



An Original Article for Assessment of Multimodality Imaging Based Precise Radiation Therapy (Rt) in the Management of Recurrent Pancreatic Cancers



Selcuk Demiral*, Ferrat Dincoglan, Omer Sager, Murat Beyzadeoglu

Department of Radiation Oncology; University of Health Sciences, Gulhane Medical Faculty, Ankara, Turkey

Submission: June 24, 2022; Published: August 01, 2022

*Corresponding author: Selcuk Demiral, University of Health Sciences, Gulhane Medical Faculty, Department of Radiation Oncology, Ankara / Turkey

Abstract

Objective: Department of Radiation Oncology, Gulhane Medical Faculty, University of Health Sciences serves as a tertiary cancer center and referral institution for management of a plethora of malignancies. The aim of this study is to assess the use of multimodality imaging based radiation therapy (RT) for recurrent pancreatic cancers.

Materials and Methods: In this study, patents referred for radiotherapeutic management of recurrent pancreatic cancer were assessed in terms of multimodality imaging based RT. We investigated whether multimodality imaging improves target, critical organ, and treatment volume definition along with interobserver and intraobserver variations. We planned a comparative analysis regarding critical organ and RT target definitions based on Computed Tomography (CT) simulation images only or by integration of Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) in the RT planning process.

Results: In this original research article, patients referred for radiotherapeutic management of recurrent pancreatic cancer at our tertiary referral institution were assessed for target and critical organ definition by use of multimodality imaging. Target and critical organ definition by either CT-only imaging or by CT-MR registration based imaging has been assessed comparatively. As the primary endpoint of our study, we have identified that ground truth target volume was identical with the CT-MR registration based imaging for radiotherapeutic management of patients with recurrent pancreatic cancer.

Conclusion: Our study indicates improved target and critical organ definition through the use of multimodality imaging for radiotherapeutic management of recurrent pancreatic cancers. Admittedly, future studies are needed to shed light on this critical issue.

Keywords: Recurrent pancreatic cancer; Radiation therapy (RT); Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)

Introduction

Pancreatic cancer is a leading cause of cancer related morbidity and mortality universally [1-5]. While the incidence is not as frequent as most common cancers such as lung cancer, breast cancer, prostate cancer, and colorectal cancers; a considerable proportion of patients afflicted with pancreatic cancer succumb to their disease usually within a relatively shorter timeframe. Prognosis is typically grim. Surgical resection is the principle modality of treatment, however, complete surgical resection may not be feasible for majority of patients due to invasion of vital structures [6-8]. Multidisciplinary management with surgery, radiation therapy (RT), and systemic treatment

may be considered to improve the therapeutic ratio for patients suffering from pancreatic cancers. The role of RT with different techniques and sequencing has been addressed in the literature [9-17]. Adverse effects of irradiation and additional burden on the patients comprise a major concern for radiotherapeutic management. Within this context, improving the toxicity profile of radiation delivery should be regarded as an indispensable aspect of contemporary radiotherapeutic management in the millenium era.

Optimal target definition is a very critical step for RT, and several studies have underscored the importance of accuracy

and precision in contouring [9-17]. There may be differences in delineation, and this critical part of RT process may unfortunately lead to treatment failures or excessive toxicity in some patients. The most common practice in a considerable proportion of RT centers includes the utilization of Computed Tomography (CT) for radiation treatment simulation and planning. CT is a viable tool for dose calculation and treatment purposes, however, use of CT as the only imaging modality for RT planning may lead to inadequate definition of treatment volumes. From this standpoint, utilization of multimodality imaging may aid in optimal target and critical organ definition for radiotherapeutic management of pancreatic cancers [23-25]. Herein, we assess the use of multimodality imaging based RT for recurrent pancreatic cancers.

