The Effect of Hemostatic Method on Ovarian Reserve following Endometrioma Excision
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Abstract

Endometrioma surgery and hemostasis negative affect ovarian reserve. The size of the endometrioma and the surgical technique are the most determining factors preserving ovarian function. Stripping the endometrioma pseudocapsule is detrimental for healthy ovarian tissue, reduces the risk of recurrence, however, not appropriate for infertility patients undergoing ART. Fenestration and laser vaporization of an endometrioma seems to minimally destruct the healthy ovarian tissue, offering the best surgical treatment option to infertility patients.
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Background

Figure 1: The generation of an ovarian endometrioma as observed in Trans Vaginal Hydrolaparoscopy confirming Sampson hypothesis for pathogenesis.

a. Implantation of regurgitated endometrial cells during menses via tube on ovarian surface
b. Persistent inflammation Neoangiogenesis, lesion spreading Bleeding at implantation site
c. Chronic inflammation causes adhesions, cortex invagination and endometrioma formation
Endometrioma develops from the ovarian epithelium, hence affects in general ovarian function but especially folliculogenesis [1]. Decreased antral follicle count (AFC) and reduction in the number of oocytes retrieved during IVF have been repeatedly reported [2-5]. Ovarian endometriosis is often a marker for more extensive pelvic and intestinal disease [6] Trans-vaginal hydro-laparoscopy elucidates the pathogenesis and can provide the treatment of small ovarian endometrioma up to 3cm [1]. Implantation of regurgitated endometrial cells via tubal lumen during menses on ovarian surface causes persistent inflammation, bleeding at implantation site and invagination of the ovarian cortex, adhesions, cystic formation, tissue alterations and deformity (Figure 1) hence, the endometrioma pseudocapsule is actually the ovarian epithelium with the follicular structures and oocytes. Once an endometrioma is open after thorough irrigation, careful endoscopic close up image reveals scanty areas of pinkish tissue which is actually the ovarian epithelium. Of course the majority of the exposed tissue is embedded with endometriotic cells that will be destroyed, usually by an effort of stripping the capsule or bipolar coagulation, laser etc.

Among patients with endometriosis 17-44% have endometriomas [7-9], whereas more frequently located on the left ovary [10,11] Medical treatments lead only to a temporary volume reduction [12] while drainage leads to a quick recurrence and increases the risk of abscess [13] Surgery is the only way to treat endometrioma (DGGG). Extensive endometrioma surgery by stripping or ablation of the pseudocapsule offers low recurrence rate however, healthy ovarian tissue is destroyed which is vital for patients under fertility treatment [14]. When no stripping is performed and electrocoagulation is applied with caution the recurrence rate can be 9.6-45% [15] It is also quite debatable whether cytoreductive methods like laser, plasma and bipolar energies and suturing are all equally detrimental to healthy ovarian tissue found below an endometrioma.

In this article we review the impact of endometrioma surgery and haemostasis technique on the ovarian reserves. Pub Med literature review for facts, views and news about endometrioma surgical technique and hemostatic method preserving ovarian healthy tissue and reserves was performed. Ovarian reserves are compromised during endometrioma surgery; many prospective cohort studies have shown the detrimental action of endometrioma excision by stripping technique and diathermy as haemostatic method on ovarian reserves. Uncu et al. [16] compared the AMH and AFC results before and after surgery in 30 patients with endometrioma and 30 patients with simple ovarian cysts. There was a significant reduction in both markers in endometrioma group. Another prospective study by Chen et al. [17] compared 40 patients with endometrioma to 22 patients with benign ovarian cysts and 38 infertility patients with tubal infertility. The AMH was significantly reduced in endometrioma excision cases while in the other 2 groups results were similar and not affected by surgery AMH measured in ng/ml fluctuated to 1.53±1.37 in endometrioma cases, 2.20±1.23 in benign cysts and 2.82±1.74 in patients with tubal infertility. The AMH was significantly reduced in endometrioma excision cases while in the other 2 groups results were similar and not affected by surgery AMH measured in ng/ml fluctuated to 1.53±1.37 in endometrioma cases, 2.20±1.23 in benign cysts and 2.82±1.74 in patients with tubal infertility.

