Introduction

Pemphigus is a group of autoimmune disorders that involve acantholysis, the loss of keratinocyte adhesion, and results in intraepithelial blisters and erosions of the skin and mucous membranes [1,2]. The two most frequently observed types of pemphigus are pemphigus vulgaris (PV) and pemphigus foliaceus (PF). PV occurs more often in adults between 40 and 60 years and does not present any sex predilection [3,4]. Despite being the prevailing form of pemphigus, corresponding for up to 70% of the cases, PV is a rare disorder, with incidence rates ranging from 0.1 to 0.5 per 100,000 people per year [4,5,6]. It is more common in Europe and in the United States of America, while PF is typically related to North African and South American populations. Both disorders are chronic, incurable and life-threatening. However, the mucosal involvement is associated with pemphigus vulgaris [4,5]. This dramatic and early feature of the disease causes dysphagia, weight loss, nosebleeds, hoarseness, hydro electrolytic imbalances, food shortages, increased catabolism, and enhanced risk of local and systemic diseases, ultimately determining higher mortality [4-6]. Along with the physical damages, PV also jeopardizes the patient's quality of life due to its significant psychosocial impact [1].

Physiopathology of pemphigus vulgaris

In PV, the presence of autoantibodies against desmogleins damages the desmosomes, structures responsible for ensuring keratinocytes’ adhesion. As a result, acantholysis occurs, leading to vesicles’ and blisters’ formation [4,5,7,8]. In most patients, the serum levels of the aforementioned autoantibodies are directly related to the severity of the disease [8]. The major immunoglobulin class associated with PV is IgG - particularly IgG4 subclass in active PV and IgG1 during remission [9,10]. Desmogleins are transmembrane glycoproteins that belong to the cadherin family and compose the desmosomes, anchoring junctions responsible for cell-to-cell adhesion. These structures are the cornerstone of one of the most cited theories about pemphigus physiopathology: the desmoglein compensation theory.

That theory addresses why skin lesions usually follow oral manifestations on PV - instead of the opposite. The explanation lies in the fact that PV-related autoantibodies are directly related to the severity of the disease [8]. The major immunoglobulin class associated with PV is IgG - particularly IgG4 subclass in active PV and IgG1 during remission [9,10]. Desmogleins are transmembrane glycoproteins that belong to the cadherin family and compose the desmosomes, anchoring junctions responsible for cell-to-cell adhesion. These structures are the cornerstone of one of the most cited theories about pemphigus physiopathology: the desmoglein compensation theory.

According to this theory, we can conclude that PV can clinically present as:

A. Mucosal dominant pemphigus vulgaris: when there are only antibodies against desmoglein 3. Therefore, the mucosa
is mainly affected due to the lack of desmoglein 1 expression, whereas the skin is spared, once Dsg1 compensates for the Dsg3 loss of function.

B. Mucocutaneous pemphigus vulgaris: when both desmoglein 1 and desmoglein 3 are targeted by autoantibodies, resulting in loss of cell-to-cell adhesion on the skin and mucous membranes.

Nevertheless, the desmoglein compensation theory does not fully clarify the disorder’s pathophysiology, since up to one-third of the patients present with discordant clinical and serological profiles [13,14] in light of this, other potentially significant factors regarding the disease are dietary habits [15,16] viruses infection [17] and a large variety of drugs - such as penicillamine, captopril, enalapril, penicillin, cephalosporin, and piroxicam [5,15,18,19].

Clinical manifestations

Figure 1: Mucosal dominant pemphigus vulgaris affecting the oral mucosa.

Figure 2: Mucosal dominant pemphigus vulgaris affecting the lips and tongue mucosa.

The main clinical feature in pemphigus involves the formation of blisters and vesicles within the epidermis of the mucous membranes and the skin. As the blisters are fragile, they are easily ruptured, resulting in painful erosions and ulcers. As has been clarified in pathophysiology, mucous membranes’ lesions typically appear first. Involvement of the oral cavity is common, especially in areas vulnerable to frictional trauma, presenting as widespread erosions and ulcers (Figures 1-3) at physical examination [4,5]. The most frequent sites of involvement in the oral cavity are the buccal mucosa and the palatine mucosa.

Figure 3: Mucocutaneous pemphigus vulgaris.

Figure 4: Gingivitis caused by pemphigus vulgaris.

Figure 5: Involvement of the nasal mucosa by pemphigus vulgaris.

The lesions tend to be painful, interfering with eating and causing dysphagia, resulting in weight loss and malnutrition [8]. Furthermore, scaly or erosive gingivitis can occur (Figure 4), aggravating the general state of health [5]. Other mucous membranes may also be affected, such as the conjunctiva, nose (Figure 5), esophagus, larynx, pharynx, vulva, vagina, cervix...
and anus [8,20,21]. It is important to remember that the skin can be affected days to months after the onset of the disease. Thus, oral lesions are not rarely the only sign of the condition. Studies demonstrated that about five months of progression are necessary before the development of cutaneous lesions [22,23]. In the skin, PV usually presents with nonpuritic flaccid blisters on an erythematous or non-erythematous base that quickly turn into bleeding erosions. The lesions, which can be focal or generalized, are more common in seborrhoeic areas such as the face, the scalp, and the interscapular region. Flexural regions and extremities, which are vulnerable to stress and trauma, also are common sites of lesions [4,8].

