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CKD Uraemic Environment does not Influence Adiponectin Secretion- A “Bed-To-Bench” Approach
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Abstract

Adiponectin (ADPN) is an adipocyte-derived protein carrying anti-atherogenic properties in the general population. Controversially, ADPN serum concentrations are positively associated with the magnitude of chronic kidney disease (CKD), which is the strongest cardiovascular risk factor per se. This piece of reverse epidemiology raises the question, if ADPN is stimulated by uremia itself or is simply a bystander of CKD. To enlighten this question on the cellular level, we performed co-stimulatory experiments in human adipocytes using insulin- an established ADPN stimulating agent- and compound uraemic haemofiltrate from patients with CKD stage 5. Haemofiltrate was employed as a model fluid because the more comprehensive approach of using uremic serum was methodologically impossible due to the ADPN content of serum.

Differentiated adipocytes were treated with compound uraemic haemofiltrate from patients with CKD stage 5 or PBS as control. Surprisingly, we did not detect any additional stimulatory effect of increasing haemofiltrate concentrations on adiponectin secretion of human adipocytes after 24 and 48 hours of incubation (p=0.67 or 0.07 resp.).

Therefore, this in vitro experiment preliminary precludes a pathophysiological effect of water-soluble uraemic compounds on ADPN cellular secretion. Further experiments with varying amounts of insulin and other ADPN stimulators are needed to confirm such preclusion.

Introduction

Adiponectin (ADPN) is an adipocyte-derived protein [1] with potential antatherogenic properties and exclusively secreted by adipocytes. In chronic kidney disease (CKD), ADPN serum levels are increased [2-7] for unknown reasons although accumulation due to abolished clearance [8-10] or modified metabolic pathways [4] has been hypothesized but not finally proved. The ADPN increase in CKD is of certain biological interest, because in the general population ADPN serum levels are inversely associated with cardiovascular risk [11-15]. The underlying vascular-protective effects are mounted on several mechanisms like insulin sensitizing [16-19], maintaining endovascular homeostasis [20] and anti-inflammatory properties [21,22]. In opposite, patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD) are subjected to an increased cardiovascular risk [23,24] in general and by even higher extent, if they exhibit higher ADPN serum values [3,6,25,26]. Therefore, the finding of increased ADPN in CKD and increased mortality risk along with higher ADPN can be regarded as a paradox or a further finding of reverse epidemiology [5]. It must be challenged, whether in CKD ADPN plays the same protective role as it is supposed to do in the general population.

Osteoclast activation in CKD may be regarded as one of the candidate mechanisms to be differentially effective in CKD vs. normal environment [27]. Because osteoclasts are being activated via NF_κB [28] and the Receptor Activator of NF_B Ligand (RANKL) [29], this pathway could be seen as a hypothetical interplay with ADPN regulation. ADPN was shown to stimulate RANKL in vitro and inhibited osteoprotegrin (OPG) in osteoblasts. In opposite, ADPN was found to be positively associated with OPG [30], which is indeed a decoy receptor for NF_B and therefore protects endothelial integrity [31,32]. Hypothetically, the molecular role of ADPN in CKD could be seen inversely to it’s role in other populations, since it’s induction might activate osteoclasts and calcium-phosphate liberation from bones becoming osteopenic. With such regard, the impact of ADPN could be a function of renal disease and the particular milieu in CKD.
As a step to clarify the underlying mechanism we aimed to decipher whether ADPN secretion by adipocytes might be influenced by uremia itself, initially by deployment of a comprehensive variety of uremic compounds as stimulating agent for ADPN secretion of adipocytes. Because serum of CKD patients contains such comprehensive assortment, but also ADPN in a large quantity, it is technically difficult or not possible to separate detection results between serum ADPN and ADPN secreted by adipocytes after stimulation. The present study examined insulin-stimulated nearly mature adipocytes incubated with uremic, but ADPN-free haemofiltrate from end-stage, dialysis-necessitating CKD patients to analyse ADPN secretion under uremic conditions.

Methods

Cell culture

To test ADPN secretion, preadipocytes isolated from a patient with Simpson-Golabi-Behmel syndrome (SGBS) were studied. These immortal cells can easily be differentiated into nearly mature adipocytes by insulin and are specifically useful to study adipocyte differentiation, metabolism, and secretory properties.

Cells were seeded in culture flasks by a density of 4000/cm² and cultivated in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM) (Invitrogen, Karlsruhe, Germany). When cell confluence reached 80%, cells were washed threefold with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, Invitrogen) and insulin-induced 3 days with DMEM enriched by 20µM insulin, 10% fetal calf serum, 30mm Biotin (Sigma-Aldrich, Seelze, Germany), 150mm Panthothenat (Sigma-Aldrich, Seelze, Germany), 100U/ml Penicillin (Sigma-Aldrich, Seelze, Germany) and 100mg/ml Streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich, Seelze, Germany), in order to induce adipogenic differentiation. After 9 days, differentiation medium (insulin-containing) was replaced by the investigational (insulin-free) culture medium. That medium consisted of DMEM with varying amounts of pooled uremic haemofiltrate (HF) or PBS. To adjust for changes in nutrient concentrations in dilution series, PBS or uremic solution were added at similar volumes yielding constant nutrient concentration in control and uremia-supplemented dishes at each uremia concentration. Experiments were performed both for concentration and time dependency.

Visual cell behavior and confluency control was used for viability control. Using such approach, all ADPN experiments were done with a fixed amount of culturing solution but varying amounts of uremic solution or buffer solution serving as an internal control (uremic co-incubation).

