Social Status Impacts Macrophage Function of Pigs
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Abstract

Pigs experience various stressful events including weaning, mixing, and crowding which can negatively affect well-being. These stressors may impair immune defenses and may contribute partly to disease outcome. Therefore, the aim of this study was to evaluate the effects of social status on macrophage function of pigs subjected simultaneously to cold and crowd stressors for four days. At 6-weeks-of-age, 3 unfamiliar white cross females were mixed and assigned to temperature stressor of 20 °C (TNT) or 8 °C (COLD) and to space stress or of 0.45m$^2$/pig (CONT) or 0.26m$^2$/pig (CROWD) over 6 blocks (n=72). Pigs were identified as dominant (DOM), intermediate (INT), or submissive (SUB) based on aggressive encounters over a 24-h period post-mixing. On day 4 post-treatment, pigs were sacrificed and alveolar macrophages (AMO) were isolated. Both descriptive and functional aspects were measured. Pig AMO phagocytosis was less for DOM-COLD compared to INT- or SUB-COLD pigs and less when compared to their counterparts at TNT (P<0.05; status x COLD). Chemotaxis was less in COLD-stressed pigs (P<0.05) and Rantes concentrations was in CROWD-pigs (P=0.06). Most effects on AMO function were due to social status of the pig. DOM-pigs had greater AMO$^5$ sub population and Rantes than SUB-pigs. SUB-pigs had greater AMO$^{1,2}$ sub population and phagocytosis than DOM-pigs. All other AMO measures were greater among DOM-pigs and INT-pigs were similar to either DOM or SUB pigs. These results show that pig social status plays a major role in immune responsiveness in terms of macrophage function among pigs that were exposed to simultaneous cold and crowded stressors for four continuous days more than the stressors alone. Pig social status influenced macrophage responsiveness regardless of stressor, thus implying that social status is an important metric to consider.
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Introduction

Macrophages are first line of defense against invading pathogens where they act as effectors of the immune response and belong to group of antigen presenting cells [3]. Macrophages play a crucial role in attracting and activating effector cells of the innate and adaptive immune system. Alveolar macrophages play a vital role in mitigating respiratory challenges and stress can affect the immune responsiveness of these cells. For example, macrophage function was suppressed and apoptosis increased among cold-stressed rodents [4,5]. While, tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) produced by lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-stimulated macrophages was reduced among heat-stressed pigs [6]. Moreover, social status can affect the biological response initiated by a pig as it attempts to cope with some stressors [7,8]. Thus, the objective of this study was to determine the effects of social status on alveolar macrophage function of pigs subjected simultaneously to cold and crowd stressors for four days in an attempt to better understand the impact of stress responsiveness of pigs on disease susceptibility.

Material and Methods

Animals, housing, and experimental design

All experimental procedures were approved by the University of Illinois Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Six-week-old female Landrace×Yorkshire crossbred pigs (n=72) from the University of Illinois Swine Research Center were used in this study. For one-week, four female littermates were housed in pens with ample floor-space allowance (0.42m$^2$/pig) within an environmentally-controlled chamber kept at an ambient temperature of 20±2°C. During the one-week acclimation period,
pigs were fed ad libitum diet formulated to meet or exceed recommended nutrient allowances for young pigs (NRC, 2001) and water. Following the one-week adjustment period, one pig from each litter, matched for BW, was assigned to temperature treatment of either 20°C (thermoneutral; TNT) or 8°C (COLD) and to floor-space treatment of either 0.45m²/pig (adequate; CONT) or 0.26m²/pig (reduced; CROWD) for 4 days. During the 4 day treatment period piglets were offered a fixed amount of feed which was determined based on their daily feed intake during the one-week adjustment period.

Behavioral data collection

All pigs within the pen were uniquely marked with a livestock marking crayon (La-Co Industries, Elk Grove Village, IL). To determine social status, pigs were video-recorded for 24 h post-mixing and aggressive interactions were registered from video-records (30 frames/s). Aggressive and submissive behaviors were identified based on previously described ethogram [9]. Pigs were identified as dominant (DOM), intermediate (INT), or submissive (SUB) based on outcome of each agonistic encounter. Essentially, DOM pigs won all agonistic encounters in which they were engaged, whereas INT pigs lost one or more fights to the DOM pig. A SUB pig was identified based primarily on submissive postures and behaviors (i.e. avoidance) toward other pigs in the pen.

Pulmonary macrophage isolation and cytopins

On day 4 post-treatment, pigs were euthanized and porcine alveolar macrophages (AMO) were obtained via broncho alveolar lavage. Briefly, lungs were removed and lavaged by adding and removing sterile Hanks Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS; Gibco, Ca) three times. Lavage fluid was filtered through sterile gauze and centrifuged at 460×g for 15 min, cell pellets were washed twice in HBSS, resuspended in 20mL of RPMI, counted, and cell concentrations were adjusted accordingly to assay protocol.