Markers of ovarian reserve

The Anti mullerian Hormone (AMH), FSH, LH, E2 levels and Inhibin as biomarkers, the antral follicular count (AFC), ovarian reserve is compromised during endometrioma surgery. Antral follicles are depleted due to endometrioma stripping and electrocoagulation which subsequently reduces the ovarian reserve. The AMH was significantly reduced in endometrioma excision cases while in the other 2 groups results were similar and not affected by surgery AMH measured in ng/ml fluctuated to 1.53±1.37 in endometrioma cases, 2.20±1.23 in benign cysts and 2.82±1.74 in patients with tubal infertility.
volume and diameter as sonographic markers have all been reported as indicators for ovarian reserves. A comparative AMH level and AFC of low fertility potential usually referred to “poor responders” which according to Bologna criteria are defined when AMH is 0.5-1.1 ng/ml and AFC 5-7 follicles [18]. An AMH of 2 ng/ml is considered as abnormal in women aged <30 years old [19] indicating the decreased overall number of oocytes but not the ability of an ovum to reach fertilization and pregnancy.

Among all biological and clinical markers for ovarian reserves AMH and AFC are considered the most reliable measurements. AMH has similar correlation with primordial follicle count and similar capacity for predicting ovarian response to stimulation [20-22] Inter-cycle and intra-individual variation of AMH is significantly less than that of AFC in several studies [23] AFC is less reliable than AMH because antral follicles may be obscured by an endometrioma leading to underestimation of AFC pre and post-operatively, however AMH samples are stable at -70 °C to -80 °C which makes storage difficult while most of the studies do not report the AMH specimens handling process [24].

A letter to the Editor in 2014 in the journal of Human Reproduction about meta-analysis weakness [25] 1/8 studies by Raffi et al. reported storing samples at -20 °C while samples were either analyzed directly without cryostorage [26,27] or were stored at -70 or -80°C before actual measurements in the remaining 5 studies [28-31] In addition, the impact of surgery type on ovarian reserves involves several biases such as the age of the patients, persistence, size, location and bilaterality of the endometrioma. Concomitant pathologies like adhesions, endometriosis, tubal and fossa ovarica status, training and experience of surgeon, type of surgery technique used (biopsy, stripping, excision) and type of haemostasis used (Bipolar, Suturing, Laser, Sealants) all are variables that can influence AMH results.

**AMH levels after endometrioma excision**

Significant decline in serum AMH levels 1-3 months after endometrioma excision [32]. Progressive decline in AMH levels in two studies during 6 months after excision and [33,34] persistent decline in AMH during and after 6 months after endometrioma excision have been reported [24,25] Bilateral excision causes a greater decline in serum AMH levels than unilateral [16,29] Second surgery for recurrent unilateral endometrioma causes even lower AMH levels and AFC of the affected ovary than before surgery as reported by [11]. However, the number of ovarian follicles on surgical specimens did not correlate with the decline in serum AMH levels [16,29,33] Damage to the ovarian circulation could be the most important determinant of the loss of ovarian reserve, Especially bipolar dissection near the ovarian hilus.

The selection of haemostatic method is crucial for ovarian reserves. Statistical comparison between post-operative mean values of AFC (antral follicular count) PSV (Peak Systolic Velocity) and MOD (Mean ovarian diameter) on TVS examinations comparing long term impact on ovarian reserve between laparoscopic ovarian cystectomy and bipolar coagulation and open laparotomy and suturing for ovarian endometrioma, demonstrated significantly better ovarian reserves in favour to laparotomy group. It was noted that the damage, cannot be ascribed only to the amount of ovarian tissue removed during surgery but also to the possible damage of the ovarian vascular system by electrocoagulation [35].