**Diagnostic methods**

The diagnosis of pemphigus is based on a triad that includes clinical, histological and immunological findings [5]. In order to understand the general condition, as well as the comorbidities, it is mandatory to perform a thorough physical examination of the patient. Classically, there are two semiological maneuvers described for pemphigus: the Nikolsky Sign and the Asboe-Hansen signal (also called Nikolsky II signal). While the former includes the application of lateral pressure on the unaffected skin surrounding the lesion, the latter consists of the application of a vertical pressure in the blister. In both cases, epidermal detachment reveals disease activity [8]. Histologically, a loss of cell adhesion between the basal and suprabasal layers of epidermis occurs in PV, resulting in acantholysis and retention of basal keratinocytes along the basement membrane area, and giving the appearance of a "row of tombstones". Inflammatory infiltrates are absent or scarce with the presence of some eosinophils. Biopsy is extremely important in order to diagnose the type of pemphigus [4,5,10-12]. Direct immunofluorescence shows intercellular deposition of IgG with or without complement deposits (C3) on the keratinocyte plasma membrane. Indirect immunofluorescence detects circulating IgG antibodies by using different substrates, such as monkey esophagus, human skin, and guinea pig esophagus [4,5]. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) is the most sensitive (>90%) and specific (95%) test for detection of PV autoantibodies [4]. It is particularly useful for monitoring disease activity and assessing treatment response [24,25]. Overall, immunoblotting and immunoprecipitation remain limited to the research scenario. Despite these tests' efficacy in diagnosing pemphigus vulgaris, cost and availability issues prevent them from being further popularized.

**Treatment considerations**

Given the high levels of morbidity and mortality of this condition, treatment must be initiated as soon as the diagnosis is made. Before the advent of immunosuppressants, the mortality rate was 70 to almost 100% in 1 to 5 years [26-28]. The main goals of treatment are to induce a complete remission, minimize drug-related adverse events - once about 10% of the PV mortality rate is due to treatment complications [26,29,30] and improve the patients' quality of life. The first-line treatment for PV is systemic corticosteroid therapy - prednisolone or prednisone with an initial dose of 1mg/kg/day. These drugs have the ability to reduce the levels of autoantibodies, nevertheless if used extensively and at high doses, they cause numerous side effects, among which infections, diabetes, and osteoporosis deserve to be highlighted.

The disease control phase is characterized by the absence of new lesions and by the healing of the established ones. When there is no new lesion for two weeks or when 80% or more of the lesions are healing, the treatment consolidation phase starts, and the corticosteroid dose should be reduced at 25% rate every two weeks, aiming to provide proper weaning from the medication, avoiding complications such as adrenal insufficiency. If the disease remains active or if there is no response within 2 weeks, the corticosteroid dose should be increased to 1.5 to 2mg/kg/day [1,5,8,31]. If there is still no response to this therapy in two weeks, or if complications are noticed, the physician should consider the second-line treatment: azathioprine 50mg once a day for two weeks (with a progressive increase for up to 3mg/kg/day) or mycophenolate mofetil 500mg once a day (with weekly increase for up to 2 to 3g/day) [1,5,8,31]. Some patients do not respond to these treatments, requiring third-line drugs such as: rituximab (375mg/m² once a week for 4 weeks, or 1g two doses with a two-week interval), dapsone (100mg/day or 1.5mg/kg/day), methotrexate (10 to 25mg/week - associated with folic acid 5 to 15 mg/week), cyclophosphamide (500mg IV or 2mg/kg/day PO), immunoglobulin (2g/kg/monthly cycle), and plasmapheresis [5,8,31]. Other than drug therapy to stop disease activity, additional measures can help to support the patient with pemphigus, such as [8,32,33]:

a. Break large blisters sterile, leaving the blister layer on top to reduce the chance of infections
b. Use of systemic or topical anesthetics, such as lidocaine 2%
c. General care with erosions and ulcers (use of local emollients, non-adhesive dressings, cleaning, topical antibiotics)
d. Adequate dental hygiene and professional dental cleanings
e. Nutritional support in cases of pemphigus vulgaris mucosa, with a change in nutritional status
f. Avoid spicy, hard, abrasive or very hot foods
g. Monitoring oral candidiasis and herpes
h. Corticosteroid mouthwashes for extensive oral lesions
i. Psychological support

**Conclusion**

Because of the chronic and incurable nature of pemphigus, as well as its high morbimortality implications, recognition of lesions requires a high level of suspicion. Although it is a rare...
disease, pemphigus vulgaris should be thought of whenever facing recurrent, painful, erosive, and non-improving oral lesions so that the treatment can be introduced as soon as possible. In addition to the multiple options of drugs and therapeutic regimens currently available for pemphigus, supportive measures are of utmost importance, playing a vital role in minimizing patient suffering and reducing the chances of complications arising not only from the disease itself, but from its treatment, with the final goal of improving the patients’ quality of life.
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