The Effect of Hypertonic Saline Versus Isotonic Saline Irrigation Following Endoscopic Sinus Surgery- A Comparative Study
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Abstract

Introduction: Nasal douching solutions have been widely used following endonasal sinus surgery (ESS). The irrigation solutions reduce synechia formation, enhance mucosal healing and promote the removal of infective debris and crusts.

Objective: To compare the efficacy of hypertonic and isotonic saline solutions used for nasal douching with respect to quality of life following ESS

Materials and Methods: A prospective randomized study was performed. The study included 60 patients who underwent ESS for sinonasal polyposis. Patients were randomly divided into two groups. Group 1 received hypertonic saline (3%) while the Group 2 received isotonic saline (0.9%). Patients were examined on the 7th, 15th and 30th post operative day. Outcomes of hypertonic and isotonic saline irrigation were assessed by saccharine clearance test, endoscopic examination, visual analogue scale and sinonasal outcome test questionnaire.

Results: There was no significant difference found in the MCT scores in both the groups. Irrigation with hypertonic saline resulted in better symptom score in SNOT 20 (p<0.05) and VAS (p<0.05) as compared to isotonic saline. Crusting scores were significantly lower and reappearance of cobblestone mucosa from polypoidal mucosa on endoscopic assessment were better in the group using hypertonic saline irrigation.

Conclusion: Hypertonic saline is the recommended irrigation solution as its use is associated with less crusting, drying and obstruction in the nose for the postoperative period following ESS.
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Introduction

Nasal douching after surgery remains one of the most important post operative management strategies following endoscopic sinus surgery (ESS) [1,2].

Various methods of delivery have been studied in the past, however the high volume and low pressure douching has been considered most effective [3]. Nasal irrigation has shown to clear nasal secretions and infective debris, minimizes crusting, reduces the probability of synechia formation and accelerates mucosal healing [4]. In addition, it has been observed that nasal irrigation appears to improve mucociliary transport function of the nasal mucosa [5].

Various irrigation solutions have been used and their individual advantages and disadvantages have been compared in literature. Isotonic saline irrigation has been used earlier and had been most preferred.6

Recently hypertonic saline has been the preferred irrigation solution as it has been seen that it reduced edema, improves mucociliary clearance and relieves nasal breathing by affecting osmotic pressure.[4,5,7].

The aim of this study was to compare the effect of hypertonic saline and isotonic saline irrigation for 4 weeks following endoscopic sinus surgery.

Materials and Methods

A prospective randomized study was performed on 60 patients between the age 18 and 50. All patients underwent functional endoscopic sinus surgery for nasal polyposis in the Department of Otorhinolaryngology at Dr Ram Manohar Lohia Hospital, New Delhi. The study was performed between October 2015 to September 2017. Informed consent was obtained from all patients and the study was approved by the institutions
ethics board. Patients included in the study were all new cases of sinonasal polyposis who were resistant to conservative management. Patients who were known cases of mucociliary clearance disorders, smokers and those who had undergone previous surgery were excluded from our study. The surgeries were performed by different surgeons while examination of patients post surgery was performed by same otolaryngologist. All patients underwent uncinectomy, middle meatal antrostomy, anterior and posterior ethmoidectomy. Frontal and sphenoid sinuses were addressed only in 4 patients. Merocel® pack was removed 48hrs following surgery. In all patients the same post operative medication, oral amoxicillin with clavulinate, was administered for 10 days.

Randomization

Subjects were randomly divided into 2 groups. Randomization was performed using a table of random numbers for 30 subjects each. Group 1 included numbers 1 to 30 while group 2 included numbers 31 to 60. Each group had randomly distributed 15 even and 15 odd numbers. Study subjects were then allocated numbers according to the randomization. Those allocated with even numbers received hypertonic saline irrigation while those allocated with odd numbers were received isotonic saline irrigation.