Cytopins were made by adding 50μl of 1:1000 dilutions of AMO, fixed and stained with Hema-3 staining system (Fisher Scientific, Houston, TX), and then 100 cells per slide were visually counted under a light microscope. The different AMO subpopulations were classified based on morphology and visually counted under a light microscope. The different AMO subpopulations were classified into 6-well plates. Plates were incubated overnight at 37°C in a humidified incubator, washed three times, then stimulated into 6-well plates. Plates were incubated for 40 min at 37°C on a rotator and to-macrophage) ratio, incubated for 40 min at 37°C on a rotator plate, and then centrifuged for 5 min at 1000×g. Samples were washed once in RPMI to remove non-engulfed beads, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, and held at 4°C until analysis. Percent fluorescence was measured using an XL flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter, Miami, FL). Data were transformed logarithmically and results expressed as total percentages of macrophages engulfing one or more beads.

The ability of cells to randomly migrate (media; control) or directly migrate (chemotaxis) toward chemokines recombinant human complement-5a (rhC5a; Sigma) and monocyte chemotactic protein-1 (rhMCP-1; R & D Systems, Minneapolis, MN) were measured using an assay adapted after [14]. Macrophages were adjusted to cell concentration of 3×10⁶ cells/mL. The cells and the chemotactants were separated by a polypyrrolidone-free filter with pore sizes 5μM (Neuro Probes, Eugene, OR) were added to each sample at 10:1 (beads:macrophage) ratio, incubated for 40 min at 37°C in a humidified incubator, washed three times, then stimulated 24h with 100ng/mL of human rTNF-α (R&D Systems). Minimal detectable concentrations were 8pg/mL for RANTES and 5pg/mL for MCP-1, respectively.

Statistical analysis

All traits were tested for departures from normal distribution using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Data lacking normality were transformed logarithmically using log_{10}. Minimum values for porcine AMO subpopulations, Rantes, and MCP-1 were zero, so in these cases a value smaller than the lowest non-zero number was added to all observations to allow the logarithmic transformation. A linear mixed-effects model was used to analyze
all variables using the MIXED procedure of SAS (SAS Inst, Cary, NC). The main effects were temperature (two levels), space (two levels), and social rank (3 levels) and all interactions. Random effects of chamber and replicate were included. Residuals were tested for departures from assumptions.

**Results**

**Interactive effects of stressors and social status**

No significant temperature × space interactions occurred for any porcine alveolar macrophage measures assessed in this study at day 4 post-treatment. There was a significant temperature × social status interaction for porcine AMO phagocytosis, whereby SUB pigs had greater (P>0.05) phagocytosis than did either INT or DOM pigs (Figure 1).

**Social status**

Table 1: Porcine alveolar macrophage functional measures for pigs after four continuous days of temperature and space stressors. Table values are least square means±SE.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measures</th>
<th>DOM</th>
<th>INT</th>
<th>SUB</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total AMO, no./mL</td>
<td>3.7±0.5</td>
<td>4.0±0.5</td>
<td>4.1±0.5</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subpopulations, %</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMO&lt;sub&gt;1,2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>17.1±3.3&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>23.6±3.1&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;,</td>
<td>26.1±3.1&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMO&lt;sub&gt;3,4&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>73.5±2.9</td>
<td>69.7±2.6</td>
<td>68.5±2.6</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMO&lt;sub&gt;5&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>9.3±1.6a</td>
<td>6.6±1.5a&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.9±1.5&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phagocytosis, %</td>
<td>23.6±1.2&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>24.2±1.4&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>33.2±1.1&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5a-chemotaxis, no.</td>
<td>19.5±3.5&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>53.9±2.7&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>19.3±2.8&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP-1 chemotaxis, no.</td>
<td>36.6±7.1&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>20.6±7.3&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8.5±7.6&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMO produced RANTES pg/mL</td>
<td>228±32&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>101.9±35&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>38.6±37&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMO produced MCP-1, pg/mL</td>
<td>59.9±16.4</td>
<td>87.4±16.9</td>
<td>82.5±20.0</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2: Main effects of stressors on porcine alveolar macrophage measures after four days. Table values are least square means±SE.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measures</th>
<th>Temp</th>
<th>Space</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total AMO, no./mL</td>
<td>4.0±0.5</td>
<td>4.1±0.5</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subpopulations, %</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMO&lt;sub&gt;1,2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>22.8±2.8</td>
<td>21.6±2.8</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMO&lt;sub&gt;3,4&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>69.5±2.3</td>
<td>69.6±2.1</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMO&lt;sub&gt;5&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>7.7±1.5</td>
<td>7.3±1.4</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1:** Interactive effect of cold stressor and pig social status on alveolar macrophage phagocytosis on d-4 post-treatment. Socially DOM, COLD-stressed had less phagocytosis compared to either INT or SUB pigs. Data presented as least square means±SE. Means with a different letter (a,b) differ at P≤0.05.
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Presented in (Table 2) are the effects of cold or crowded stressors on alveolar macrophage measures assessed at d 4 post-treatment, COLD-pigs had reduced (P<0.05) chemotaxis in response to both C5a and MCP-1 (Table 2) compared to pigs at TNT. Rantes concentration tended to be reduced in CROWD-pigs compared to CTL-pigs (Table 2).

