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Abstract

The burden of allergic rhinitis (AR) is rising alongside industrialization, globalization, climate change and air pollution with a steep increase in the last decades. AR affects about 50 million people in the U.S. Regions with a high incidence of AR show a high incidence of asthma as well. Combined costs are estimated at $80 billion a year. In this mini-review, pathogenesis, pathophysiology and management of AR are discussed with a special emphasis on allergen-specific immunotherapy.

Abbreviations: AR: Allergic Rhinitis; AAAAI: Allergy, Asthma and Immunology; APCs: Antigen-Presenting Cells; DCs: Dendritic Cells; TGF-Beta: Transforming Growth Factor-Beta; CTLA-4: Cytotoxic T-Lymphocyte Antigen-4; PD-1: Programmed Death; SPTs: Skin Prick Tests; BSACI: British Society of Allergy and Clinical Immunology; INS: Intra-nasal Corticosteroids; FBC: Full Blood Count; FLAP: Co-Factor 5-Lipoxygenase Activating Protein; SCIT: Subcutaneous Allergen Immuno Therapy; SLIT: Sub Lingual Immuno Therapy; AIT: Allergen Immuno Therapy; CF: Cerebrospinal Fluid

Introduction

Allergic rhinitis (AR) has a considerable effect on quality of life. AR is a possible cause factor in comorbid diseases such as comorbid asthma, chronic sinusitis, otitis media, upper respiratory infection and nasal polyps. Nasal congestion, the most prominent symptom is associated with sleep disordered breathing, a condition that can have a profound effect on mental health [1-6]. AR imposes a high socioeconomic burden, particularly in terms of indirect costs [7-11]. According to the American Academy of Allergy, Asthma and Immunology (AAAAI) roughly 7.8% of people over 18 and over in the U.S. have hay fever [6]. Worldwide allergic rhinitis affects between 10% and 30% of the population [7,8]. Nasal allergies affect about 50 million people in the U.S. They affect as many as 30% of adults and 40% of children [8]. Epidemiological data have shown a rapid increase in the prevalence of AR in the past decades [9,10]. The incidence of AR was lower than 1% in the 1920's and began to increase after the industrial revolution, slowly in the 1950's-1980's, but sharply since at least 1990 [11]. The prevalence of AR is strongly associated with asthma and the incidences of both are on the rise, in both developed countries [11-13].

Regions with a high incidence of AR show a high incidence of asthma as well [14]. AR, like skin rashes and other allergies, develops when the body’s immune system becomes sensitised and overreacts to something in the environment that typically causes no problem in most individuals. Recently, the concept of polysensitisation has drawn attention in a Dutch study, showing a 5-fold increased risk of polysensitisation in children with atop dermatitis. The skin barrier defect appeared to be specific, when it comes to facilitating transcutaneous sensitisation in children [15]. Transcutaneous sensitisation to multiple allergens occurs early in life and is associated with a more severe atopic and asthmatic phenotype later in life [15]. With an estimated cost of $5.3 billion a year AR is a significant burden on patients and society [16]. AR is a multifactorial disease, including genetic, immunological and environmental pollutants as a causal factor [17]. In this mini-review, pathogenesis, pathophysiology and management of AR will be discussed with a special emphasis on allergen-specific immunotherapy.

Pathogenesis of AR

AR is a prototype of IgE-mediated disease. The hallmark of allergic rhinitis is an IgE-mediated type 1 hypersensitivity reaction to an inciting inhaled allergen. Genetic predisposition and environmental factors, including allergen exposure to environmental adjuvants or immune response suppressors, probably exert important influences on the development of AR. Allergens implicated in AR are in the vast majority proteins that derive from airborne particles including pollens moulds, animal dander and environmental pollutants. After inhalation of allergenic particles, they are eluted in nasal mucus and subsequently diffuse into nasal tissues. The sensitisation process is initiated in nasal tissue, when
antigen-presenting cells (APCs), which are primarily dendritic cells, engulf allergens, break them into allergenic (antigenic) peptides and migrate to lymph nodes, where they present these peptides to naive (never exposed to antigen) yet epitope-specific CD4+ T lymphocytes (T cells)-[18,19]. CD4+ lymphocyte activation requires the interaction of specific T cell receptors with allergen peptide-MHC class 2 complexes on the APCs and the ligation of co-stimulatory receptors of the CD 28 family on T cells by B 7 family members of co-stimulatory molecules (CD80 and CD86) on APCs [20]. Naïve helper T cells are known as Th 0 cells, because they produce a pattern of cytokines, that spans both the Th 1 and Th 2 phenotype. If given the proper stimulus naïve helper T cells can differentiate in the biased Th 1 and Th 2 subset.