Irrigation method

All subjects were instructed to use irrigation fluids 3 times a day for a period of 4 weeks postoperatively. A total of 60mL solution was used for each nasal irrigation, with 30mL for each nasal cavity. Irrigation was performed by syringing with the head tilted forward and downward.

Assessing outcome of irrigation

Measurement of mucociliary clearance: Mucociliary clearance was measured using saccharine clearance test method. Each patient underwent the saccharine clearance test postoperatively on the 7th, 15th and 30th day. A quarter of saccharine tablet was placed on the medial surface of the inferior turbinate, 1 cm from the anterior end. The time until the subject could taste saccharine was noted. None of the subjects failed to taste saccharine.

Endoscopic assessment: All patients were assessed endoscopically on the 7th, 15th and 30th postoperative day using a 00 nasal endoscope. During endoscopic assessment crusting and general appearance of nasal mucosa was documented. Crusting was documented as no crusts/few crusts,<50 crusts and >50% crusts. Endoscopic assessment was documented as either normal, edematous/cobblestoned, polypoidal or gross polypoidal. Assessment of both crusting and endoscopic appearance was done in terms of percentage.

Table 1: Endoscopic scores on the amount of crusting with hypertonic saline and isotonic saline.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day of assessment</th>
<th>Endoscopic crusting</th>
<th>Hypertonic saline(%)</th>
<th>Isotonic saline(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7th day</td>
<td>No crusts</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;50% crusts</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;50% crusts</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15th day</td>
<td>No crusts</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;50% crusts</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;50% crusts</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results

The study population included 60 patients between 18-55 years of age. Patients were randomly divided into 2 groups with 30 patients in each group. Mean age of group one was 34.9 (20-50) while in group two was 34.33 (18-55). Group one comprised of 10 females (33.3%) and 20 males (66.6%) while group two comprised of 12 females (40%) and 18 males (60%). There were no significant differences in age distribution or gender distribution (0.454 and 0.486 respectively). Each group was examined separately.

Sinonasal outcome test-20 (SNOT-20) and Visaul analogue scale(VAS)

Patients were reviewed on the 7th, 15th and 30th postoperative day with the Sino nasal outcome test and scores were compared on 3 different occasions post surgery. VAS scores were used to assess severity of symptoms, which included nasal blockage/congestion, headache, facial pain, alteration in sense of smell and nasal discharge. A score of 10 was given by patients with no symptoms while a score of 0 was given by patients with worst symptoms.

Statistical analysis

Apart from the descriptive analysis of the data collected, statistical significance of between group differences was determined using Student's t test, Whitney Rank sum test and Chi -square test. Odds ratios were calculated by cross tabulation with a 95% confidence interval. Data was tabulated using mean (SD). The magnitude of change in outcome measures of SNOT-20 and VAS was determined by using the following calculation for each patient: change=follow up measurement (30th post operative day)– baseline measurement (7th day ). To determine if irrigation solution formulation had an effect on the outcome measures, data were analyzed by ANOVA with Bonferroni adjustments. A p value if <0.05 was considered to be significant. All statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS (Statistical package programme for social sciences, Chicago,IL,US) (Table 1) .
Mucociliary clearance test (MCT)

In group 1 and group 2, the MCT values did not significantly change from the 7th to 15th postoperative day (p=0.452 for group 1 and p=0.343 for group 2). However, the MCT values improved significantly in both groups on the 30th postoperative day (p<0.05). Each in group variation in MCT was compared with the other group score variations and no significant differences were found. (15th day postoperative-7day postoperative, p=0.453; 30th day postoperative- 15th day postoperative, p=0.112) (Table 2).

