

# Effect of Salicylic Acid on Yield and Yield Components of Maize under Reduced Irrigation



Haseeb Ahmad\*, Inamullah Khan, Waqas Liaqat, Muhammad Faheem Jan and Muhammad Dawood Ahmadzai

Department of Agronomy, The University of Agriculture, Pakistan

Submission: February 28, 2018; Published: March 22, 2018

\*Corresponding author: Haseeb Ahmad, Department of Agronomy, The University of Agriculture, Peshawar, Pakistan, Tel: 92-345-9087763, Email: haseeb@aup.edu.pk

## Abstract

To study the impact of salicylic acid on yield and yield components of maize under reduced irrigation, an experiment was conducted at Agronomy Research Farm of The University of Agriculture, Peshawar Khyber Pakhtunkhwa during summer 2015. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with split plot arrangement having three replications. Experiment comprised of six Irrigation levels ( $I_0$ = Zero irrigation,  $I_1$ = One irrigation,  $I_2$ = Two irrigations,  $I_3$ = Three irrigations,  $I_4$ = Four irrigations, and  $I_5$ = Five irrigations in complete life cycle) assigned to main plots and five salicylic acid levels ( $SA_0$ = 0,  $SA_1$ = 150,  $SA_2$ = 300,  $SA_3$ = 450, and  $SA_4$ = 600  $mgL^{-1}$ ) assigned to sub plots. Results indicated that applying four irrigations resulted in more grains  $ear^{-1}$  with maximum thousand grains weight and shelling percentage of maize. Five times irrigations produced maximum grain yield and biological yield which were statistically at par with four times irrigations. Five times irrigations also produced highest harvest index. Application of 450  $mg L^{-1}$  salicylic acid produced highest grain and biological yield which were statistically similar with the application of 300  $mg L^{-1}$  of salicylic acid. It was concluded that applying four irrigations and application of salicylic acid at the rate of 300  $mg L^{-1}$  to maize resulted in higher grain yield.

**Keywords :** Salicylic acid; Irrigations; Thousand grains weight; Biological yield; Grain yield

**Abbreviations :** RCBD: Randomized Complete Block Design; KP: Khyber Pakhtunkhwa; SA: Salicylic Acid; DAP: Diammonium phosphate; MOP: Murate of Potash; LSD: Least Significant Difference

## Introduction

A maize *Zea mays L* is an important cereal crop of the world and has great economic value in livestock and poultry production [1]. It belongs to family panacea and comes under the  $C_4$  category of plants. It is the third mostly cultivated crop after wheat and rice all over the world as reported by Food and Agriculture Organization as well as in Pakistan and especially in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (KP) [2]. It is extensively grown in temperate, subtropical and tropical regions. It can be grown on all types of soils ranging from sandy to clayey. However medium-textured soil with pH 6.5 to 7.5 is most suitable for maize. During 2013-2014, it was cultivated on an area of 1168.5 thousand hectares with the total production of 4944.2 thousand tons and national average yield was 4231  $kg ha^{-1}$ , while in KP it was grown on about 470.9 thousand hectares with a total production of 914.8 thousand tones and average yield was 1943  $kg ha^{-1}$  [3]. Although, soil and climatic conditions of Pakistan are highly favorable for maize production and high yielding varieties are also available but yield at farmer's field is still low when compared with other maize producing countries like USA, Canada, and Egypt. In advanced countries, it is an important source of many industrial products such as corn sugar, corn oil,

corn flour, starch, syrup, brewer's grit and alcohol [4]. Generally maize needs five irrigations in its complete season in Peshawar. Due to serious water shortages the great challenge for the coming decades is the task of increasing food production with less water particularly in countries with limited water and land resources [5]. Therefore, techniques are needed to increase the water use efficiency. The increasing worldwide shortages of water and costs of irrigation are leading to an emphasis on developing techniques that minimize water use and maximize water use efficiency [6].