In the case of allergy, the Th 2 subset plays a central role. In the development of Th 2 cells, interleukin-4 (IL-4) is a required stimulus [21]. Dendritic cells (DCs) form a network that is localized within the epithelium and submucosa of the entire respiratory mucosa, including the nasal mucosa. The number of both DCs and T cells at the surface of the nasal epithelium is increased in rhinitis [22]. In addition, to presenting antigen, DCs can polarize naïve T cells in either Th 1 or Th2 cells according to their own phenotype, and with signals received from processed antigens and from the tissue environment during antigen presentation. Contacts with a virus promote a Th 1 phenotype and cytokines as IL-3, prostaglandin E2 and thymic stromal lymphopoietin released from epithelial cells promote a Th 2 phenotype [23,24]. A distinct subtype of T cells, the so-called regulatory T cells (Tregs) suppress immune response (both Th2 and Th1) through the inhibitory cytokines and cell surface molecules, including IL-10 and transforming growth factor-beta (TGF-beta), cytotoxic T-lymphotoxyn antigen -4 (CTLA-4) and programmed death-1 (PD-1). Tregs can also inhibit effector T cells via a direct cell-cell contact mechanism to induce apoptosis. In addition, Tregs crosstalk with APCs to suppress T cell activation. Tregs are categorized as natural or adaptive (inducible Treg1). The former are characterized by the expression of high levels of CD 25 on their surface and by the transcription factor forkhead box P3 (Fox P3)-[25].

Both nonallergic and allergic individuals retain allergen-specific IL-4 producing effector T cells, IL-10 producing Tr 1 cells and CD25+ Tregs, but in different proportions. Thus the balance between Th 2 and certain Treg populations may decide whether clinical allergy will develop. Ig E, like all immunoglobulins is synthesized by B lymphocytes (B cells) under the regulation of cytokines derived from Th 2 lymphocytes. Two signals are required. IL-4 or IL-3 provides the first essential signal that drives B cells to IgE production by inducing epsilon-germline gene transcription. In the case of IgE-expressing memory B cells, these cytokines induce clonal expansion. The second signal is a co-stimulatory interaction between CD 40 ligand on the T-cell surface and CD 40 on the B-cell surface. This signal promotes B-cell activation and switch recombination for the production of IgE [26-29]. Once produced by B-cells IgE antibodies attack on high-affinity receptors (FcεRI) on the surface of mast cells and basophils, rendering them "sensitized". This induces the classical allergic reaction at the cellular level.

**Pathophysiology of AR**

About the inflammatory consequences of AR in the nose and the role of many biological products, many assumptions are made. Information is obtained from snapshot imaging of the nasal mucosa from animal models and from basic knowledge about the in vitro activity of various mediators, chemokines, cytokines and so on. Yet, little confirmation is available on the precise role of the in vivo setting in AR, as pharmacologic or other inhibitory or blocking approaches do not exist or failed to produce significant clinical results. IgE coats the mast cells. When the specific protein (e.g., pollen, grain) is inhaled into the nose, it can bind to the IgE on the mast cells, leading to immediate and delayed release of mediators [30-32]. The mediators that are immediately released include, histamine, tryptase, chymase, kinins and heparins [31,32]. The mast cells quickly synthesize other mediators, including leukotrienes and prostaglandin D 2 [33-35]. These mediators lead to the symptoms of rhinorrhea, (i.e., nasal congestion, sneezing, itching, redness, tearing, swelling, ear pressure and postnasal drip). Mucous glands are stimulated, leading to increased secretions. Vascular permeability is increased, leading to plasma exudation. Vasodilatation results in congestion and pressure. Sensory nerves are stimulated, resulting in sneezing and itching. All of these events can occur in minutes. This is called the early or immediate phase of the allergic reaction.