Discussion

This study was designed to test the hypothesis that social status and concurrent exposure of growing pigs to cold and crowding stressors would hinder macrophage function, thereby making pigs more susceptible to respiratory disease. Macrophages are the first line of defense against invading pathogens, where they act as effectors of the immune response and belong to group of antigen presenting cells [3]. Macrophages play a crucial role in attracting and activating effector cells of the innate and adaptive immune systems. In general, there were no interactive effects of the stressors imposed within, except for reduced AMO chemotaxis in cold-stressed pigs and reduced Rantes concentration in crowded-pigs when compared to their control counterparts. The limited effects of these stressors on various macrophage measures are similar to reports by others.

Acute cold or heat stress had no effect on neutrophil phagocytosis [9] and chronic heat stress had no effect on macrophage phagocytosis, but pigs challenged with a virus had reduced macrophage phagocytosis [12]. We speculate that the limited effects of these stressors on various macrophage measures may be partly explained by types and durations of stressors and time point at which macrophage function was assessed. Moreover, it is plausible that the pigs were able to mitigate cold stress via behavioral means and that crowded stress negated the cold stress effects. Regardless, these data imply that cold and crowd stressors did not interactively or independently per se alter macrophage responsiveness of pigs, but social status greatly influenced immune responsiveness.

Stress effects on the immune system has been shown to depend on social status of pigs. Immune responses to social stressors vary based on each animal's perception and response to the stressor. Among stressed pigs, dominant pigs display greater natural killer cell cytotoxicity [7,15], lymphocyte proliferation response [16-18], and higher baseline antibody titers [16] compared with lower ranked counterparts. Among virally-infected pigs, submissive pigs had greater numbers of macrophages and subpopulation 5 and dominant pigs had reduced, but for the most part social status had greater impact on all other macrophage measures assessed within and those data imply that dominant pigs were more immune responsive. Dominant pigs had greater RANTES production from TNF-α-stimulated macrophages. We speculate that because Rantes is a T-helper 1-associated chemokine known to recruit effector T-cells to the site of infection [20] and MCP-1 is a T-helper 2-associated chemokine known to suppress pro-inflammatory T-helper 1 cytokines, the fact that dominant pigs were skewed toward a moral robust viral challenge. But, dominant pigs had greater percentages of macrophages in sub population 5 (least activated, immature cells) and less of subpopulation 1,2 (most activated, mature) and reduced phagocytosis while submissive pigs had the opposite response for these same measures which partly implies that they may be at risk if the shift in subpopulation was due to apoptosis of resident macrophages sutherland et al. [12] found that subpopulation 5 increased in pigs that were challenged with porcine respiratory reproductive virus due to apoptosis of mature resident macrophages. Interestingly, they also found that subpopulation 5, total macrophage numbers, and natural killer cell cytotoxicity all increased among submissive pigs that were infected with porcine respiratory reproductive virus compared to dominant pigs, yet all pigs cleared the infection without negative consequences.

It is apparent the pig social status does influence differential macrophage profile found within. For the most part, submissive pigs had reduced macrophage measures compared to dominant pigs or the opposite response, while intermediate pigs were similar to either dominant or submissive or were the opposite of both. Based on these findings, one would speculate that dominant and intermediate pigs would have more activated immune response than submissive pigs, thus be less susceptible to disease, however these data do not imply immune suppression since neither stressor suppressed any immune measures.

Interestingly, pig social status differentially affected various macrophage measures more so than the stressors. Moreover, these findings support the theory that type and duration of
stressor, aspect of the immune system measured and time points are important factors that can impact the effect of stress on the immune response. Taken together, pig social status may be the most important factor that influence the innate immune response than these afore mentioned stressors themselves, since social status greatly impacted the responsiveness of alveolar macrophages.
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