International Journal of
Environmental Sciences & Natural Resources
ISSN: 2572-1119

Juniper PUBLISHERS key to the Researchers

Mini Review
Volume 35 Issue 5 - September 2025
DOI: 10.19080/IJESNR.2025.35.556426

Int J Environ Sci Nat Res

Elevated CO_2, Climate Change, and the Future of Cereal Security

Chandrakant Singh*, D Sreekanth, MK Yadav, Deepak V Pawar and Kuwardadra SI

ICAR- Directorate of Weed Research, India

Submission: August 27, 2025; **Published:** September 15, 2025

***Corresponding author:** Chandrakant Singh, ICAR- Directorate of Weed Research, Jabalpur, MP, India

Keywords: Atmospheric; Environment; Subtropical cereal systems; Climate; Cereal crops; Agro-ecologies

Introduction

Global atmospheric CO_2 has risen from ~280 ppm pre-industrial to >420ppm today; levels projected for mid-century (≈550-650 pm under many scenarios) will continue to change crop environments through both direct CO_2 effects and through the broader climate shifts that CO_2 drives. Cereal crops (wheat, rice, maize, barley, sorghum) supply the bulk of calories for billions; thus, small changes in yield or nutrient content cascade into major food-security and public-health outcomes. Understanding the net effect of eCO_2 plus climate change requires synthesizing controlled-environment, FACE (Free-Air CO_2 Enrichment) and field studies, and considering interactions with nitrogen availability, warming, drought, pests and management [1,2].

Direct Physiological Effects of Elevated CO2 on Cereals

In C_3 cereals eCO_2 typically increases net photosynthesis, improves water-use efficiency via reduced stomatal conductance, and when other resources are not limiting can increase biomass and grain yield [1,3]. FACE syntheses show mean yield increases for C_3 species, but responses vary widely (often ~10-25% for wheat/rice under favourable N and water) and are frequently smaller under high soil N limitation or low light. C_4 cereals (e.g., maize, sorghum) show much smaller direct gains because their photosynthetic pathway already concentrates CO_2, though indirect benefits via improved water relations can occur under drought. Importantly, pot/enclosure experiments sometimes overestimate field responses; multi-site FACE trials provide the most realistic field evidence and indicate more modest, site-specific gains [1,3].

Grain Quality: Protein and Micronutrients

A consistent finding across FACE and field studies is that eCO_2 reduces grain protein concentration and decreases concentrations of key micronutrients particularly iron and zinc in many staple cereals and legumes [4,5]. Proposed mechanisms include carbohydrate “dilution” (increased carbohydrate lowers relative N and mineral concentration), altered root uptake and translocation (reduced transpiration and mass flow), and shifts in tissue allocation. For wheat, meta-analyses report yield increases (~10-16%) accompanied by protein declines (≈5-10% or more depending on cultivar and N supply), with consequences for processing and baking quality. Nutritional modelling indicates these declines could push millions closer to deficiency thresholds for Fe and Zn in vulnerable populations, aggravating “hidden hunger” even where caloric production rises.

Interactions with Warming, Drought and Pests

CO_2 effects do not act in isolation. Warming shortens crop phenology (reducing grain fill), raises heat-sterility risk (especially around anthesis), and can reduce yields even when CO_2 would otherwise stimulate growth [2]. In some cases, eCO_2 partially ameliorates heat stress on photosynthesis and improves plant water status offering a buffer against moderate warming but this buffer is limited and cannot fully offset heat-related yield losses or quality declines at higher temperatures [6]. Drought interactions are complex: under moderate drought, eCO_2 induced water savings may protect yields, but severe drought, nutrient limitation, or increased pest/disease pressure (which can change under climate) can negate CO_2 benefits. Accordingly, IPCC assessments emphasise that climate change will increasingly reduce yields in many tropical/subtropical cereal systems without adaptation [2].