The late phase response occurs 4-8 hours later. Recruitment of other inflammatory cells to the nasal mucosa, such as neutrophils, eosinophils, lymphocytes and macrophages, is ordered [36]. The symptoms of the late phase are similar to the early phase, but with less sneezing and itching and more congestion and mucus production. The late phase may persist for hours or days. Systemic effects including fatigue, sleepiness and malaise can occur, contributing to impaired quality of life [36]. Irritant triggers such as smoke, nicotine, pollution and strong smells can aggravate symptoms in a patient with AR. These are also common triggers of vasomotor rhinitis. Many patients have both AR and vasomotor rhinitis [37]. Other patients describe year-round symptoms, instead of seasonal AR. This could be consistent with nonallergic rhinitis, but perennial allergens, such as dust mite or animal exposure should also be considered in this situation. With chronic exposure and chronic symptoms, the patient may not be able to associate symptoms with a particular trigger [38].

**Diagnosis of AR**

Recently, the British Society of Allergy and Clinical Immunology (BSACI) released updated guidelines for the diagnosis and management of AR and non-allergic rhinitis, first published in 2007 by its Standards of Care Committee [39].
For the diagnosis AR a detailed history is required including seasonality (pollen,moulds),indoors or outdoors location (dust mite,presence of house pets), work location (occupational), improvement of holidays and relationship to potential triggers which can impact on the patient's quality of life. Rhinorrhoea is either anterior,posterior or both. Secretions can be clear or yellow-green indicating infection. Nasal obstruction can be partial or complete and the severity often correlates with systemic manifestations. Unilateral obstruction is most likely due to septal deviation,while bilateral obstruction is caused mostly to rhinitis or nasal polyps. Severe crusting especially high inside the nose is an unusual symptom in rhinitis and requires further investigation. The eye symptoms of AR include intense itching,redness and swelling of the white of the eye, watering,lid swelling and in severe cases periorbital edema,which can be aggravated by eye rubbing. Lower respiratory tract symptoms as cough, wheeze, shortness of breath can occur with AR alone,since bronchial hyperreactivity can be induced by upper airway inflammation [40,41].

Disorders of the upper and lower respiratory tract often coexist.Eighty percent of asthmatics have AR. Other symptoms associated with AR are snoring sleep problems,repeated snifing,and nasal intonation of the voice. The pollen-food syndrome is triggered by ingestion of cross-reacting antigens in some fruits,vegetables and nuts [42]. A proportion of patients suffering from AR,mainly seasonal, have an associated hyper-reactivity,which is generally not recognized or treated. A diagnosis of AR is more likely when rhinitis is seasonal or with a family history of AR. However it can arise de novo. A number of drugs can cause or aggravate AR symptoms. A drug history should include details of the use of alpha-and beta blockers and other antihypertensives (ACE inhibitors), aspirin and NSAIDs, cocaine and chlorpromazine, alcohol, spicy foods, peppers and sulphites,and oral contraceptives. At anterior rhinoscopy hypertrophic,pale and boggy inferior or middle turbinates suggest inflammation,but nasal appearance may be normal in AR. Nasal endoscopy is more specific than rhinoscopy and alters the diagnosis in up to a fifth of patients with nasal disease [43]. Allergen-specific IgE can be detected with skin prick tests (SPTs) or by serum immunoassay (RAST). SPTs should be carried out routinely to determine if the rhinitis is allergic or non-allergic and have a high negative predictive value. They should be interpreted in the light of the clinical history.

