



Review Article

Volume 17 Issue 5 - September 2025
DOI: 10.19080/JDVS.2025.17.555975

Dairy and Vet Sci J

Copyright © All rights are reserved by Hamed Asadollahi

Genetic Improvement of Sheep and Goats



Hamed Asadollahi*

Department of animal science, Faculty of agriculture, Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran

Submission: August 12, 2025, **Published:** September 04, 2025

***Corresponding author:** Hamed Asadollahi, Department of animal science, Faculty of agriculture, Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran.
Email: Hamed_Asadollahi@yahoo.com

Abstract

Genetic improvement in sheep and goats plays a crucial role in enhancing productivity, disease resistance, and adaptability to various environmental conditions. Selective breeding programs focus on desirable traits such as increased meat, milk, and wool production, reproductive efficiency, and resistance to parasites and diseases. Traditional methods, including controlled mating and pedigree selection, have been complemented by modern genetic tools such as marker-assisted selection (MAS) and genomic selection. Crossbreeding strategies also contribute to hybrid vigor, improving overall performance. Effective breeding programs require comprehensive record-keeping, proper nutrition, and herd management. Sustainable genetic improvement enhances profitability and contributes to food security by providing high-quality animal products.

Keywords: Sheep breeding; goat breeding; genetic improvement; selective breeding; crossbreeding, marker-assisted selection; genomic selection; hybrid vigor; livestock productivity; disease resistance

Introduction

Sheep and goats are among the most important livestock species worldwide, providing meat, milk, wool, and leather. They play a crucial role in the economy, especially in developing countries, where they serve as a primary source of income and nutrition. Enhancing the genetic traits of sheep and goats through selective breeding and biotechnological advancements can significantly improve productivity, adaptability, and disease resistance. This article explores the principles, methods, and challenges of genetic improvement in sheep and goats, highlighting the importance of modern breeding techniques in sustainable livestock farming.

Principles of Genetic Improvement

Genetic improvement is based on selecting animals with desirable traits and breeding them to pass these traits on to future generations. The main principles include:

Heritability of Traits

Some traits are more influenced by genetics than others. For example:

High heritability traits (e.g., wool quality, growth rate) respond quickly to selection.

Moderate heritability traits (e.g., milk production, fertility) require longer-term selection efforts.

Low heritability traits (e.g., disease resistance, reproductive efficiency) are influenced by both genetics and environmental factors [1].

Genetic Variation

The presence of genetic diversity within a population is crucial for effective breeding programs. A diverse gene pool allows for adaptability to changing environmental conditions, reducing the risk of inbreeding-related problems [2].

Selection and Breeding Objectives

Genetic selection should align with the goals of the breeding program, such as improving:

Meat quality and growth rate, Milk yield and composition, Resistance to diseases and parasites, Reproductive efficiency, Wool quality and fiber characteristics [3].

Methods of Genetic Improvement

Traditional Selective Breeding

Traditional breeding relies on choosing the best-performing

animals as parents for the next generation. This method includes:

Purebred Selection: Maintaining specific breeds to enhance desirable traits, ensuring breed purity.

Crossbreeding: Mating animals from different breeds to improve hybrid vigor (heterosis), which enhances traits such as fertility, growth rate, and resistance to diseases (Mekkawy et al., 2019).

Artificial Insemination (AI)

AI is widely used in livestock breeding to introduce superior male genetics into a herd or flock. It offers several benefits:

Reduces the cost of maintaining multiple breeding males, Enables the use of genetics from high-quality rams and bucks worldwide, Reduces the risk of disease transmission [4].

Embryo Transfer (ET) and In-Vitro Fertilization (IVF)

ET and IVF allow for the rapid multiplication of superior female genetics. These technologies involve:

Collecting embryos from high-quality females, implanting them into surrogate mothers, speeding up genetic progress by increasing the number of offspring from elite females [5].

