008) *Histologia básica*, (11 ed), Guanabara Koogan, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil 6(6).
5. W Wei, Qi Michu, Dong Wenjuan, Wen Jianrong, Han Zhibing, et al, (2020) Histological changes in human skin 32 days after death and the potential forensic significance. *Sci Reports* 10(1): 1-7.
6. Sampson WC, Sampson KL (2005) Recovery of Latent Prints from Human Skin. *J Forensic Identif* 55(3): 362-385
7. Trapecar M, Balazic J (2007) Fingerprint recovery from human skin surfaces. *Sci Justice* 47(3): 136-140.
8. (2014) Home Office Fingerprint Visualization Manual.
9. Wilkinson D, Watkin E, Misner H (1996) A comparison of techniques for the visualization of fingerprints on human skin including the application of iodine and α -naphthoflavone. *J Forensic Identif* 46(4): 432-453.
10. Färber D, Seul A, Weisser HJ, Bohnert M (2010) Recovery of latent fingerprints and DNA on human skin. *J Forensic Sci* 55(6): 1457-1461.
11. Rozman KB, Dobovsek B, Trapecar M (2014) Fingerprint recovery from human skin by Finger Powder. *J Forensic Sci Criminol* 1(6).
12. Gülekçi Y, Tülek A, Şener H, Küçüker H (2022) Fingermark development on living and dead subjects: analysis of thermal paper transfers with different methods. *Australian Journal of Forensic Sciences* 54: 1-12.
13. Delmas BJ (1988) Postmortem latent print recovery from skin surfaces. *J Forensic Identif* 38(2): 49-56
14. Jian Z, Dao G (1991) A modified cyanoacrylate technique utilizing treated neutral filter paper for developing latent fingerprints *Forensic Sci Int* 52(1): 31-34.
15. Wilkinson DA, Watkin JE (1993) Europium aryl- β -diketone complexes as fluorescent dyes for the detection of cyanoacrylate developed fingerprints on human skin. *Forensic Sci Int* 60(1-2): 67-79.
16. Wilgus G (2002) Latent Print Recovery from Human Skin. *J Forensic Identif* 52 (2): 133-135
17. Wilgus G (2004) Latent Shoeprint Recovery on Human Skin. *J Forensic Identif* 54(4): 428-432
18. Gray Carl (1978) The detection and persistence of latent fingerprints on human skin: An assessment of the iodine-silver plate method. *J Forensic Sci* 18(1-2): 47-52.
19. Stephen Bleay, Vaughn Sears, Rory Downham, Helen Bandey, Andrew Gibson, et al. (2018) Home Office Fingerprint Source Book 2: 295.
20. Petretei D, Angyal M (2015) Recovering Bloody Fingerprints from Skin. *J Forensic Identif* 65(5): 813-826.
21. Lawley R (2003) Application of Amido Black Mixture for the Development of Blood-based Fingerprints on Human Skin. *J Forensic Identif* 53(4): 404-408.



This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License
DOI: [10.19080/JFSCI.2023.17.555956](https://doi.org/10.19080/JFSCI.2023.17.555956)

Your next submission with Juniper Publishers will reach you the below assets

- Quality Editorial service
- Swift Peer Review
- Reprints availability
- E-prints Service
- Manuscript Podcast for convenient understanding
- Global attainment for your research
- Manuscript accessibility in different formats
(Pdf, E-pub, Full Text, Audio)
- Unceasing customer service

Track the below URL for one-step submission
<https://juniperpublishers.com/online-submission.php>