Table 2: Endoscopic appearance of nasal mucosa on 7th, 15th and 30th post operative day in the two groups (hypertonic saline vs. isotonic saline).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day of assessment</th>
<th>Endoscopic appearance of mucosa</th>
<th>Hypertonic saline (%)</th>
<th>Isotonic saline (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7th day</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Edema/cobblestone</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Polypoid</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gross polypoid</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15th day</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Edema/cobblestone</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Polypoid</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gross polypoid</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30th day</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Edema/cobblestone</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Polypoid</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gross polypoid</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Endoscopic scores

In the immediate post operative followup (7th day) we found that 55% patients were found to have large amount of crusting (>50%) within the sinonasal mucosa which improved (<50% crusting) or resolved in all patients. This improvement was consistent among all treatment groups. None of the patients showed gross polypoid disease during the follow up period. However, none of the patients showed a completely normal appearance of the nasal mucosa on the 30th post operative day. The recovery from polypoidal to cobblestone mucosa was better among the patients irrigating with hypertonic saline solution with 56% cobblestone on 15th day and 78% on 30th day postoperative day.

Visual analogue scale score (VAS)

The greatest symptomatic improvement was noted among patients receiving hypertonic saline. The mean improvement in the group receiving hypertonic saline was 12.5±3.2 on 15th day and 17.5±5.4 on the 30th post operative day. In contrast, the mean improvement in the group receiving hypertonic saline was 8±2.4 and 12.4±2.3 on the 15th and 30th post operative days respectively.

Sinonasal outcome test-20 (SNOT-20)

The scores in group one showed marked improvement in the SNOT scores with a mean improvement of 23.5±5.6 and 31±3.2 on 15th and 30th post operative days respectively. While in group two, a modest improvement of 15.5±4.7 and 23.3±4.3 was noted on the 15th and 30th post operative days respectively.

Discussion

Nasal irrigation solutions have been widely used for the treatment of sinusitis and in the post operative care of patients who have undergone endonasal procedures. Different kinds of solutions have been used for nasal irrigation such as normal saline, lactated Ringer’s solution and various concentrations of hypertonic saline. Nasal douching is considered to improve mucociliary function, decrease mucosal edema, dilute inflammatory mediators and mechanically clear inspissated mucous [10]. Many studies have been done using different topical nasal solutions with varying results [8-12].

This study was done to compare the clinical effectiveness of hypertonic saline and isotonic saline in patients following ESS. Mucociliary clearance is one of the most important host defence mechanisms, playing a critical role in the protection against infections following endonasal surgery. The saccharine clearance test (SCT) is the preferred method in assessing mucociliary clearance since it is inexpensive, can be easily applied and is reliable [8]. The use of hypertonic solutions for nasal irrigation has increased in the recent past since it is reported to have a better outcome in terms of mucociliary clearance by mitigating edema, inflammation and decreasing mucus viscosity due it the
alkaline nature [4,5]. In addition, it has been reported to improve mucociliary clearance in patients with cystic fibrosis and asthma [13,14].

The exact mechanism related to improvement in mucociliary clearance is still not clear. Some studies suggest hypertonic solutions to increase Ca$^{2+}$ release from intracellular stores stimulating the ciliary beat frequency possibly by regulating the use or availability of adenosine triphosphate by the ciliary axoneme [20].

Inspite of its advantages, hypertonic saline is reported to cause burning sensation due to the stimulation of nociceptive nerves and subsequent substance P release. 15,18,19 Hypertonic saline is also known to cause histamine release which further leads to nasal hyper reactivity and hypersecretion causing decrease in nasal airway volume [15,17].

Keojampa et al found no difference between the effect of hypertonic and isotonic saline in terms of improvement in breathing while Hauptman et al found that buffered isotonic saline significantly relieved nasal obstruction as compared to hypertonic saline [5,16]. All our patients used high volume low pressure nasal irrigation technique with good outcomes. Similar observations were made by Salib et al who reported high volume low pressure saline irrigations to be more effective than low volume high pressure saline irrigations following ESS in the early post operative period; however, there were no differences noted in ease of use [21]. The limitations of this study include the study not being double blinded, lack of rhinomanometry and lack of long term follow-up of post operative patients.

**Conclusion**

Nasal irrigation post ESS is effective in symptom resolution and normalization of mucosa appearance. Hypertonic saline irrigation was significantly more effective as compared to isotonic saline.
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