Leveuf: A Rescue Technique after the Failure of Other Hypospadias Techniques
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Abstract

The patient care of adults who have had complications of primary surgery of hypospadias remains a therapeutic challenge. These patients can be divided into three categories. We report a case of hypospadias that we classify in the second group and for which we opted for a Leveuf urethroplasty with a satisfactory result.
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Introduction

The patient care of adults who have had complications of primary surgery of hypospadias remains a therapeutic challenge. They are undoubtedly a difficult population to treat because these usually include the presence healed and poorly vascularized tissues, aesthetic deformation, lower urinary tract symptoms resulting into psychosexual consequences. These patients can be divided into three categories: the first group are the primary cases; the second group includes patients who had previous surgery during childhood and have a late complication (for example, a urethrocutaneous fistula, a persistent bend, urethral stricture, urethral diverticulum and poor aesthetic result), and the third group are patients who have undergone several failed surgeries called “crippled hypospadias” [1]. We report a case of hypospadias that we classify in the second group and for which we opted for a Leveuf urethroplasty.

Observation

A 27-year-old patient with a history of failure of primary surgery for hypospadias at the age of 5, who consults for management of this malformation. Physical examination revealed a proximal hypospadias (penoscrotal orifice) with an estimated curvature of 60 °C. Our treatment consisted firstly of straightening of the penis with dorsal plication of corpora cavernosa according to Nesbit. Subsequently, the patient was operated for Leveuf urethroplasty in two stages, a first burial time, and a second release time of the penis from the scrotum (Figure 1&2). An interval of 7 months took place between the two Leveuf periods. The post-operative evolution was favorable with a decline of 6 months.

Figure 1: First time of Leveuf. A) U-shaped incision in the scrotum, B) burial of the penis in the scrotum.
The three essential stages of this surgery are [7]:

I. Assessment and correction of a residual curvature: Excision of fibrosis to release any curvature and excision of scar tissue, which may include some, or even entire length of previous urethroplasty with any surrounding fibrous tissue. This often leads to a proximal migration of the urethral meatus. If the curvature persists after dissection of the ventral radius, then a dorsal plication of the corpora cavernosa is indicated [8,9].

II. Resumption of urethroplasty: An adequate glans cleft is created with the insertion of a graft or flap. The creation of a neo-urethra and reconstruction of the glans can be done in one or more operating times. If proximal hypospadias and requiring extensive reconstruction with defect of the penile skin, it is best to proceed in two stages with subsequent closure usually within an average of 4-6 months.

III. The reconstruction of the ventral side of the penis: this step should include a tight closure of the neo-urethra with good coverage by a vascularized overlying tissue. In particular, care is taken not to overlap the suture planes to reduce the risk of fistula.

There is no standard urethroplasty technique in this type of surgery. In a sequence of surgical resumption of hypospadias in children, a surgical decision algorithm was based on the presence or absence of an elastic urethral plate (UP). When the UP did not have a visible scar, a TIP procedure (tubulized incised plate) was performed. If the UP was previously excised but a skin band without a visible scar remained at its location, a single-step inlay graft was used. When UP, residual skin or neo-urethra were visibly healed or there was a persistent ventral curvature greater than 30 °C, hair in the neo-urethra or suspicion of xerotica obliterans balanitis, all largely abnormal tissues were excised up to the healthy urethra, and secondly, urethroplasty by oral mucosal graft (OM) was performed [10].

In majority of resumption cases, the foreskin is no longer available and the penile skin is poorly vascularized, consequently urethroplasty by a local scrotal flap or an oral mucosal graft would be preferable. Unlike flaps, grafts are easier to harvest and sufficiently available but depend on the subject to hold. This emphasizes the importance of vascularization of the bed of the graft. Bürger et al. [11] described the use of OM in recovery operations after failure of hypospadias surgery in 6 patients and reported good functional results in all patients. Since then, several articles have been published on the use of OM grafts in one or more times [12], including Fichtner et al. [13] and Metro et al. [14] who reported complication rates of 20 and 57% respectively. The scrotal skin can be used in different ways, usually in several stages: as the roof of the urethroplasty according to Leveuf technique [15], or as a cover of the neo-urethra according to Cecil-Culp [16,17]. These techniques could be useful tools in case of deficient of the penile skin and in reducing the formation of fistula [18,19].

Conclusion

The first step in the care of patients who have had complications of primary hypospadias surgery is the vigilant evaluation of each patient, the anatomical features and the material available to initiate the reconstruction. Leveuf is a technique that could be useful in case of deficient penile skin and to reduce the formation of fistula. However, it is important...
for the surgeon to know about the pros and cons of all routine procedures and that this type of reconstruction should only be performed in highly specialized centers.
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