Introduction

Restorative dentistry can be defined as the discipline encompassing the diagnosis, prevention, and management of diseases of the teeth. A focus of restorative dentistry is to reclaim the structure, esthetics, and function of the tooth[1,2]. Composite resins are one of the most commonly used materials in dentistry as they provide highly esthetic results, while minimizing the amount of tooth reduction needed to achieve adequate retention. There are many reasons why composites resins are favorable over other restorative materials, however, filler size and shape has been key in this revolution. Many years ago, resin composites had contained macrofill particles which were durability, but it was much harder to achieve a smooth polish in the variety of packable composites[3]. Whereas flowable composites, which have a smaller particle size and higher resin ratio, are much easier to polish[4-6]. However, a disadvantage of flowable composite is its shrinkage, lower tensile strength, therefore faster deterioration over time. Nano-filled hybrid composites contain large macrofill particles, microfill particles, and resin which contribute to, high tensile strength, reduced shrinkage, and maintenance of its polishability[7-9]. Finishing and polishing of composite restorations is a critical step that can determine its success and survival. A smooth finish lowers bacterial accumulation in the oral cavity by reducing gingival irritation, changes in the color of the restoration and its margins, recurrent decay[10-12]. Not only does a rough surface composite influence bacterial load in the oral cavity, but it has also been shown to influence stain on restorations[13,14]. Additionally, reducing irregularities higher than 15 microns in the oral cavity are interpreted by patients who report discomfort with their restorations[14,15]. Composites have various surface textures due to the polishing methods of the fillers and resin in the composites. Such techniques that influence a polish include presence of water, speed of the handpiece, and pressure of the polishing tip on the restoration[16-18]. Many polishing systems claim to provide a smooth contour and finish to composite restorations, however this is dependent on the presence of abrasives in the tips. Polishing kits range from one-step to multi-step systems that provide differences in the surface texture of...
Methods and Materials

This study was conducted at Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo León using the Mitutoyo Surftest 211 profilometer. Every specimen was tested once, and the result was written on the data collection sheet. In total, sixty total composite specimens were prepared from two different nano-hybrid composites were prepared to be finished with 3 polishing systems. Thirty specimens were fabricated from Tetric N-Ceram (Ivoclar Vivadent), while the remaining thirty specimens were produced from Charisma Diamond (Kulze). Each composite had subsequently been divided into 3 groups for the following polishing systems: Optrapol®, Enhance®, and So-Flex™ disks. The specimens in the two groups were then divided into three groups of ten for each polishing system. The composite specimens were prepared using round disks with an inner dimension of 4 x 4 mm. First, the external and internal parts of the disk were prepared with a thin coat of vaseline. The disk was then placed over a mylar strip on top of a crystal plate. Packable composite was placed into the disk using a plastic instrument in 2 mm increments. The increments were then polymerized for 20 seconds each cycle, using “LED B Woodpecker” with a potency of 500mW/cm². The last layer was cured by placement of a mylar strip and crystal plate on top, respectively. The crystal plates and mylar strip were removed and the composite specimens were then cured from the top and bottom sides, for a final time.

Once the specimens were cured, the excess composite on the top and bottom surfaces was finished using a twelve-fluted finishing carbide bur for thirty seconds, at 20,000 rpm using a high-speed handpiece. The specimens were finished with a high-speed hand-piece in one direction (from left to right), with water irrigation of 43 mL during the polishing time. The tips from the Optrapol® polishing system were placed in the low speed hand-piece at 8,000 rpm in a clockwise direction. The polishing was initiated with light rotatory movements under moderate pressure in one direction, for 40 seconds using water. The silicone tips were changed once for every six specimens polished. Finally, they were washed and dried using an air/water syringe for 10 seconds. Every specimen was finished and polished following the manufacturer’s instructions by the same person. Silicone tips from the Enhance® polishing system were used to polish the composite samples at a low speed under moderate pressure, at 8,000 rpm in a clockwise direction in a uniform direction. The procedure occurred for two cycles of 20 seconds in circular movements, for a total of 40 seconds. Each silicone bur was changed once for every two polished specimens. Lastly, 5 mm of Prisma Gloss® diamond paste applied to each with a felt disk onto the composite specimens, using a low speed in a clockwise direction. Specimens polished using Sof-Lex™ were first finished with the coarse disk, using a slow speed at 8,000 rpm, using light pressure in a clock-wise direction for 20 seconds, as instructed by the manufacturer. After polishing each disk, the specimens were washed and dried with a chair-side air/water syringe for 10 seconds. The polishing procedure was continued with the medium coarse, fine, and super fine disks. Each group of composites was then measured for surface roughness (Ra) for each of the polishing systems.