Materials and methods

Department of Radiation Oncology, Gulhane Medical Faculty, University of Health Sciences serves as a tertiary cancer center and referral institution for management of a plethora of malignancies. In this study, patients referred for radiotherapeutic management of recurrent pancreatic cancer were assessed in terms of multimodality imaging based RT. We investigated whether multimodality imaging improves target, critical organ, and treatment volume definition along with interobserver and intraobserver variations. We planned a comparative analysis regarding critical organ and RT target definitions based on CT simulation images only or by integration of Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) in the RT planning process. For the purpose of comparative analysis and to be utilized for actual treatment, a ground truth target volume has been determined for each patient by board certified radiation oncologists after meticulous evaluation, colleague peer review, and consensus. The individualized decision making procedure for treatment with RT has involved multidisciplinary input from relevant disciplines of surgical oncology and medical oncology. Patient, disease, and treatment characteristics including age, symptomatology, previous therapies, lesion size, localization and association with normal tissues, contemplated outcomes of suggested therapies, patient preferences and logistical issues were considered to achieve optimal patient selection for precise RT.

We used Synergy (Elekta, UK) linear accelerator (LINAC) to administer precise RT. Included patients individually underwent CT simulation at the available CT simulator (GE Lightspeed RT, GE Healthcare, Chalfont St. Giles, UK) for acquisition of high quality RT planning images. After the CT simulation procedure, acquired RT planning images were sent to the delineation workstation (SimMD, GE, UK) by the network. Structure sets including the target volumes and critical organs were outlined. Target volume and critical organ definition was performed by either the CT simulation images only or by registered CT and MR images. For the purpose of this study, we carried out a comparative analysis for assessment of target and critical organ definition by CT only and with incorporation of CT-MR registration based imaging to investigate the impact of multimodality imaging.

Results

In this original research article, patients referred for radiotherapeutic management of recurrent pancreatic cancer at our tertiary referral institution were assessed for target and critical organ definition by use of multimodality imaging. Target and critical organ definition by either CT-only imaging or by CT-MR registration based imaging has been assessed comparatively. Evaluated tumor-related parameters were lesion size, location and association with normal tissues. Moreover, symptomatology, performance status, patient age, logistical issues, lesion location and association with other critical structures were also considered. Reports by American Association of Physicists in Medicine (AAPM) and International Commission on Radiation Units and Measurements (ICRU) were considered in state of the art RT planning. Through the detailed consideration of contemporary guidelines and clinical experience, our radiation physicists took role in generation of RT plans with consideration of critical organ dose constraints. Electron density, tissue heterogeneity, CT number and HU values in CT images have also been considered by radiation physicists for precision in RT planning. Most important objective in RT planning was achievement of optimal target volume coverage without jeopardizing critical organ dose constraints. Determination of ground truth target volume has been carried out by the board certified radiation oncologists after thorough evaluation, rigorous colleague peer review, and consensus. Ground truth target volume has been utilized for actual treatment and for comparison purposes. We made use of Image Guided Radiotherapy (IGRT) techniques including the kilovoltage cone beam CT and electronic digital portal imaging. RT delivery was achieved by use of Synergy (Elekta, UK) LINAC. As the primary endpoint of our study, we have identified that ground truth target volume was identical with the CT-MR registration based imaging for radiotherapeutic management of patients with recurrent pancreatic cancer.

Discussion

Currently, pancreatic cancer remains to be a major cause of cancer related morbidity and mortality on a global scale [1-5]. The incidence of pancreatic cancer is lower than many common malignancies including lung cancer, breast cancer, prostate cancer, and colorectal cancers, nevertheless, a considerable proportion of pancreatic cancer patients typically succumb to their disease within a relatively shorter timeframe. From this perspective, the prognosis may be considered as poor with a relentless disease course in many afflicted patients. Surgery offers a viable local treatment modality to improve therapeutic outcomes, however, complete surgical removal of pancreatic cancers may not be achieved for majority of the patients in view of intimate association of the tumor with critical structures [6-8]. Efforts have been focused on multidisciplinary management with surgical resection, RT, and systemic treatment to achieve the best possible treatment results. The role of RT in the neoadjuvant, adjuvant, and intraoperative setting by utilization

of different techniques and sequencing has been addressed in the literature [9-17]. Nevertheless, adverse irradiation effects and the additional burden on the patients currently comprise a critical concern for RT. In view of this fact, any improvement in the toxicity profile of RT should be considered as an important aspect of sophisticated RT strategies in the millenium era. Clearly, optimization of target definition composes a very critical step for successful RT applications, and the importance of accuracy and precision in delineation has been emphasized by experts [9-17].