The impact of electrocoagulation on ovarian reserve was investigated in 191 patients by a prospective randomized study, Group 1 underwent laparoscopic ovarian cystectomy by coagulation or harmonic scalpel and a second group of patients operated by laparotomy and suturing of the cyst bed. After 12 months of follow up in electrocoagulation group, FSH was over 10 IU/L and AFC and mean ovarian diameter (MOD) were significantly reduced [36]. Comparing ovarian reserve after laparoscopic excision of endometrioma cysts and hemostasis achieved either by bipolar coagulation or suturing a randomized clinical trial by Asgari Z, et al. [37] concluded that stripping of endometrioma pseudo-capsule reduces ovarian reserve, regardless of the hemostatic methods used. Intracorporeal suturing showed less damage on ovarian reserve as compared to bipolar electrocoagulation.

Minimal invasive surgery of 67 endometriomas and 62 non endometrioma ovarian cysts, the AMH in 43 patients treated with bipolar drop by 41.2% while in 86 patients haemostasis achieved with sealsants drop by 15.4% (P = 0.003) [38].

In 10 ovarian endometriomas of >30mm, managed by complete vaporization of the inner surface using plasma energy followed by cystectomy. Histologic evaluation of the effectiveness of endometrial tissue ablation and depth of necrosis followed. Plasma energy ablation of endometrial tissue found to cause minimal damage to the ovarian parenchyma [39]. The same authors operated 15 endometrioma ablation using plasma energy and 15 ovarian tissue-sparing cystectomies comparing by 3D ultrasound ovarian volume and AFC pre- and post-operative results. Those who underwent cystectomy showed a statistically significant reduction in ovarian volume and AFC when compared with women who underwent ablation using plasma energy. This technique seems to be attractive for reproductive surgery, especially for women with risk for postoperative ovarian reserve impairment, bilateral endometriomas and premature ovarian failure [40].
Table 1 summarizes the most recent studies on unilateral endometrioma surgery and haemostasis techniques effect on ovarian reserve. In all articles there is significant decrease in ovarian reserves, independently what technique has been used. Laparoscopic stripping was a common and basic way of endometrioma pseudocapsule removal among all studies, being detrimental to healthy ovarian tissue prior to any haemostatic technique to be followed. Three meta-analysis and systematic reviews have been published on Endometrioma Surgery and Haemostasis techniques and their effect on ovarian reserves. All 3 meta-analysis were reviewing the circulating AMH before and after surgery among selected PRCTs [32]. Selected 8 out of 21 studies, polled 237 patients, found a significant post-operative fall in circulating AMH, concluding that endometrioma excision has negative impact on ovarian reserves. All 3 meta-analysis were reviewing the circulating AMH before and after surgery among selected PRCTs [32]. Selected 8 out of 21 studies, polled 237 patients, found a significant post-operative fall in circulating AMH, concluding that endometrioma excision has negative impact on ovarian reserves. Somigliana E et al. [41] selected 11 out of 47 studies, pooled 344 patients, also showed endometrioma surgery related ovarian reserve damage. They concluded that no further research needed, proposing the investigation of innovative endometrioma surgical measures.

Another meta-analysis of 7RCTs searching for laparoscopic ovarian cystectomy versus fenestration/coagulation or laser vaporization for the treatment of endometriomas, demonstrated significantly lower risk of recurrence of signs and symptoms for laparoscopic cystectomy [RR: 0.29; 95% CI: 0.15-0.55; I²= 0%; p<0.001] The chance of pregnancy was significantly higher for cystectomy compared with fenestration/coagulation (RR: 2.64; 95% CI: 1.49-4.69; I²= 0%; p<0.001), but not laser vaporization (RR: 0.92; 95% CI: 0.30-2.80; p = 0.89). However, there were inadequate data for the meta-analysis of ovarian reserve. Authors concluded that further studies are needed to clarify the effect of these surgical approaches on ovarian reserve [15].