Haemofiltrates and patients

CKD patients who exhibit large interdialytic fluid gain can routinely be treated by a sequential dialsate-free, pure haemofiltration (HF) phase during their regular outpatient dialysis sessions to reach better hemodynamic stability [33]. During these initial phases (mostly about 30min) without any dialsate flow (not to be confused with standard hemodiafiltration including dialsate flow), HF fluid from the wasting outlets of dialysis machines was drawn and pooled for further experimental investigations. The volume of HF fluid was between 100 and 500mL during the first 30min of session. It represents ultrafiltrated uremia serum and a non-specified, not diluted mixture of water-soluble small uremic compounds in a small volume and was used as stock solution for subsequent dilution series. Because no individual patient data were collected and no interventional therapy modification was conducted, patient were informed about machine fluid collection, but no formal written consent was sought.

ADPN measurements

Supernatants from cells cultured in medium with uremic augmentation (HF) ore PBS addition were harvested in two experiments. Experiment A employed a composition of the investigational medium containing 30% haemofiltrate together with 70% PBS vs. a control medium of 100% PBS and time points of 8, 24, 36, 48 and 60 hours with threefold ADPN measurement at each time-point. Experiment B used variable uremic haemofiltrate concentrations of 0, 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50% (with the replacing amount of PBS, resp.) at time points 24 and 48 hours with ADPN measurement in triplicate. Every uremic concentration was conducted twice with triplicate ADPN measurement. Total ADPN was measured by sheep alcaline-phosphatase based antibody ELISA assay (Biovendor, Heidelberg, Germany, Cat.# RSCHHMWADN096R). The assay was calibrated according the user’s manual by means of a pretested calibration curve. Each data-point displays the mean of 3 measurements and standard deviation.

Statistical analysis

For comparison of correlations between uremic concentration, incubation time and ADPN concentration, linear regression and ANOVA was used. The mean ADPN change per % change of HF proportion is given as 95% confidence interval. For comparison of 24h vs. 48h time-points and uremic augmentation vs. PBS, paired t-test was used.

Results

![Figure 1: ADPN secretion of SGBS adipocytes at different time points with 30% haemofiltrate supplementation or PBS supplementation as control. ADPN measurements in triplicate.](image)

Supernatant total ADPN concentration and time of incubation were highly correlated both with (Pearson’s R 0.92; p=0.003)
and without (Pearson’s R 0.99; p<0.0001) 30% HF co-incubation (Figure 1). At time points 24 and 48 hours, the supernatant ADPN concentration was higher in uremic solutions (p<0.001, p=0.007) compared to PBS while the incremental increase of ADPN with augmented uremic environment did not reach correlation with the incremental increase of ADPN over time (p=0.1, Figure 1).

Supernatant total ADPN concentration and concentration of uremic co-incubation fluid were not correlated at 24 hours (Pearson’s R 0.14; p=0.67) and 48 hours (Pearson’s R-0.59; p<0.067) (Figure 2).

![Figure 2: ADPN secretion of SGBS adipocytes with different uremic co-incubation. ADPN measurements were done in triplicate and each concentration step was repeated. At 20% and 48 hours, ADPN measurement failed for technical reasons.](image)

ADPN levels in both non-uremic and serial augmented uremic environment were about 10 times higher (95%CI Δ 162±195; p<0.0001) after an incubation period of 48 hours compared to 24 hours (p<0.0001).

**Discussion**

By applying a uraemic environment of small water soluble molecules on secreting adipocytes in an artificial setting, overt and continuing ADPN stimulation was not notable on the background of initial one-time insulin stimulation. The 1.8fold ADPN increase responding to 30% uremic concentration was only present at time-points 24 and 48 hours, was not disproportionate to the ADPN time dependency and could not be replicated with varying concentrations of uremic environment. We applied an assay based on SGBS cells comparable to other in vitro studies with a similar technical approach. The tremendous time course of ADPN secretion may indicate that peak ADPN secretion was not reached at the 24-hours-time-point and our experiments were done in a linear phase of the ADPN time-secretion relationship. Such reasoning points to the assumption, that uremic environment was present during a non-plateau and secreting phase of ADPN production but did not carry major stimulatory effects exceeding insulin effects. These results can be interpreted as a preliminary preclusion of an in-vitro stimulatory relationship of ADPN in renal transplantation but also in nephrotic syndrome [4]

yielding even higher levels compared to stage 5 CKD. This might suggest that ADPN levels are associated with lipid metabolism but not so much with accumulation in renal failure.

In vitro studies like the present one carry shortcomings when comparisons to clinical situations are drawn. First, by using concentrated haemolirate from the first period of conventional dialysis, we were able to draw a mixture of small water-soluble, but not protein-bound and larger molecules because these molecules do pass the dialysis filter membrane by only a minor proportion [34]. Methodological, we tested total ADPN, although distinct biological effects of HMW isoforms have been suggested [35]. However, there is available evidence that total and HMW ADPN are correlated and total ADPN assays have been applied as satisfying surrogates [14]. Of course, biological cofactors like lipid metabolism, inflammation and bone metabolism could not have been simulated. The present results were mounted on the background of initial insulin stimulation to accomplish adipogenic differentiation. By such procedure we aimed to reach comparison with known physiological mechanism (insulin dependency) with the hypothesized uraemia dependency. Because this was not the case, we may conclude that uraemia at least does not play a role as insulinemia does. We cannot draw conclusions regarding smaller increments, e.g. in terms of not insulin-dependent systems.

Therefore our initial investigational findings do only add first reasoning that adipocyte ADPN secretion is not enhanced overtly by uraemia. Further steps, using modified insulin and other co-stimulating compounds as well as protein-bound compounds seem to be meaningful to widen the perception of cellular ADPN secretion in frame of CKD.
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