Contouring practice may show diversities between different centers, and this very important component of the RT procedure may potentially result in treatment failures or adverse irradiation effects in some patients. Use of CT for RT simulation and planning is very frequent among cancer treatment facilities. CT serves as a viable technique for dose calculation and treatment purposes, however, utilization of CT as the sole imaging modality for RT planning may not be adequate for optimal definition of target volumes and critical organs. With this in mind, use of multimodality imaging may judiciously assist in optimal target and critical organ definition for radiotherapeutic management of pancreatic cancers [23-25]. As a matter of fact, adverse effects of RT should be vigilantly considered to get rid of undesired consequences. Exploitation of innovatory IGRT techniques, adaptive RT (ART) approaches, and improved target and critical organ definition may be considered among the several aspects of sophisticated RT strategies to achieve an improved therapeutic ratio. Target definition composes an indispensable component of the RT process, and indeed, incorporation of multimodality imaging techniques and image fusion methods has been shown to result in improved target definition for a variety of tumors. As yet, there has been a growing body of data supporting the utility of multimodality imaging for improved target definition [26-61].

In the meantime, CT simulation remains to be a useful tool for RT planning for pancreatic cancers. CT may remain to serve as a viable imaging modality, however, integration and combined utilization of other imaging modalities such as MRI may lead to improved target definition. In the current study, we have assessed RT target and critical organ delineation for recurrent pancreatic cancers by use of multimodality imaging and revealed that target and critical organ definition might be improved by use of multimodality imaging. Taking this into account, the current study may have clinical implications and offer additional data in support of improved target and critical organ determination through the incorporation of multimodality imaging in the target and critical organ definition process.

Several advances have been achieved in the field of radiation oncology in recent years with the introduction of stereotactic radiotherapy, molecular imaging methods, automatic segmentation techniques, intensity modulated radiotherapy (IMRT), IGRT, and ART [62-100]. By use of these state of the art approaches and strategies, accuracy and precision in target

definition has been a more critical part of current management. In this regard, we consider that this original research article could possess pertinent clinical implications for routinization of multimodality imaging for improved target and critical organ determination of recurrent pancreatic cancers.

Conclusion

In conclusion, this study indicates improved target and critical organ definition through the use of multimodality imaging for radiotherapeutic management of recurrent pancreatic cancers. Admittedly, future studies are needed to shed light on this critical issue.

Conflict of Interest

There are no conflicts of interest and no acknowledgements.