At least 15% of people with a positive SPT do not develop symptoms on exposure to the relevant allergen. [44]. Prick to prick tests with fresh food can be used to diagnose oral allergy syndrome. Serum IgE may be requested when skin tests are not possible or when the SPT together with the clinical history give unequivocal results. Currently available SPTs and allergen-specific IgE show similar sensitivity for house dust mite, but SPTs are more sensitive to other inhalant allergens such as cat epithelium,mould and grass pollen. [45]. Laboratory investigations are guided by the history, examination and results of SPTs. They can include: Full blood count (FBC) and differential white cell count; C-reactive protein; immunoglobulin profile; microbiological examination of sputum and sinus swabs, when chronic infection is suspected. In unexplained nasal obstruction thyroid function tests can be ordered. Asialotransferrin assay is used for identification of CSF (cerebrospinal fluid) leakage. Urine toxicology is performed when cocaine abuse is suspected. The presence of eosinophils in cytologic specimen may be helpful in predicting response to corticosteroids [46,47]. Radiology is not routinely recommended for simple rhinitis. However, when rhinosinusitis or nasal polyposis is suspected, especially non-responsive to therapy, CT scan is helpful. Nasal challenge may be useful to confirm aspirin sensitivity or in occupational rhinitis. Measurements of lung function should be considered in all patients with persistent rhinitis. ENT referral is required for patients with unilateral symptoms, heavily blood stained discharge or pain. Those with nasal blockage unrelied by pharmacotherapy or structural abnormalities,such as septal deviation,making nasal therapy difficult, should be seen by an ENT surgeon.

Management of AR

Allergen avoidance clearly works in seasonal allergy rhinoconjunctivitis, which is traditionally in spring. However, climate change might prolong the pollen season. This extension of the pollen season could be due to a prolonged flowering time of certain species, or the appearance of new species, that flower in late summer eg common ragweed. Trees such as birches and planes might produce larger quantities of pollen, which could result in more severe symptoms. Climate change could cause an increase in heavy thunderstorms on summer days in the grass pollen season, which are known to increase the chance of asthma exacerbations. Hay-fever season will be prolonged through the year [48]. For patients with house dust mite-sensitive AR, the situation is complicated by the difficulties of reducing exposure to mites in the home. A systematic review of trials of mite allergen avoidance in rhinitis concluded that trials are generally small and of poor methodologically quality and meta-analysis could not be performed [49]. Large studies of a combination of strategies to reduce exposure to dust mites have not been conducted, but should probably include measures to reduce mites in cars, at school and work. For occupational AR complete avoidance of exposure to the causal agent is recommended [50].

a. Allergen avoidance measures that can be taken are:

b. Encase mattress, pillow and duvet in allergen-impermeable fabric,

c. Use of acaricides on carpets and soft furnishings,

d. minimizing outdoor activity when pollen is highest (early morning, early evening, during mowing),

e. Avoiding going out during or after thunderstorms
Antihistamines in AR

Antihistamines are available as oral, intranasal and ocular preparations. All demonstrate clinical efficacy. Second generation antihistamines are long-acting and are largely non-sedating and have no clinically significant anticholinergic activity at therapeutic doses, although there is variation in individual susceptibility to such effects [63]. Oral antihistamines reduce mean daily rhinitis symptoms scores, in absolute terms, by an estimated 7% versus placebo [64], and can significantly improve quality of life [65,66]. They act predominantly on neurally mediated symptoms of itch, sneeze and rhinorrhea and have only a modest effect on nasal congestion [67-73]. Additionally, they reduce histamine driven symptoms as itch [74] at sites other than just the nose as conjunctiva, palate and skin [75-77]. They should be used regularly rather than “as needed” use in persistent rhinitis [78,79]. Acrivistine has the fastest onset of action, but needs to be used 8-hourly. Fexofenadine is the least sedating oral anti-histamine with a wide therapeutic index. First generation antihistamines are less useful due to sedation and cognitive impairment, which can worsen driving and examination results already impaired by rhinitis [58,59]. Their use is not recommended. Antihistamines with an anti-cholinergic effect are associated with dementia [81].

Second generation antihistamines as terfenadine and astemizole were implicated in deaths from ventricular fibrillation via QT interval prolongation [82]. Ebastine and mizolastine also need to be used with caution in those with cardiac risk factors [83], but even ceterizine, desloratidine, diphenhydramine, fexofenadine, loratidine were possibly associated with cardiac arrhythmias in a single large European pharmacovigilance study [84]. Antihistamines have a place in first line therapy for mild to moderate intermittent and mild persistent rhinitis, and as an addition to intranasal steroids for moderate persistent severe rhinitis uncontrolled on topical intranasal corticosteroids alone, particularly when eye symptoms are present [85-87].