Results

Following polishing an analysis of surface roughness was measure for each polishing system and their respective nano-hybrid composites (Tables 1-3). A graph was generated to display the mean results of the polishing systems. The following observations were made:

I. There was no significant difference could be made using the same polishing system, on the different nano-hybrid composites.

II. Further analysis by roughness of each finished surface revealed significantly lower values (Mean: 0.18 and 0.23 for Tetric N Ceram® and Charisma Diamond®, respectively) for Sof-Lex™, across both composites.
The advantage of the one-step polishing systems is the minimized working time. The Optrapol® one-step polishing system has a better polishing capacity than Enhance® one-step polishing system. The diamond particles in the Optrapol® allow for a more homogenous polish therefore, smoother surface. However, this investigation has found that the multi-step polishing systems have a lower roughness value, indicating that they have higher polishing capacity. The best option for polishing nano-hybrid composites is the Sof-Lex™ multi-step polishing system.

**Discussion**

The longevity and quality of composite restorations are greatly influenced by finished surfaces of the composite itself. As a result, many manufacturers are constantly modifying their composite and polishing systems in an attempt to simplify the process and reduce working time. The findings of this investigation show that Enhance® one-step polishing system has the lowest polishing capacity of the systems studied. This system’s polishing capacity depends on the pressure applied to the composite restoration during polishing. This result differs from a 2007 study by Rodríguez Abreu and col which stated that they differ in their abrasives; Optrapol® contains diamond abrasives which are less flexible, a characteristic that allow them to cut more evenly than Enhance®. The values of specimen numbers 3 and 9 were eliminated from the media because these specimens presented higher values (Table 3). This was due to a depression in the composite that affected the value of the reading. For Optrapol® (both Tetric N Ceram® and Charisma Diamond®). This study also demonstrates that the Optrapol® one-step polishing system has a better polishing capacity than the multi-step polishing system Sof-Lex™ [21]. The conclusion of the study is the Optrapol® is composed of aluminum oxide-silicon dioxide [10, 22, 23]. The results obtained are similar to the study done by Mustafa R. A. Al-Khafaji in 2013 [10]. The system with the best polishing capacity in this multi-step study is the Optrapol®. Other studies by Caramori Rodríguez and col. [2013] and Bührer Borges and col. [2004] [11, 19] showed similar results. The Sof-Lex™ disk is composed of aluminum oxide particles. Additionally, the disks range from coarse to super fine, allowing a uniform surface. Numerous studies have found that multi-step polishing systems are superior to single-step polishing systems. Of these multi-step systems, many references Sof-Lex™ as the best multi-step polishing system.

**Conclusion**

The advantage of the one-step polishing systems is the minimized working time. The Optrapol® one-step polishing system has a better polishing capacity than Enhance® one-step polishing system. The diamond particles in the Optrapol® allow for a more homogenous polish therefore, smoother surface. However, this investigation has found that the multi-step polishing systems have a lower roughness value, indicating that they have higher polishing capacity. The best option for polishing nano-hybrid composites is the Sof-Lex™ multi-step polishing system.
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**Table 3: Mean roughness of the Tetric N Ceram® and Charisma Diamond® following polishing by Optrapol®, Enhance®, So-Flex™.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polishing System</th>
<th>Tetric N Ceram® (RA)</th>
<th>Charisma Diamond® (RA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Optrapol®</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhance®</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>So-Flex™</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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