References

1. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Fuchs HE, Jemal A (2022) Cancer statistics, 2022. *CA Cancer J Clin* 72(1): 7-33.
2. Lippi G, Mattiuzzi C (2020) The global burden of pancreatic cancer. *Arch Med Sci* 16(4): 820-824.
3. McGuigan A, Kelly P, Turkington RC, Jones C, Coleman HG, et al. (2018) Pancreatic cancer: A review of clinical diagnosis, epidemiology, treatment and outcomes. *World J Gastroenterol* 24(43): 4846-4861.
4. Ushio J, Kanno A, Ikeda E, Ando K, Nagai H, et al. (2021) Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma: Epidemiology and Risk Factors. *Diagnostics (Basel)* 11(3): 562.
5. Hu JX, Zhao CF, Chen WB, Liu QC, Li QW, et al. (2021) Pancreatic cancer: A review of epidemiology, trend, and risk factors. *World J Gastroenterol* 27(27): 4298-4321.
6. Karunakaran M, Barreto SG (2021) Surgery for pancreatic cancer: current controversies and challenges. *Future Oncol* 17(36): 5135-5162.
7. Clancy TE (2015) Surgery for Pancreatic Cancer. *Hematol Oncol Clin North Am* 29(4): 701-716.
8. Poruk KE, Weiss MJ (2015) The current state of surgery for pancreatic cancer. *Minerva Gastroenterol Dietol* 61(2): 101-115.
9. Brunner TB, Seufferlein T (2016) Pancreatic cancer chemoradiotherapy. *Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol* 30(4): 617-628.
10. Heinrich S, Lang H (2017) Neoadjuvant Therapy of Pancreatic Cancer: Definitions and Benefits. *Int J Mol Sci* 18(8): 1622.
11. Buss EJ, Kachnic LA, Horowitz DP (2021) Radiotherapy for locally advanced pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Semin Oncol* 48(1): 106-110.
12. Combs SE (2015) Individualized radiotherapy (iRT) concepts for locally advanced pancreatic cancer (LAPC): indications and prognostic factors. *Langenbecks Arch Surg* 400(7): 749-756.
13. Ferrantella A, Dudeja V, Are C (2019) Radiotherapy as an Adjunct to Surgery for Pancreatic Cancer: Where Are We After More Than 30 Years of Research and Trials? *Ann Surg Oncol* 26(13): 4166-4167.
14. Bhome R, Karavias D, Armstrong T, Hamady Z, Arshad A, et al. (2021) Intraoperative radiotherapy for pancreatic cancer: implementation and initial experience. *Br J Surg* 108(12): e400-e401.