Topical H1-antihistamines are superior in attenuating rhinitis symptoms [88] and in decreasing nasal obstruction to oral antihistamines, although they do not improve symptoms due to histamine at other sites, such as skin [89,90]. There is a rapid onset of action (15 minutes), faster than oral antihistamines [91]. They can be effective in patients who have previously failed oral antihistamines. Treatment with both an intranasal and oral antihistamine confers no additional advantage in alleviating nasal symptoms [92]. They are less effective than an intranasal steroid in relieving the symptoms of allergic rhinitis. Adverse effects include local irritation and taste disturbance (dysgeusia) withazelastine [93].

Corticosteroid therapy in AR

Topical corticosteroids are the mainstay of anti-inflammatory interventions in AR. Factors which need consideration are systemic drug bioavailability, safety and cost [94]. Ease of device use may influence concordance. Intranasal corticosteroids (INS) reduces all symptoms by about 17% more than placebo, with a variable effect on associated allergic conjunctivitis [95,96]. Meta-analysis shows that INS is superior to oral antihistamines or leukotriene receptor antagonist alone on all aspects of allergic rhinitis [64,97]. Onset of action is 6-8 hours after the first dose, clinical improvement may not be apparent until after 2 weeks [97]. Starting treatment two weeks prior to a known allergy season improves efficacy [98]. Clinical efficacy is similar for all INS, but bioavailability varies considerably. The systemic absorption is negligible with mometasone furoate, fluticasone furoate and fluticasone propionate and these preparations are favoured for children. Systemic absorption is modest for the...
remainedand high for betamethasone which should be used short-term only [99,100]. Local nasal irritation, sore throat and epistaxis affect around 10% of users.Reduction of local adverse effects,such as nasal crusting,bleeding and pain can be achieved in many cases by correct use of the intranasal device.Nasal drops are useful for severe obstruction and should be used in the "head upside down" position to reach the ostiomeatal complex. Raised intra-ocular pressure has been described with INS,thus limiting its use in patients with a predisposition to high ocular pressure or glaucoma [101]. INS are a first line of therapy for moderate to severe persistent symptoms [101], possibly combined with a short-term nasal decongestant [97,102].

**Combination therapy in AR**

INS demonstrate similar or greater efficacy to an oral antihistamine plus a leukotriene receptor antagonist [103,104]. Currently available as a combination spray containing azelastine and fluticasone propionate,Dysmysta, leads to greater symptom improvement,than using either agent alone in seasonal AR [105]. All symptoms of allergic rhinitis were significantly improved with onset of action by 30 minutes [106]. The combination approach leads to clinical improvement of symptoms earlier than with azelastine or fluticasone monotherapy [105]. Ocular symptoms of allergy were better treated with the combination spray rather than fluticasone or azelastine alone [106]. Efficacy over fluticasone is demonstrated in perennial allergic rhinitis [107]. Combination of topical antihistamines with INS should be used in patients when symptoms remain uncontrolled on antihistamines or INS or on a combination of both. There are no trials of oral steroid use and efficacy in AR, although there is grade A evidence in chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyposis where inflammation is more severe. During severe exacerbation despite compliance on conventional pharmacotherapy, it is important to ensure intranasal steroid therapy is co-administered alongside oral steroids with or without a short-term decongestant spray to allow intranasal drug penetration.

There is no definite consensus on the dose and duration of systemic steroid therapy. A suggested regime for adults is 0.5mg per kg for 5-10 days.Frequent oral steroid rescue should prompt immunotherapy as a treatment option. Topical decongestant formulations allow relief of nasal congestion via vasoconstriction within minutes,faster and with greater impact than intranasal steroids [108,109]. A decongestant spray may allow delivery of intranasal drugs beyond the inferior turbinates. For example,oxymetazoline and fluticasone furoate when used together further improved nasal congestion more than either alone [109]. Only short term use, fewer than 10 days, is recommended as a paradoxical increase in nasal congestion secondary to rebound vasodilatation (rhinitis medicamentosa) can occur [110]. Topical decongestants are used in eustachian tube dysfunction when flying. They are used to increase nasal patency before douching or intranasal administration of nasal steroids [111]. Oral decongestants, pseudoephedrine, are weakly effective in reducing symptoms [112] and have many side effects, so they are not recommended [113].