Marker-Assisted Selection (MAS)

Advancements in DNA technology have enabled genomic selection, where specific genetic markers associated with desirable traits are identified. MAS improves accuracy in selecting superior animals and is particularly useful for traits with low heritability, such as disease resistance [6].

Gene Editing and Biotechnology

Emerging biotechnologies such as CRISPR-Cas9 allow precise gene modifications. Scientists can:

Remove genes responsible for genetic diseases, enhance traits like disease resistance and growth efficiency, create genetically modified sheep and goats with superior productivity [7].

Challenges in Genetic Improvement

Despite the advantages, genetic improvement faces several challenges:

- **Economic and Infrastructure Constraints**

Implementing advanced breeding programs requires investment in technology, expertise, and infrastructure. Many small-scale farmers lack access to artificial insemination or genomic selection (Mueller et al., 2015).

- **Ethical and Regulatory Issues**

The use of gene editing in livestock is a subject of ethical debate. Many countries have strict regulations on genetically modified animals [8].

- **Risk of Inbreeding**

Overuse of specific superior males in breeding programs can reduce genetic diversity, leading to inbreeding depression. Careful management of breeding populations is necessary to maintain genetic health (Oldenbroek & Windig, 2018).

- **Adaptation and Climate Change**

Introducing genetically improved breeds into new environments may lead to adaptation challenges. Climate change is altering disease patterns, requiring continuous selection for resilience traits [9].

Future Prospects and Sustainable Breeding

The future of sheep and goat genetic improvement lies in a balanced approach that combines traditional breeding with modern biotechnology. Key strategies include:

Integrating genomic selection with traditional breeding programs, Using AI and ET to spread superior genetics while maintaining diversity, focusing on resilience traits to address climate change challenges, Ensuring ethical and sustainable breeding practices.

Conclusion

Genetic improvement in sheep and goats plays a crucial role in increasing productivity, efficiency, and sustainability in livestock farming. By combining selective breeding with modern genomic tools, farmers can achieve significant advancements in meat, milk, and wool production. However, challenges such as economic barriers, ethical concerns, and climate adaptation must be carefully managed to ensure long-term success. Investing in research and farmer education will be key to the future of genetic enhancement in sheep and goats.

References

1. Murugan A, Dhanya S, Sarcar AB, Naganathan V, Rajagopal S, et al. (2011) Fishery biology, demography of the three-spotted seahorse, *Hippocampus trimaculatus* inhabiting Gulf of Mannar region, southeast coast of India. Indian J Geo-Mar Sci 40: 411–423.
2. Vinod K, Saravanan R, Johnson B, Murugan A, Joshi KK, Vivekanandan E (2018) Seahorse resources in India: need for paradigm shift in approach for sustainable fisheries and conservation. Marine Fisheries Policy Series 8:1-106.
3. Murugan A (2004) Biology and culture of the seahorse *Hippocampus trimaculatus* (Leach, 1814). Ph.D. Thesis Annamalai University India Pp: 176
4. Foster SJ, Vincent ACJ (2005) Enhancing sustainability of the international trade in seahorses with a single minimum size limit. Conserv Biol 19: 1044–1050.
5. Chen J (1990) Brief introduction to mariculture of five selected species in China. In: UNDP/FAO Regional Sea farming Development and Demonstration Project. National Inland Fisheries Institute Kasetsart (ed.). University Campus, Bangkok, Thailand. 16.
6. Koning S, Hoeksema BW (2021) Diversity of seahorse species (*Hippocampus* spp.) in the international aquarium trade. Diversity 13(5): 187-193.