15. Koay EJ, Hanania AN, Hall WA, Taniguchi CM, Rebuena N, et al. (2020) Dose-Escalated Radiation Therapy for Pancreatic Cancer: A Simultaneous Integrated Boost Approach. *Pract Radiat Oncol* 10(6): e495-e507.
16. Ciabatti S, Cammelli S, Frakulli R, Arcelli A, Macchia G, et al. (2019) Radiotherapy of pancreatic cancer in older patients: A systematic review. *J Geriatr Oncol* 10(4): 534-539.
17. de Geus SWL, Eskander MF, Kasumova GG, Ng SC, Kent TS, et al. (2017) Stereotactic body radiotherapy for unresected pancreatic cancer: A nationwide review. *Cancer* 123(21): 4158-4167.
18. Brunner TB, Haustermans K, Huguet F, Morganti AG, Mukherjee S, et al. (2021) ESTRO ACROP guidelines for target volume definition in pancreatic cancer. *Radiother Oncol* 154: 60-69.
19. Yu W, Hu W, Shui Y, Zhu X, Li C, et al. (2016) Pancreatic cancer adjuvant radiotherapy target volume design: based on the postoperative local recurrence spatial location. *Radiat Oncol* 11(1): 138.
20. Caravatta L, Macchia G, Mattiucci GC, Sainato A, Cernusco NL, et al. (2014) Inter-observer variability of clinical target volume delineation in radiotherapy treatment of pancreatic cancer: a multi-institutional contouring experience. *Radiat Oncol* 9: 198.
21. Goodman KA, Regine WF, Dawson LA, Ben-Josef E, Haustermans K, et al. (2012) Radiation Therapy Oncology Group consensus panel guidelines for the delineation of the clinical target volume in the postoperative treatment of pancreatic head cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 83(3): 901-908.
22. Caravatta L, Sallustio G, Pacelli F, Padula GD, Deodato F, et al. (2012) Clinical target volume delineation including elective nodal irradiation in preoperative and definitive radiotherapy of pancreatic cancer. *Radiat Oncol* 7: 86.
23. Dalah E, Moraru I, Paulson E, Erickson B, Li XA (2014) Variability of target and normal structure delineation using multimodality imaging for radiation therapy of pancreatic cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 89(3): 633-640.
24. Heerkens HD, Hall WA, Li XA, Knechtges P, Dalah E, et al. (2017) Recommendations for MRI-based contouring of gross tumor volume and organs at risk for radiation therapy of pancreatic cancer. *Pract Radiat Oncol* 7(2): 126-136.
25. Caravatta L, Cellini F, Simoni N, Rosa C, Niespolo RM, et al. (2019) Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) compared with computed tomography (CT) for interobserver agreement of gross tumor volume delineation in pancreatic cancer: a multi-institutional contouring study on behalf of the AIRO group for gastrointestinal cancers. *Acta Oncol* 58(4): 439-447.
26. Beyzadeoglu M, Sager O, Demiral S, Dincoglan F (2022) Reappraisal of multimodality imaging for improved Radiation Therapy (RT) target volume determination of recurrent Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma (OSCC): An original article. *J Surg Surgical Res* 8: 004-008.
27. Dincoglan F, Sager O, Demiral S, Beyzadeoglu M (2022) Multimodality imaging based treatment volume definition for recurrent Rhabdomyosarcomas of the head and neck region: An original article. *J Surg Surgical Res* 8(2): 013-018.
28. Dincoglan F, Demiral S, Sager O, Beyzadeoglu M (2022) Appraisal of Target Definition for Management of Paraspinal Ewing Tumors with Modern Radiation Therapy (RT): An Original Article. *Biomed J Sci & Tech Res* 44(4): 35691-35696.
29. Demiral S, Sager O, Dincoglan F, Beyzadeoglu M (2022) Improved Target Volume Definition for Radiotherapeutic Management of Parotid Gland Cancers by use of Multimodality Imaging: An Original Article. *Canc Therapy & Oncol Int J* 21(3): 556062.