**Anti-leukotrienes in AR**

Two approaches have been taken to developing antileukotriene drugs. The first is the development of synthesis inhibitors that are either direct inhibitors of the 5-lipoxygenase enzyme or antagonists of the essential cofactor 5-lipoxygenase-activating protein (FLAP) [114]. The second approach has been to develop receptor antagonists. Zyrlo is a 5-lipoxygenase inhibitor. Montelukast (Singular), pranlukast (ONON), and zafirlukast (Accolate) are leukotriene receptor antagonists [114]. They have a therapeutic profile similar to antihistamines, with efficacy comparable to loratadine in seasonal AR [115], and are less effective than topical nasal corticosteroids (INS) [115-118]. The response is less consistent than that observed with antihistamines [118-120]. LTRAs reduce rhinitis symptoms by 5% more than placebo [64]. Combination of an antileukotriene plus antihistamine has no advantage over either drug used alone [121-125], and is not any more effective than INS alone [101,125]. Antileukotrienes may have a place in patients with seasonal AR [126]. They are usually well tolerated. Occasionally, headache, gastrointestinal symptoms or rashes can occur. Neuropsychiatric symptoms have been reported in children. There is a possible causal link between LTRA use and eosinophilic polyangiitis [127,128].

**Topical anticholinergics in AR**

Ipratropium bromide decreases rhinorrhea, particularly if neurogenic rather than inflammatory, in origin. It has no effect on other nasal symptoms [129-133]. Regular use may be effective as an "add on" for allergic rhinitis when watery rhinorrhea persists despite INS and antihistamines [130,133].

**Chromones in AR**

The chromones sodium cromoglycate and nedocromil sodium inhibit the degranulation of sensitized mast cells, inhibiting the release of mediators [134]. Sodium cromoglycate is weakly effective in rhinitis with some effect on nasal obstruction [135,136]. Cromoglycate and nedocromil eye drops are useful in conjunctivitis as topical therapy [137].

**Immunotherapy in AR**

Allergen immunotherapy (AIT) is the only class for respiratory allergy that has the potential to change the course of the disease. Its immunological mechanisms of action involve induction of allergen-specific immune tolerance. Respiratory allergy is nowadays considered a single condition which affects both upper and lower airways integrated in the "one airway" concept. The association of allergic rhinitis (AR) and asthma has been extensively established and the common mechanistic pathways leading to inflammation also share multiple characteristics. Also, it is well known that AR frequently precedes the onset of asthma, allowing a window of opportunity to initiate allergen immunotherapy.
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for intervention [102]. AIT is the simultaneous treatment of all clinical expressions of respiratory allergy, that is from rhinoconjunctivitis to asthma [138]. AIT may be preventive in two modes; decreasing the risk of developing asthma in patients which only suffer AR and diminishing the tendency of the allergic patients to become sensitized to further allergens [139].

Subcutaneous allergen immunotherapy in AR

Subcutaneous allergen immunotherapy (SCIT) has extensively been evaluated in AR, as this has been the primary indication of AIT. Data provided by different meta-analysis of published trials have shown, that it is an effective treatment, decreasing both symptom and medication scores [140,141]. In a Cochrane meta-analysis for both seasonal rhinitis due to pollens the evidence level was 1+++ and for perennial rhinitis due to house dust mite the evidence level was 1+ [140,142]. There are few randomized controlled trials of immunotherapy for cat allergy [143,144]. SCIT requires weekly up-dosing regimens, followed by 4-6 weekly maintenance injections for 3-5 years. Pre-seasonal SCIT is effective for pollen allergy. In view of the risk of systemic side-effects SCIT should only be given in specialist clinics by trained personnel with immediate access to adrenaline and resuscitation facilities [145].