Salicylic Acid (SA) is known as an important signal molecule for modulating plant responses to environmental stresses [7]. SA is a plant phenolic white compound and works in plant growth regulations and maintenance of certain plant hormones and enzymes. Salicylic acid can play a significant role in plant water relations, photosynthesis, growth and stomatal regulation under abiotic stress conditions. Salicylic acid is also involved in endogenous signaling to trigger plant defense against pathogens [8-10]. Application of salicylic acid may increase stress tolerance of plants by positively altering physiological phenomena in plants. The present study was therefore conducted with aim to

sort out optimum irrigation level with the application of salicylic acid under the scenario of water shortage for getting higher productivity of maize under the agro-ecological conditions of Peshawar.

## Materials and Methods

The experiment was conducted at Agronomy Research Farm of The University of Agriculture Peshawar, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa during summer 2015. The experiment was laid out in randomized complete block design (RCBD) with split plot arrangement of treatments having three replications. Each replication consisted of 30 treatments with a subplot size of 3m x 2m (4 rows, 2 m long). The experiment consisted of six irrigation levels ( $I_0$  = Zero irrigation,  $I_1$  = One irrigation,  $I_2$  = Two irrigations,  $I_3$  = Three irrigations,  $I_4$  = Four irrigations, and  $I_5$  = Five irrigations in complete life cycle) which were assigned to main plots and five Salicylic Acid (SA) levels ( $SA_0$  = 0,  $SA_1$  = 150,  $SA_2$  = 300,  $SA_3$  = 450, and  $SA_4$  = 600 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) assigned to sub plots. The irrigations were scheduled on the growth stages of maize i.e. first irrigation was given at 50% emergence, second at knee height stage, third at flowering stage (silking), fourth at ear formation and fifth at milk stage. The SA was sprayed at knee height stage. The crop was shown on 21<sup>st</sup> June, using seed rate of 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Row to row and plant to plant distance was kept 75 cm and 20 cm, respectively to get uniform plant population of maize. Azam variety of maize was sown as a test crop. Recommended dose of N:P:K at the rate of 120:90:60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was applied from Urea, Diammonium phosphate (DAP) and Murate of Potash (MOP) respectively. Nitrogen was applied in two equal splits i.e. 50% at sowing and 50% at second irrigation (knee height) while complete dose of P and K was applied at sowing time. All other agronomic practices were applied equally to each subplot. Data was collected on grains ear<sup>-1</sup>, thousand grains weight, biological yield, grain yield, shelling percentage and harvest index.

## Statistical analysis

The collected data was statistically analyzed according to analysis of variance technique recommended for RCB design with split plot arrangement. Least Significant Difference (LSD) test was applied to compare significant means of irrigation numbers and salicylic acid levels upon significant F-test [11].

## Results and Discussion

### Grains Ear<sup>-1</sup>

Data regarding grains ear<sup>-1</sup> of maize as affected by different irrigation regimes (I) and Salicylic acid (SA) levels is reported in (Table 1). Mean values of the data showed that four times irrigated plots produced highest grains ear<sup>-1</sup> followed by five irrigations while lowest grains ear<sup>-1</sup> were recorded for control plots (no irrigation at all). The possible reason for more grains at four irrigations might be that it fulfilled the water requirement of maize and applying further water does not help the plant to increase its grains. Application of desired quantity of irrigation water increased grains ear<sup>-1</sup>. Our results are supported by

Taipodia and Singh [12] and Saif et al. [13] that recorded more grains ear<sup>-1</sup> at optimum level of irrigation and stated that continuous increase in irrigation water does not increase grains. Application of salicylic acid and its interaction with irrigation was found non-significant for grains ear<sup>-1</sup>.

### Thousand Grains Weight (g)

Data regarding thousand grains weight of maize as affected by irrigation and SA levels is presented in (Table 1). Irrigation had a significant however; SA and interaction of me with SA had a non significant effect on thousand grains weight of maize crop. Mean values of the data revealed that maximum 1000 grains weight was recorded in plots irrigated four times which was statistically similar to those plots which were irrigated five times. Lowest thousand grains weight was recorded in control plots. Grains required certain amount of water for its development and beyond that limit further addition of water does increased grains weight. Optimum supply of water resulted in bold and heavy grains while less water supply or water shortage resulted in small and light grains. Our results are quite in line with those of Taipodia and Singh and Saif who reported that 1000 grains weight was profoundly affected by irrigation frequencies and heavy grains were recorded for optimum level of irrigation. Water shortage induced poor grain development and resulted in small grains with light weight [14].

### Biological Yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)

Data regarding biological yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) of maize as affected by irrigation and SA levels is given in (Table 1). Analysis of data showed that both I and SA significantly affected biomass yield of maize. Interaction of I and SA remained non significant for biological yield. Mean values showed that five times irrigated plots produced maximum biological yield which was statistically at par with biological yield recorded at four times irrigations. Minimum biological yield was recorded from control plots. Biomass yield is the weight of the whole plant and different plant parts required different amount of water which all contributes to the whole biomass yield thus applying more water will increase total biomass yield. Less water supply or water deficiency does not meet the crop water requirement thus resulted in stunted growth. Our findings are similar to Anjum et al. [15] and Saif who indicated highest biomass yield of maize at highest level of irrigation. Among salicylic acid levels, highest biological yield was recorded with application of 450 mgL<sup>-1</sup> of SA which was statistically not different from 300 mgL<sup>-1</sup>. Lowest biological yield was obtained in plots where no SA was applied. It may be attributed to the fact that SA enhances growth vigor of plants and increased plant growth under limited water supply. Our results are in agreement with the findings of Babar et al. [16] and Ahmad et al. [17] who reported increase in biological yield with the application of SA under limited irrigations.

### Grain Yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)

Data on grain yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) of maize as affected by different irrigation regimes and salicylic acid levels is exhibited in (Table

1). Irrigations and SA significantly while their interaction none significantly affected grain yield of maize. When averaged across SA, data showed that treatment of five times irrigation produced higher grain yield which was statistically similar with grain yield recorded at four times irrigation. Control plot produced lowest grain yield. It might be due to the influence of irrigation water at proper time which increased growth and development and also produced higher grains ear<sup>-1</sup> and 1000 grains weight which ultimately increased yield of maize. Anjum 2014 reported that application of irrigation at proper stage increased yield and yield

components of maize. Optimum and timely availability of water enhances nutrients uptake, help the plant to grow properly and led to higher grain yield. Among salicylic acid levels, highest grain yield was recorded with application of 450 mg L<sup>-1</sup> SA which was statistically at par with the application of 300 mg L<sup>-1</sup>. Lowest grain yield was recorded from control plots. As SA plays a role in plant water relations, enhances photosynthesis and growth which thus contribute in the final grain yield. Our results are in agreement with Ahmad and Zamaninejad [18] who described that salicylic acid increased grain yield of maize.

**Table 1:** Grains ear<sup>-1</sup>, thousand grains weight (g), biological yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and grain yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) of maize as affected by application of irrigation and salicylic acid levels.

Irrigations	Grains ear <sup>-1</sup>	Thousand grains weight (g)	Biological yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Grain yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )
I <sub>0</sub>	284 d	195 d	3384 d	1567e
I <sub>1</sub>	320 c	209 c	4336 c	1932 d
I <sub>2</sub>	332 bc	214 c	4513 c	1561 c
I <sub>3</sub>	358 b	219 ab	5405 b	2112 b
I <sub>4</sub>	398 a	233 a	6298 ab	2349 a
I <sub>5</sub>	392 a	228 a	6865 a	2411 a
Salicylic Acid (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )				
0	312	204	3678 d	1787 c
150	336	215	4502 c	1944 b
300	352	215	5190 ab	2258 a
450	354	213	5639 a	2320 a
600	360	215	5075 b	2193 ab
<b>LSD (0.05) for Irrigation</b>	33.26	12.46	890.3	162.6
<b>LSD (0.05) for Salicylic acid</b>	ns	Ns	560.6	140.4

I<sub>0</sub> = zero irrigation (No irrigation at all)

I<sub>1</sub> = one irrigation (One irrigation given at 50% emergence)

I<sub>2</sub> = two irrigations (I<sub>1</sub> + 2<sup>nd</sup> irrigation given at knee height stage)

I<sub>3</sub> = three irrigations (I<sub>1</sub> + I<sub>2</sub> + 3<sup>rd</sup> irrigation given at flowering stage)

I<sub>4</sub> = four irrigations (I<sub>1</sub> + I<sub>2</sub> + I<sub>3</sub> + 4<sup>th</sup> irrigation given at ear formation stage)

I<sub>5</sub> = five irrigations (I<sub>1</sub> + I<sub>2</sub> + I<sub>3</sub> + I<sub>4</sub> + 5<sup>th</sup> irrigation given at milk stage)

ns = non significant Means in same column followed by same letter(s) are statistically similar

### Shelling Percentage

Irrigations had a significant while salicylic acid had a non-significant effect on shelling percentage of maize (Table 2). Highest shelling percentage was recorded from the treatment of five times irrigations which was statistically at par with the treatment of four times irrigations while minimum shelling percentage was recorded from control. Increase in shelling percentage with increase in irrigation levels might be due to increase in grains weight ear<sup>-1</sup> and 1000 grains weight. Lower grain weight under reduced irrigation might be the reason for lower shelling percentage. Our results are in line with [19] who reported maximum shelling percentage for different irrigation frequencies. [14] Proved that increase in irrigation levels increased shelling percentage and with decrease in irrigation shelling percentage decreased accordingly. Interaction of

treatments i.e. irrigation and salicylic acid was found non significant for shelling percentage.

### Harvest Index (%)

Data on harvest index of maize as affected by irrigation and SA levels is shown in (Table 2). Analysis of the data showed that irrigations had a significant while SA had a non significant effect on harvest index of maize. SA and I interaction was also found no significant. Means showed that maximum harvest index was recorded from the treatment of five times irrigations followed by four irrigations while minimum value of harvest index was recorded for control treatment. Greater difference between grain and biological yield at reduced irrigation might be the reason for lower harvest index. Our results are in line with Wajid et al. [20] who stated that harvest index was affected significantly by irrigation frequencies.

**Table 2:** Shelling Percentage (%) and Harvest Index (%) of maize as affected by application of irrigation and salicylic acid levels.

Irrigations	Shelling Percentage (%)	Harvest Index (%)
I <sub>0</sub>	68 d	21 d
I <sub>1</sub>	73 bc	24 c
I <sub>2</sub>	73 bc	25 c
I <sub>3</sub>	75 b	27 bc
I <sub>4</sub>	78 a	28 b
I <sub>5</sub>	79 a	31 a
Salicylic Acid(mg L <sup>-1</sup> )		
0	74	26
150	74	26
300	76	27
450	75	28
600	76	28
<b>LSD (0.05) for Irrigation</b>	2.98	2.54
<b>LSD (0.05) for Salicylic acid</b>	ns	ns

I<sub>0</sub> = zero irrigation (No irrigation at all)

I<sub>1</sub> = one irrigation (One irrigation given at 50% emergence)

I<sub>2</sub> = two irrigations (I<sub>1</sub> + 2<sup>nd</sup> irrigation given at knee height stage)

I<sub>3</sub> = three irrigations (I<sub>1</sub> + I<sub>2</sub> + 3<sup>rd</sup> irrigation given at flowering stage)

I<sub>4</sub> = four irrigations (I<sub>1</sub> + I<sub>2</sub> + I<sub>3</sub> + 4<sup>th</sup> irrigation given at ear formation stage)

I<sub>5</sub> = five irrigations (I<sub>1</sub> + I<sub>2</sub> + I<sub>3</sub> + I<sub>4</sub> + 5<sup>th</sup> irrigation given at milk stage)

ns = non significant Means in same column followed by same letter(s) are statistically similar

## Conclusion

It can be concluded from the study that treatment of five times irrigations produced maximum grain yield which was statistically similar with treatment of four times irrigations. Among Salicylic acid levels, application of 450 mgL<sup>-1</sup> SA produced highest grain and biological yield which was statistically similar with the application of 300 mgL<sup>-1</sup>. Thus, irrigating field four times and application of 300 mgL<sup>-1</sup> SA is recommended for obtaining higher maize yield under the agro-climatic conditions of Peshawar region.

## References

- Harris D, Rashid A, Miraj G, Arif M, Shah H (2007) On-farm seed priming with zinc sulphate solution-A cost-effective way to increase the maize yields of resource-poor farmers. *Field Crops Res* 102(2): 119-127.
- FAO (2002) Deficit irrigation practices. Water report.
- MNFSR (2014) Agricultural Statistics of Pakistan. Ministry of National Food Security and Research Govt of Pakistan Islamabad 17-19.
- Dutt S (2005) A handbook of Agriculture. ABD Publishers, Jaipur, India 116-118.
- FAO (2002) Fertilizer and the future. IFA/FAO agriculture conference on global food security and the role of sustainability fertilization, Rome, Italy 1-2.
- Hess A (1996) A microcomputer scheduling program for supplementary irrigation. *Proc ICID/FAO Workshop Rome* 15(3): 223-243.
- Shakirova FM, Sakhabutdinova AR, Berzukova MV, Fatkhutdinova MV, Fatkhutdinova DR (2003) Changes in the hormonal status of wheat seedlings induced by salicylic acid and salinity. *Plant Sci* 164(3): 317-322.
- Barkosky RR, Einhelling FA (1993) Effect of salicylic acid on plant water relationship. *J Chem Ecol* 19(2): 237-247.
- Arfan M, Athar HR, Ashraf M (2007) Does exogenous application of salicylic acid through the rooting medium modulate growth and photosynthetic capacity in two differently adapted spring wheat cultivars under salt stress? *J Plant Physiol* 164(6): 685-694.
- Khan W, Prithviraj B, Smith D (2003) Photosynthetic response of corn and soybean to foliar application of salicylates. *J Plant Physiol* 160(5): 485-492.
- Jan MT, Shah P, Hoolinton PA, Khan MJ, Sohail Q (2009) Agriculture research: Design and Analysis. A monograph, Dept of Agronomy, KP Agric Uni Peshawar, Pakistan.
- Taipodia R, Singh ND (2013) Diverse irrigation levels with planting patterns and its effect on yield of maize (*Zea mays* L.). *J Agric Veterinary Sci* 4(2): 65-67.
- Saif U, Maqsood M, Farooq M, Hussain S, Habib A (2003) Effect of planting patterns and different irrigation levels on yield and yield component of maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Int J Agric Bio* 5(1): 64-66.
- Aguilar M, Borjas F, Espinosa M (2007) Agronomic response of maize to limited levels of water under furrow irrigation in southern Spain. *Spanish J Agric Res* 5(4): 587-592.
- Anjum L, Ahmad N, Arshad M, Ahmad R (2014) Effect of different irrigation and management practices on corn growth parameters. *Pak J Life and Soc Sci* 12(2): 106-113.
- Babar SA, Bashir W, Loangove MA (2015) Influence of different irrigation scheduling practices on the growth and yield performance of maize (*Zea mays* L.). *J Bio Agric Health care* 5(1).

17. Ahmad I, Basra SMA, Wahid A (2014) Exogenous application of ascorbic acid, salicylic acid and hydrogen peroxide improves the productivity of hybrid maize at low temperature stress. *Int J Agric Bio* 16(4): 825-830.
18. Zamaninejad M, Khorasani SK, Moeini MJ, Heidarian AR (2013) Effect of salicylic acid on morphological characteristics, yield and yield components of corn (*Zea mays* L.) under drought condition. *European J Exp Bio* 3(2): 153-161.
19. Hussain S, Sher A, Khan A, Jincai L, Ameen A, et al. (2015) Effect of Phosphorus and different irrigation regimes on the yield and yield components of maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Academic Res J Agric Sci Res* 3(9): 267-271.
20. Wajid SA (1990) Effect of different mulching material and irrigation levels on the growth and grain yield of spring maize. *Uni Agric Faisalabad, Pakistan*.



This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License  
DOI: [10.19080/IJESNR.2018.09.555763](https://doi.org/10.19080/IJESNR.2018.09.555763)

**Your next submission with Juniper Publishers  
will reach you the below assets**

- Quality Editorial service
- Swift Peer Review
- Reprints availability
- E-prints Service
- Manuscript Podcast for convenient understanding
- Global attainment for your research
- Manuscript accessibility in different formats  
**( Pdf, E-pub, Full Text, Audio)**
- Unceasing customer service

**Track the below URL for one-step submission**

<https://juniperpublishers.com/online-submission.php>