7. Koldewey HJ, Martin-Smith KM (2010) A global review of seahorse aquaculture. *Aquaculture*, 302: 131–152.
8. Fonseca T, David FS, Ribeiro FAS, Wainberg AA, Valenti WC (2017) Technical and economic feasibility of integrating seahorse culture in shrimp/oyster farms. *Aquac Res* 48: 655–664.
9. Zhang D, Liu X, Lin T, Li S, Shen F, Wang Y (2023) Culturing the lined seahorse (*Hippocampus erectus*) in extensive shrimp ponds and cages. *J World Aquac Soc* 1–10.
10. Cohen FP, Valenti WC (2019) Opportunities and constraints for developing low-cost aquaculture of seahorses in mangrove estuaries. *Aquaculture* 502: 121–127.
11. Xu Y, Mu J (2016) Study on an integrated eco-aquaculture system for rearing the yellow seahorse, *Hippocampus kuda* Bleeker. *Aquacul Res* 48: 2868–2875.
12. Garcia LMB, Hilomen-Garcia GV, Celino FT, Gonzales TT, Maliao RJ (2012) Diet composition and feeding periodicity of the seahorse *Hippocampus barbouri* reared in illuminated sea cages. *Aquaculture* 358–359: 1–5.
13. García LMB, Hilomen-Garcia GV (2009) Grow-out of juvenile seahorse *Hippocampus kuda* (Bleeker: Teleostei: Syngnathidae) in illuminated sea cages. *Aquacult Res* 40: 211–216.
14. Lio-Po G, Amar E, de la Peña L, Orozco ZG, Faisan J, et al. (2009) Surveillance of emerging fish viral pathogens in some Southeast Asian countries. *Isr J Aquacult - Bamidgeh* 61(3): 208–214.
15. Dzyuba B, Van Look KJW, Cliffe A, Koldewey HJ, Holt WV (2006) Effect of parental age and associated size on fecundity, growth and survival in the yellow seahorse *Hippocampus kuda*. *J Exp Biol* 209: 3055–3061.
16. Xie J, Bu L, Jin S, Wang X, Zhao Q, et al. (2020) Outbreak of vibriosis caused by *Vibrio harveyi* and *Vibrio alginolyticus* in farmed seahorse *Hippocampus kuda* in China. *Aquaculture* 523: 735168.
17. Planas M, Chamorro A, Quintas P, Vilar V (2008) Establishment and maintenance of threatened long-snouted seahorse, *Hippocampus guttulatus*, broodstock in captivity. *Aquaculture* 283:19–28.
18. Murugan A, Dhanya S, Pawar HB, Sreepada RA, Rajagopal S, et al. (2013) Preliminary observations on breeding of the three spotted seahorse, *Hippocampus trimaculatus* (Leach, 1814), solely fed with wild caught amphipods under ex-situ conditions. *Indian J Ani Sci* 83 (2): 204–208.
19. Murugan A, Sreepada RA, Sanaye SV, Pawar HB (2017) Captive breeding and mass scale rearing of the yellow seahorse, *Hippocampus kuda* (Bleeker, 1852) in support of the emerging marine ornamental fish industry and conservation in India. *Indian J Geo - Mar Sci* 46(10): 1996–2002.
20. Woods CMC, Valentino F (2003) Frozen mysids as an alternative to live Artemia in culturing seahorses *Hippocampus abdominalis*. *Aquacult Res* 34(9): 757–763.
21. Payne M, Rippingale R (2000) Rearing West Australian seahorse, *Hippocampus subelongatus*, juveniles on copepod nauplii and enriched Artemia. *Aquaculture* 188: 353–361.
22. Sanaye SV, Pawar HB, Murugan A, Sreepada RA, Tanu Singh, Ansari ZA (2013) Diseases and parasites in cultured yellow seahorse, *Hippocampus kuda* (Bleeker, 1852). *Fishing Chimes* 32 (11): 65–67.
23. Blanco A (2014) Rearing of the seahorse *Hippocampus guttulatus*: key factors involved in growth and survival. PhD thesis. University of the Balearic Islands, Palma de Mallorca, Illes Balears, Spain.
24. Woods CMC (2000) Improving initial survival in cultured seahorses, *Hippocampus abdominalis* Leeson, 1827 (Teleostei: Syngnathidae). *Aquaculture* 190: 377–388.
25. Murugan A, Dhanya S, Sreepada RA, Rajagopal S, Balasubramanian T (2009) Breeding and mass-scale rearing of three spotted seahorse, *Hippocampus trimaculatus* Leach under captive conditions. *Aquaculture* 290: 87–96.
26. Lin Q, Lin J, Huang L (2010) Effects of light intensity, stocking density and temperature on the air-bubble disease, survivorship and growth of early juvenile seahorse *Hippocampus erectus* Perry, 1810. *Aquacul Res* 42: 91–98.
27. Masonjones HD, Lewis SM (1996) Courtship behaviour in the dwarf seahorse, *Hippocampus zosterae*. *Copeia* 3: 634–640.
28. Ofelio C, Blanco A, Roura Á, Pintado J, Pascual S, Planas M (2013) Isolation and molecular identification of the scuticociliate *Porpostoma notata* Moebius, 1888 from moribund reared *Hippocampus hippocampus* (L.) seahorses, by amplification of the SSU rRNA gene sequences. *J Fish Dis* 12: 1061–5.
29. Shin SP, Han JE, Gomez DK, Kim JH, Choresca Jr CH, et al. (2011) Identification of scuticociliate *Philasterides dicentrarchi* from indo-pacific seahorses *Hippocampus kuda*. *African Journal of Microbiology Research* 5: 738–741.
30. Di Cicco E, Paradis E, Stephen C, Turba ME, Rossi G (2013) Scuticociliatid ciliate outbreak in Australian pot-bellied seahorse, *Hippocampus abdominalis* (Lesson, 1827): Clinical signs, histopathologic findings, and treatment with metronidazole. *Journal of Zoo and Wildlife Medicine* 44: 435–440.
31. Saravanan K, Ezhil Nilavan S, Arun Sudhagar S, NaveenChandru V (2012) Diseases of Mariculture Finfish Species: A Review. *The Israeli Journal of Aquaculture – Bamidgeh*.
32. Salter CE, O'Donnell K, Sutton DA, Marancik DP, Knowles S, et al. (2012) Dermatitis and systemic mycosis in lined seahorses *Hippocampus erectus* associated with a marine adapted *Fusarium solani* species complex pathogen. *Dis Aquat Org* 101:23–31.
33. Sousa KS, Souto-Neto JA, Medeiros APM, Oliveira TPR, Rebouças JS, et al. (2023) Hydrogen peroxide in seahorse aquaculture: Determining safe exposure levels using non-invasive biomarkers of stress. *Aquaculture* 564 739052.
34. Chen X, Qi J, He L, Luo H, Lin J, Qiu F, Wang Q, Zheng L (2022) Isolation and identification of a new strain of nervous necrosis virus from the big-belly seahorse *Hippocampus abdominalis*. *Virology Journal* 19:109.
35. Sobhana KS (2009) Diseases of seabass in cage culture and control measures. pp. 87– 93. In: Imelda J, Edwin V, Susmitha V (eds.). National Training on Cage Culture of Seabass. CMFRI & NFDB, Kochi.
36. Tavares DNF, Fernández TC, Freret-Meurer NV (2022) Treatment of a caseous infection caused by *Enterobacter aerogenes* (Enterobacteriaceae) in a captive long snout seahorse, *Hippocampus reidi* (Actinopterygii, Syngnathidae). *Pan American Journal of Aquatic Sciences* 17(2): 145–151.
37. Ranasinghe P, Bandara VC, Gunasena DK, Epa UPK (2024) Experimental Infection and Treatment of *Vibrio alginolyticus* and *Vibrio splendidus* in Captive Bred Spotted Seahorse, *Hippocampus kuda* Larvae. *Aquacult Stud* 24(3) AQUAST1629.
38. Jiang F, Yang N, Huang H, Feng H, Li Y, Han B (2020) Short communication: recovery of *Vibrio vulnificus* from head ulceration in seahorse (*Hippocampus kuda*). *Aquacult Int* 28: 653–660.
39. Shao P, Yong P, Wang X, Xie S, Fan Y, et al. (2009) Isolation, identification, and histopathological analysis of *Vibrio tubiashii* from lined seahorse *Hippocampus erectus*. *Dis Aquat Org* 133:195–205.
40. Yang QH, Zheng LY, Huang ZC, Lin Q, Lu Z, et al. (2017) Identification and characterization of pathogen *Vibrio rotiferianus*, a pathogen isolated from *Hippocampus erectus* with tail-rot disease. *J Fish Sci China* 24: 1131–1140.

41. Lin T, Zhang D, Liu X, Xiao D (2016) Variations of immune parameters in the lined seahorse *Hippocampus erectus* after infection with enteritis pathogen of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*. *Fish Shellfish Immunol* 50: 247–254.
42. Wang X, Zhang Y, Qin G, Luo W, Lin Q (2015) A novel pathogenic bacteria (*Vibrio fortis*) causing enteritis in cultured seahorses, *Hippocampus erectus* Perry, 1810. *J Fish Dis* 39: 765–769.
43. Li F, Wang K, Luo W, Huang L, Lin Q (2015) Comparison of the intestinal bacterial flora in healthy and intestinal diseased seahorses *Hippocampus trimaculatus*, *Hippocampus erectus*, and *Hippocampus spinosissimus*. *J World Aquacult Soc* 46:263–272.
44. Balcázar JL, Gallo-Bueno A, Planas M, Pintado J (2010) Isolation of *Vibrio alginolyticus* and *Vibrio splendidus* from captive-bred seahorses with disease symptoms. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek* 97: 207–210.
45. Bai X, Hao S, Fu J, Sun H, Luo Z (2023) Identification of *Mycobacterium chelonae* from Lined Seahorse *Hippocampus erectus* and Histopathological Analysis. *Fishes* 8: 225.
46. Dill J, Sanchez S, McDermott A, Camus A (2017) Disseminated nocardiosis associated with the isolation of *Nocardia nova* in a longsnout seahorse *Hippocampus reidi* (Ginsburg). *J Fish Dis* 40: 1235–1239.
47. Gram L, Løvold T, Nielsen J, Melchiorsen J, Spanggaard B (2001) In vitro antagonism of the probiont *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strain AH2 against *Aeromonas salmonicida* does not confer protection of salmon against furunculosis. *Aquaculture* 199 (1-2):1-11.
48. Kang G, Choi K, Joo M, Woo W, Park C (2022) A case report of secondary infection by *Vibrio splendidus* associated with gas bubble disease in syngnathid fishes (*Syngnathus schlegeli* and *Hippocampus haema*). *Fish Aquat Sci* 25(1): 40-48.
49. Burhans RA (2000) Seahorse husbandry and propagation. Birch Aquarium at Scripps, Scripps Institution of Oceanography. P: 8.
50. Blasiola GCJ (1979) *Glugea heraldi* nov. sp. (Microsporida, Glugeidae) from the seahorse *Hippocampus erectus* Perry. *J Fish Dis* 2 (6): 493–500.
51. Vincent ACJ, Clifton-Hadley RS (1989) Parasitic infection of the seahorse (*Hippocampus erectus*): a case report. *J Wildlife Dis* 25: 404–406.
52. Declercq AM, Chiers K, Broeck WV, Rekecki A, Teerlinck S, Adriaens D, et al. (2014) White necrotic tail tips in estuary seahorses, *Hippocampus kuda*. *Bleeker J Fish Dis* 37: 501-504.
53. Meng Q, Yu K (1985) A new species of Ciliata, *Licnophora hippocampi* sp. nov., from the seahorse *Hippocampus trimaculatus* Léach, with considerations of its control in the host. *Acta Zool Sin* 31 (1): 65-69.
54. Koldewey H (Ed) (2005) Syngnathid Husbandry in Public Aquariums; Manual; Project Seahorse: Vancouver, BC, Canada. Zoological Society of London: London, UK Pp: 137.
55. Moreira M, Costas B, Rodrigues PM, et al. (2023) Amyloodiniosis in Aquaculture: A Rev. *Rev Aquacult* 16 (3): 1042–1068.