30. Sager O, Dincoglan F, Demiral S, Beyzadeoglu M (2021) Evaluation of Changes in Tumor Volume Following Upfront Chemotherapy for Locally Advanced Non Small Cell Lung Cancer (NSCLC). *Glob J Cancer Ther* 7: 031-034.
31. Demiral S, Sager O, Dincoglan F, Beyzadeoglu M (2021) Radiation Therapy (RT) Target Volume Definition for Peripheral Primitive Neuroectodermal Tumor (PPNET) by Use of Multimodality Imaging: An Original Article. *Biomed J Sci & Tech Res* 34: 26970-26974.
32. Sager O, Demiral S, Dincoglan F, Beyzadeoglu M (2021) Assessment of posterior fossa target definition by multimodality imaging for patients with medulloblastoma. *J Surg Surgical Res* 7: 037-041.
33. Dincoglan F, Sager O, Demiral S, Beyzadeoglu M (2021) Assessment of the role of multimodality imaging for treatment volume definition of intracranial ependymal tumors: An original article. *Glob J Cancer Ther* 7: 043-045.
34. Demiral S, Dincoglan F, Sager O, Beyzadeoglu M (2021) Assessment of Multimodality Imaging for Target Definition of Intracranial Chondrosarcomas. *Canc Therapy Oncol Int J* 18(2): 001-005.
35. Dincoglan F, Sager O, Demiral S, Beyzadeoglu M (2021) Impact of Multimodality Imaging to Improve Radiation Therapy (RT) Target Volume Definition for Malignant Peripheral Nerve Sheath Tumor (MPNST). *Biomed J Sci Tech Res* 34: 26734-26738.
36. Sager O, Demiral S, Dincoglan F, Beyzadeoglu M (2021) Multimodality Imaging Based Treatment Volume Definition for Reirradiation of Recurrent Small Cell Lung Cancer (SCLC). *Arch Can Res* 9: 1-5.
37. Dincoglan F, Demiral S, Sager O, Beyzadeoglu M (2021) Evaluation of Target Definition for Management of Myxoid Liposarcoma (MLS) with Neoadjuvant Radiation Therapy (RT). *Biomed J Sci Tech Res* 33: 26171-26174.
38. Sager O, Dincoglan F, Demiral S, Beyzadeoglu M (2021) Radiation Therapy (RT) target determination for irradiation of bone metastases with soft tissue component: Impact of multimodality imaging. *J Surg Surgical Res* 7: 042-046.
39. Demiral S, Dincoglan F, Sager O, Beyzadeoglu M (2020) Multimodality Imaging Based Target Definition of Cervical Lymph Nodes in Precise Limited Field Radiation Therapy (Lfrt) for Nodular Lymphocyte Predominant Hodgkin Lymphoma (Nlphl). *ARC Journal of Cancer Science* 6(2): 06-11.
40. Sager O, Dincoglan F, Demiral S, Beyzadeoglu M (2020) Assessment of Target Volume Definition for Irradiation of Hemangiopericytomas: An Original Article. *Canc Therapy & Oncol Int J* 17(2): 555959.
41. Sager O, Dincoglan F, Demiral S, Beyzadeoglu M (2020) Evaluation of Treatment Volume Determination for Irradiation of chordoma: an Original Article. *International Journal of Research Studies in Medical and Health Sciences* 5(10): 3-8
42. Dincoglan F, Sager O, Demiral S, Beyzadeoglu M (2020) Target Definition of orbital Embryonal Rhabdomyosarcoma (Rms) by Multimodality Imaging: An Original Article. *ARC Journal of Cancer Science* 6(2): 12-17.
43. Sager O, Dincoglan F, Demiral S, Beyzadeoglu M (2020) Evaluation of Target Volume Determination for Irradiation of Pilocytic Astrocytomas: An Original Article. *ARC Journal of Cancer Science* 6(1): 1-5.
44. Sager O, Dincoglan F, Demiral S, Beyzadeoglu M (2020) Radiosurgery Treatment Volume Determination for Brain Lymphomas with and without Incorporation of Multimodality Imaging. *Journal of Medical Pharmaceutical and Allied Sciences* 9(1): 2398-2404.
45. Beyzadeoglu M, Dincoglan F, Sager O, Demiral S (2020) Determination of Radiosurgery Treatment Volume for Intracranial Germ Cell Tumors (GCTS). *Asian Journal of Pharmacy, Nursing and Medical Sciences* 8(3): 18-23.