Sublingual immunotherapy in AR

In the last decades sublingual immunotherapy (SLIT) has become a widely used form of AIT. Again meta-analysis of studies has assessed its efficacy, indicating a reduction in symptoms and the need of medication [146-149]. Nevertheless, and despite meta-analysis are nowadays considered to hold the highest degree of evidence, critical appraisals have been published and should also be carefully considered [149,150]. Assessment of AIT in asthma has only been adequately reported in trials to address this aim. In trials where the primary indication of AIT was rhinitis, it is difficult to infer the effect on asthma symptoms, either because they have not been examined, because patients with asthma symptoms were excluded, or because the low number of patients with asthma or the mildness of the disease renders underpowered results to draw conclusions [151,152]. A recent Cochrane review on SLIT for asthma was unable to reach conclusions on the efficacy due to the lack of data for important outcomes such as exacerbations and quality of life and use of non-validated symptoms and medication scores according to the authors [153]. SLIT has emerged as an effective and safe alternative for the treatment of allergic rhinitis with or without seasonal asthma. SLIT is well tolerated with side effects largely confined to local itching and swelling in the mouth and the throat.

After supervision of the first dose by the prescribing physician with a one-hour period observation, SLIT is self-administered daily at home. SLIT has an excellent safety record, although there are case reports of systemic reactions and of eosinophilic esophagitis, but no deaths have been reported. Oral antihistamines given prior to SLIT initiation and for the first two weeks of the course of therapy can reduce local irritation. Recently, Odactra (Merck), a house dust mite (Dermatophagoides faminae and Dermatophagus pteronyssinus) allergen extract was approved by the FDA (March, 1, 2017), for the treatment of adults aged 18-65 years with house dust mite (HDM) induced AR, with or without conjunctivitis, confirmed by in vitro testing for IgE antibodies to Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus house dust mite, or skin testing to licensed house dust mite allergen extracts. Odactra is not indicated for the immediate relief of symptoms of HDM allergy. The safety and efficacy of Odactra were evaluated in a large clinical trial program that included over 6000 patients [154]. Immunotherapy is the only treatment that can modify the course of allergic rhinitis (AR), with long-term remission following discontinuation [155]. Subcutaneous immunotherapy in children with seasonal rhinitis reduces progression to asthma, an effect that persisted for 10 years [155]. Immunotherapy may prevent development of new sensitizations.

Complementary therapies in AR

The evidence levels for all complementary therapies, including acupuncture, herbal medicine, phototherapy and homeopathy are not considered sufficient for recommendation in AR.

Conclusion

Allergic rhinitis (AR) is a rising burden on patients and society since the last decades. Industrialization, globalization, air pollution and climate change play a definite role. About the inflammatory consequences of AR in the nose and the role of biological products many assumptions are made. Information is obtained from a snapshot imaging the nasal mucosa of animal models and from basic knowledge about the in vitro activity of various mediators, chemokines, cytokines, etc. Yet, little information is available on the precise role of the in vivo setting. It is not known how aerial particulate matter triggers and interferes with the early and late phase allergic reaction. Allergy avoidance is notably difficult. Antihistamines score 7% better than placebo [64] and intranasal steroids score 17% better than placebo, with a variable effect on associated allergic conjunctivitis [95,96]. Antileukotrienes have a similar profile to antihistamines and are less effective than topical corticosteroids. Topical anticholinergics decrease rhinorrhea rather than inflammation. The chromones inhibit the degranulation of mast cells inhibiting the release of mediators. They are weakly effective in AR and nasal obstruction. Only allergen immunotherapy (AIT) is able to change the course of AR. As AR frequently precedes the onset of asthma, AIT offers a window of opportunity for intervention [102]. Subcutaneous immunotherapy (SCIT) in children with seasonal AR reduces progression to asthma, an effect that persisted for 10 years [155]. Immunotherapy prevents developments of new sensitizations (159,160). Sublingual immunotherapy has emerged as an effective and safe alternative for the treatment of AR and is self-administered at home after a supervised first-dose observation. Future research should be directed at the role of triggers as nicotine, smoke and particulate matter in the immunology process involved in AR.
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