56. LePage V, Dutton CJ, Kummrow M, McLelland DJ, Young K, et al. (2012) Neoplasia of captive yellow sea horses (*Hippocampus kuda*) and weedy sea dragons (*Phyllopteryx taeniolatus*). *Journal of Zoo and Wildlife Med* 43: 50-58.
57. Willens S, Lawrence Dunn J, Salvatore Frasca S (2004) Fibrosarcoma of the brood pouch in an aquarium Reared lined seahorse (*Hippocampus erectus*). *J Zoo and Wildlife Med* 35(1): 107-109.
58. Lewisch E, Kucera M, Tappert R, Tessadri R, Tappert M, et al. (2013) Occurrence of nephrolithiasis in a population of longsnout seahorse, *Hippocampus reidi* Ginsburg, and analysis of a nephrolith. *J Fish Dis* 36:163-167.
59. Murugan A (2025) Rewilding marine species in trade: A scoping study for the conservation of marine species through assisted breeding. Report Submitted to World Wide Fund for Nature-India. P: 18.
60. Yang HY, Yang WD, Cheng G, Zhao W, Zhang GH (2006) Study on Isolation, Identification, and Sensitivity to Antibiotics of Seahorse H. Kelloggii. *Chinese Front Health Quarant* 29(4): 232-238.
61. Alcaide E, Gil-San C, Sanjuan E, Esteve D, Amaro C, Silveira L, et al. (2001) *Vibrio harveyi* causes disease in seahorse, *Hippocampus* sp. *J Fish Dis* 24: 311-313
62. Chen X, Qi J, He L, Luo H, Lin J, et al. (2022) Isolation and identification of a new strain of nervous necrosis virus from the big-belly seahorse *Hippocampus abdominalis*. *Virology Journal* 19:109.
63. Carvalho TL, Cacho JCS, Souza RS, Morais JA, Wandeness A, et al. (2019) Integrating of the seahorse *Hippocampus reidi* in multitrophic organic farms of oysters and shrimp: Effects of density and diet. *Revista de Biología Marina y Oceanografía* 54 (1): 43-50.
64. Xu Y, Lin J, Chen S (2010) Polyculture of the lined seahorse, *Hippocampus erectus* Perry, 1810 with two species of macroalgae in aquaria. *Acta Oceanol Sin* 29: 26–32.
65. Vincent ACJ, Foster SJ, Koldewey HJ (2011) Conservation and management of seahorses and other Syngnathidae. *J Fish Biol* 78: 1681–1724.
66. Vincent ACJ, Koldewey HJ (2006) An uncertain future for seahorse aquaculture in conservation and economic contexts. In: Primavera JH, Quinitio ET, Eguaia MRR(Eds.), *Proceedings of the Regional Technical Consultation on Stock Enhancement for Threatened Species of International Concern*, Iloilo City, Philippines Pp. 71-84



This work is licensed under Creative
Commons Attribution 4.0 License
DOI:[10.19080/JDVS.2025.17.555975](https://doi.org/10.19080/JDVS.2025.17.555975)

**Your next submission with Juniper Publishers
will reach you the below assets**

- Quality Editorial service
- Swift Peer Review
- Reprints availability
- E-prints Service
- Manuscript Podcast for convenient understanding
- Global attainment for your research
- Manuscript accessibility in different formats
(Pdf, E-pub, Full Text, Audio)
- Unceasing customer service

Track the below URL for one-step submission

<https://juniperpublishers.com/online-submission.php>