Management and Breeding Responses

Mitigating nutrition losses and stabilizing yields under eCO_2 + climate change requires multi-pronged action:

a) Breeding for nutrient resilience: select cultivars that maintain grain N, Fe and Zn under eCO_2 while retaining yield and heat/drought tolerance (biofortification, marker-assisted breeding).

b) Optimized nutrient management: adequate and timely N (and micronutrient) supply can reduce protein dilution; targeted Zn/Fe fertilization and soil health measures improve uptake.

c) Agronomic adjustments: altered sowing dates, cultivar choice, irrigation scheduling and integrated pest management reduce exposure to heat/drought peaks.

d) Post-harvest and dietary policies: fortification, diversification and social programs buffer nutritional shortfalls. Field and FACE evidence indicates management often modulates the magnitude of eCO_2 effects, so locally tailored interventions are essential [1,4].

Policy Implications and Research Priorities

Policy should recognise that CO_2-driven yield gains are not a substitute for emission reductions and can produce perverse nutritional outcomes. Priorities include expanding FACE and multi-factor field trials across agro-ecologies (to capture interactions), investing in breeding for combined yield-nutrient-heat/drought resilience, strengthening soil and nutrient management extension, and integrating nutrition outcomes into crop-climate models and food-security planning. Surveillance of crop nutrient trends and population micronutrient status must be scaled up to detect and address emerging deficiencies. Finally, strong greenhouse-gas mitigation remains essential to limit the magnitude of climate impacts on cereals and global food systems [2,4].

Conclusion

Elevated CO_2 will continue to reshape cereal systems in complex and sometimes counter-intuitive ways: while modest, context-dependent yield gains are likely for many C_3 cereals, these are frequently accompanied by declines in grain protein and essential micronutrients, worsening hidden hunger even where calories increase. Climate warming and more frequent extremes (heat, drought, pests) often negate or overwhelm CO_2-driven benefits, so any yield gains are fragile and regionally variable. Tackling these dual challenges requires coordinated action across breeding (prioritizing combined yield, heat/drought tolerance and nutrient resilience), agronomy (optimized nutrition, water and pest management), surveillance (routine monitoring of crop nutrient trends and population micronutrient status) and policy (food-system diversification, fortification and social safety nets). Research must expand multi-factor, multi-site experiments and integrate nutrition into crop–climate models to guide targeted interventions. Above all, emissions mitigation remains indispensable: limiting the magnitude of climate change is the most effective way to safeguard long-term cereal security and nutrition.

References

1. Ainsworth EA, Long SP (2005) What have we learned from 15 years of Free-Air CO_2 Enrichment (FACE)? A meta-analytic review of the responses of photosynthesis, canopy properties and plant production to rising CO_2. New Phytologist 165(2): 351-372.
2. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (2022) Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. Contribution of Working Group II to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. In: HO Pörtner et al. (Eds.). Cambridge University Press.
3. Long SP, Ainsworth EA, Rogers A, Ort DR (2004) Rising atmospheric carbon dioxide: Plants FACE the future. Annual Review of Plant Biology 55: 591-628.
4. Myers SS, Zanobetti A, Kloog I, Huybers P, Leakey ADB, et al. (2014). Increasing CO_2 threatens human nutrition. Nature 510(7503): 139-142.
5. Zhu C, Kobayashi K, Loladze I, Zhu J, Jiang Q, et al. (2018) Carbon dioxide (CO_2) levels this century will alter the protein, micronutrients, and vitamin content of rice grains with potential health consequences for the poorest rice-dependent countries. Science Advances 4(5): eaaq1012.
6. Chavan SG, Duursma RA, Tausz M, Ghannoum O (2019) Elevated CO_2 alleviates the negative impact of heat stress on wheat physiology but not on grain yield. Journal of Experimental Botany 70(21): 6447-6459.

DOI: 10.19080/IJESNR.2025.35.556426

How to cite this article: Chandrakant S, D Sreekanth, MK Yadav, Deepak V P, Kuwardadra SI. Elevated CO2, Climate Change, and the Future of Cereal Security. Int J Environ Sci Nat Res. 2025; 35(5): 556426. DOI: 10.19080/IJESNR.2025.36.556426