46. Sager O, Demiral S, Dincoglan F, Beyzadeoglu M (2020) Target Volume Definition for Stereotactic Radiosurgery (SRS) Of Cerebral Cavernous Malformations (CCMs). *Canc Therapy & Oncol Int J* 15(4): 555917.
47. Sager O, Dincoglan F, Demiral S, Beyzadeoglu M (2020) Treatment Volume Determination for Irradiation of Recurrent Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma with Multimodality Imaging: An Original Article. *ARC Journal of Cancer Science* 6(2): 18-23.
48. Demiral S, Beyzadeoglu M, Dincoglan F, Sager O (2020) Evaluation of Radiosurgery Target Volume Definition for Tectal Gliomas with Incorporation of Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI): An Original Article. *Biomedical Journal of Scientific & Technical Research (BJSTR)* 27: 20543-20547.
49. Beyzadeoglu M, Dincoglan F, Demiral S, Sager O (2020) Target Volume Determination for Precise Radiation Therapy (RT) of Central Neurocytoma: An Original Article. *International Journal of Research Studies in Medical and Health Sciences* 5(3): 29-34.
50. Dincoglan F, Demiral S, Sager O, Beyzadeoglu M (2020) Utility of Multimodality Imaging Based Target Volume Definition for Radiosurgery of Trigeminal Neuralgia: An Original Article. *Biomed J Sci & Tech Res* 26: 19728-19732.
51. Demiral S, Beyzadeoglu M, Dincoglan F, Sager O (2020) Assessment of Target Volume Definition for Radiosurgery of Atypical Meningiomas with Multimodality Imaging. *Journal of Hematology and Oncology Research* 3: 14-21.
52. Dincoglan F, Beyzadeoglu M, Demiral S, Sager O (2020) Assessment of Treatment Volume Definition for Irradiation of Spinal Ependymomas: an Original Article. *ARC Journal of Cancer Science* 6(1): 1-6.
53. Sager O, Dincoglan F, Demiral S, Gamsiz H, Uysal B, et al. (2019) Evaluation of the Impact of Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) on Gross Tumor Volume (GTV) Definition for Radiation Treatment Planning (RTP) of Inoperable High Grade Gliomas (HGGs). *Concepts in Magnetic Resonance Part A* 2019: 4282754.
54. Sager O, Dincoglan F, Demiral S, Gamsiz H, Uysal B, et al. (2019) Utility of Magnetic Resonance Imaging (Imaging) in Target Volume Definition for Radiosurgery of Acoustic Neuromas. *Int J Cancer Clin Res* 6: 119.
55. Demiral S, Sager O, Dincoglan F, Beyzadeoglu M (2019) Assessment of Computed Tomography (CT) And Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) Based Radiosurgery Treatment Planning for Pituitary Adenomas. *Canc Therapy & Oncol Int J* 13: 555857.
56. Dincoglan F, Sager O, Demiral S, Beyzadeoglu M (2019) Multimodality Imaging for Radiosurgical Management of Arteriovenous Malformations. *Asian Journal of Pharmacy, Nursing and Medical Sciences* 7: 7-12.
57. Sager O, Dincoglan F, Demiral S, Beyzadeoglu M (2019) Evaluation of Radiosurgery Target Volume Determination for Meningiomas Based on Computed Tomography (CT) And Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI). *Cancer Sci Res Open Access* 5: 1-4.
58. Demiral S, Sager O, Dincoglan F, Beyzadeoglu M (2019) Assessment of target definition based on Multimodality imaging for radiosurgical Management of glomus jugulare tumors (GJTs). *Canc Therapy & Oncol Int J* 15: 555909.
59. Beyzadeoglu M, Sager O, Dincoglan F, Demiral S (2019) Evaluation of Target Definition for Stereotactic Reirradiation of Recurrent Glioblastoma. *Arch Can Res* 7: 3.
60. Dincoglan F, Sager O, Demiral S, Beyzadeoglu M (2019) Incorporation of Multimodality Imaging in Radiosurgery Planning for Craniopharyngiomas: An Original Article. *SAJ Cancer Sci* 6: 103.
61. Demiral S, Sager O, Dincoglan F, Uysal B, Gamsiz H, et al. (2018) Evaluation of Target Volume Determination for Single Session Stereotactic Radiosurgery (SRS) of Brain Metastases. *Canc Therapy & Oncol Int J* 12: 555848.
62. Sager O, Dincoglan F, Demiral S, Gamsiz H, Uysal B, et al. (2022) Optimal timing of thoracic irradiation for limited stage small cell lung cancer: Current evidence and future prospects. *World J Clin Oncol* 13(2): 116-124.
63. Sager O, Dincoglan F, Demiral S, Uysal B, Gamsiz H, et al. (2022) Concise review of radiosurgery for contemporary management of pilocytic astrocytomas in children and adults. *World J Exp Med* 12(3): 36-43.
64. Sager O, Dincoglan F, Demiral S, Uysal B, Gamsiz H, et al. (2021) Omission of Radiation Therapy (RT) for Metaplastic Breast Cancer (MBC): A Review Article. *International Journal of Research Studies in Medical and Health Sciences* 6(1): 10-15.
65. Demiral S, Sager O, Dincoglan F, Uysal B, Gamsiz H, et al. (2021) Evaluation of breathing-adapted radiation therapy for right-sided early stage breast cancer patients. *Indian J Cancer* 58(2): 195-200.
66. Sager O, Dincoglan F, Demiral S, Uysal B, Gamsiz H, et al. (2021) Concise review of stereotactic irradiation for pediatric glial neoplasms: Current concepts and future directions. *World J Methodol* 11(3): 61-74.
67. Sager O, Dincoglan F, Demiral S, Uysal B, Gamsiz H, et al. (2020) Adaptive radiation therapy of breast cancer by repeated imaging during irradiation. *World J Radiol* 12(5): 68-75.
68. Sager O, Dincoglan F, Demiral S, Uysal B, