

# Human Being Cloning a False Claim and a Fabricated Results

**Othman Salim Hussein Al-Fleesy\***

*Department of Forensic Medicine and Pathology, Aden University, South Yemen*

**Submission:** August 11, 2016; **Published:** August 26, 2016

**\*Corresponding author:** Othman Salim Hussein Al-Fleesy, Associate Professor of Forensic Medicine, Department of Forensic Medicine and Pathology, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Aden University, Aden, South Yemen, Email: [alfleesy2001@yahoo.com](mailto:alfleesy2001@yahoo.com)

## Letter to Editor

Since Dolly cloning by Ian Wilmut, Scientists have raised a heated debate in various means of communication, the issue of human being cloning. Since that time the researchers tried in their laboratories to clone human for about two decades without successful results. Not only this, but one of the South Korean researchers, on Dec. 24, 1998 falsely claimed that he had successful human being cloning, which later on according to a report released by Seoul National University panel investigating his work, revealed that Dr. Hwang Woo-Suk who claimed to have cloned human cells was having a fallacious report and fabricated data for all of that research and he convicted for embezzlement and false claim.

The South Korean government stripped him of the license to carry out stem cell research in 2006. The university committee concluded that the 2004 paper was based on faked data and false findings. Science Journal retracted both papers. The controversy continued concerning this issue, expanded all over the world. Ever since the years have passed and the scientists did not prove their claim or having the ability to create a new creature as they declared.

Karen Weintraub [1] has written on 5 July 2016, an article in scientific American Health & Biology journal entitled: *20 Years after Dolly the Sheep Led the Way- Where Is Cloning Now?* Karen [1] asked: where is cloning now? In her article, Weintraub [1] mentioned a number of ideas and reminding us with what some scientists have stated after Dolly birth. In fact their statements indicated their hesitations and failure in advance. Weintraub [1] stopped in many stations, starting from Dolly birth and ending in the human cloning status. These questions or comments raised by other scientists, and researchers, especially after the scandal of the South Korean scientists and their announcement that they have cloned human being and their false propaganda. Not all scientists in other countries believed their declarations.

Here, I still adhere to my previous opinion - since the announcement for trial to clone human being - which opposes this false declaration. It is, simply based on the same reasons I put in 2003: religion, ethics, conscience and their documented fabricated results. The holy Qur'an and the bible explain that the God is the only creator. All humankind with their differences in language, ethnic, colors and religions know this fact. In holy Qur'an Allah says: (Those whom they invoke besides Allah create nothing and are themselves created) [2].

In this humble and simple contribution- which seems to me useful to explain - I raised a host of moral questions to the honest researchers, scientists, investigators, and laymen among them the following:

1. What is wrong when the science based on ethical and religion ground?
2. What might we expect from human cloning (which, surely will not succeed.) and what are the possible benefits to outweigh for the mankind?
3. What did Ian Wilmut and his group get from Dolly?, and what was the benefits of Dolly to human beings or to Ian Wilmut himself?
4. What is wrong when we admit that the God creates all creatures including human being?. No other one could create a living material (living cell) as it mentioned in the holy Qur'an and other holy texts. Not only that Allah creates all creatures, but ALLAH challenges them to create a fly. Allah says: (Those on whom, besides Allah, ye call, cannot create (even) a fly, if they all met together for the purpose!) [3].
5. Allah the only creator (and no one denies this) and Allah only gives the life by giving the soul (spirit). Allah breathes into embryo his soul. No other one could do this. And the creation of human being must come from creatures of

the God: male and female (sperm and ovum) . Allah says: (O mankind! We created you from a single (pair) of a male and a female, and made you into nations and tribes....) [4]. Otherwise no soul and no creation will occur by any means whatever the science progress and whatever the scientists claim. Allah says: (They ask thee concerning the Spirit (of inspiration). Say: "The Spirit (cometh) by command of my Lord: of knowledge it is only a little that is communicated to you) [5]. Weintraub [1] wrote: in 2016 cloning a person remains unfeasible , with no scientific benefit and an unacceptable level of risk , several scientists say. The scientists did not create new living cells in their laboratories and they could not clone human being. All what they use are God's creation.

Twins are a good product of Allah creation. Allah says: (Such is the Creation of Allah: now show me what is there that others besides Him have created: nay, but the Transgressors are in manifest error) [6]. Islam embraces scientific progress and throughout Islamic history there has been no evidence of conflict between religious and science, and this emphasized by Holy Qur'an. The progress must not collide with faith. It must move in parallel with limitation set of religion.

In all religions and their holy texts, the God never prohibited the learning, or science, ALLAH encourages the science, Allah says: (He Who taught (the use of) the pen [6]-Taught man that which he knew not) [7]. The God put instructions for those who believe him in order to help them and to guide them for the right way. Other questions pose it: why did the governments, associations, companies throw away all these funds (billions of dollars) with no benefit to mankind? And why they did not listen to wisdom, faith scholars and clerics and the honest scientists? Is Dolly better or trial searching to discover cancer therapy? Now, it is clearly proved that every previous attempt for human being cloning ended in failure and embezzlement and nothing performed.

All these led scientists to feel that the goal is impossible to be reached. Now I ask: Why the scientists and the academic centers after the trial of the South Korean scientist never had any vital step as for example holding a conference its aim is preserving the ethics of profession and researches from such deceiving and cheating? Where is the role of WHO to advice the government, companies to direct their funds for the benefit of the humankind in all countries and encourage legalization for this matter. Because some dishonest scientists might use this false data and experiments as a means for stealing huge funds. Unfortunately no one of the scientist would be brave to declare that this goal is impossible to be achieved and to advice others to looking for other useful goal. The good conduct for science and scientists is to build their scientific work on ethics and religion instructions, responsibility, conscience, because the God would not be harmed by Dolly or its sisters. Lovell said: I have never thought of a good enough reason for a human being clone [1].

Lastly, I can say to all: try to create a fly as our God challenges, let human being aside from your false, and non-scientific trials and experiments. Or try to clone human once to prove what the God is saying and to satisfy your blind, absurd curiosity if you do not believe in God's words. Finally, on the ground of what I have mentioned, I am convinced that human cloning will not succeed at all; it will be years before you know that there will no results and after death of most scientists, it would be no result.

## References

1. Karen Weintraub (2016) The scientific American Health & Biology journal: 20 Years after Dolly the Sheep Led the Way- Where Is Cloning Now?
2. An-Nahl (the Bee).
3. Al- Hajj/ The Pilgrimage.
4. Al-Hujuraat (the inner apartment).
5. Al- Israa
6. Luqman.
7. AL- Alaq (The clot).



This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License  
DOI: 10.19080/JCSMB.2016.01.555556

### Your next submission with Juniper Publishers will reach you the below assets

- Quality Editorial service
- Swift Peer Review
- Reprints availability
- E-prints Service
- Manuscript Podcast for convenient understanding
- Global attainment for your research
- Manuscript accessibility in different formats  
( Pdf, E-pub, Full Text, Audio)
- Unceasing customer service

Track the below URL for one-step submission  
<https://juniperpublishers.com/online-submission.php>