In a more recent systematic review and meta-analysis of the haemostatic effect on ovarian reserve after laparoscopic endometrioma excision, 4 out of 6 studies were selected and pooled 213 patients. Haemostasis by suturing the cyst bed was unclear whether preserves more healthy ovarian tissue than bipolar diathermy coagulation. Moderate quality of evidence favours the application of a haemostatic sealant and low quality of evidence favours suturing over bipolar diathermy. Sealants could be better than bipolar but since it's human or bovine plasma derived product, the risk of viral transmission was of high concern. Authors concluded that bipolar should be

---

**Table 1:** Unilateral Endometrioma Surgery and Haemostasis techniques

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Refer</th>
<th>Study Type</th>
<th>Endoma Uni-lateral</th>
<th>Haemostasis Technique</th>
<th>Marker</th>
<th>Pop Mths</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kitajima et al. [30]</td>
<td>FS 2011</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>19 Vs 13 Bg cysts</td>
<td>Stripping only</td>
<td>AMH</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Significantly Higher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee DY et al. [31]</td>
<td>Gyn Endoc 2010</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>13 excision 14</td>
<td>Bipolar Oophorectom</td>
<td>AMH, AMH</td>
<td>1, 3</td>
<td>0.001 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zaitoun M et al. [35]</td>
<td>J Ov Res 2013</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>61 60</td>
<td>Lpic Bipolar Lmy suturing</td>
<td>AMH, FSH</td>
<td>6, 8, 12</td>
<td>0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biacchiardi PM [25]</td>
<td>RBMO 2011</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>Lpic stripping and Bipolar</td>
<td>AMH, Ovvol Inhib E2 AFC</td>
<td>3, 9</td>
<td>0.0001 Unchanged No SS change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urman B [42]</td>
<td>RBMO 2013</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Lpic stripping</td>
<td>AMH, AFC</td>
<td>1, 6</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncu G et al. [16]</td>
<td>HR 2013</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>30 30 healthy</td>
<td>Lpic stripping</td>
<td>AMH, AFC</td>
<td>1, 6</td>
<td>0.02 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ercan CM et al. [28]</td>
<td>EJ OG RB 2011</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Lpic stripping</td>
<td>AFC Doppler Flow AMH</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Significantly low No SS change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsolakides et al. [26]</td>
<td>FS 2010</td>
<td>PRT</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Lpic stripping Laser CO2</td>
<td>AMH, AFC, FSH LH E2</td>
<td>6, 12</td>
<td>0.026 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asgari Z et al. [37]</td>
<td>Arch Gyn 2015</td>
<td>PRT</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>57 bipoLpic 52 sutLpic</td>
<td>AMH, FSH</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Significantly higher</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Endometrioma surgery and haemostatic method can negatively affect ovarian reserves. The size of the endometrioma and the surgical technique are the most determining factors preserving ovarian function. Stripping the endometrioma pseudocapsule is detrimental for healthy ovarian tissue, reduces the risk of recurrence, however, not appropriate for infertility patients undergoing ART. Reproductive surgery should respect maximum possible healthy ovarian tissue increasing the chances of good quality and quantity of oocytes. The haemostatic method used during endometrioma surgery also affects negatively ovarian reserve. It seems that among all haemostatic modalities, the plasma energy causes the minimum damage to healthy ovarian tissue. Coagulation of the entire endometrioma bed should never be performed. The first operation is probably the most crucial for fertility prognosis. Incomplete and/or unduly traumatic procedures probably greatly reduce the ovarian reserve and chance of spontaneous pregnancy and increase the risk of endometrioma recurrence and/or persistence. Fenestration and laser vaporization of an endometrioma seems to minimally destruct the healthy ovarian tissue, offering the best surgery treatment option to infertility patients.

Conclusion

Endometrioma pseudo-capule stripping and bipolar diathermy for haemostasis reported a statistically significant decrease in ovarian volume, AFC and increase in AMH, being more detrimental to healthy ovarian tissue when compared to fenestration and laser ablation. Endometrioma surgery technique should be carefully selected especially in infertility cases, high risk patients for premature ovarian failure and bilateral endometriomas.
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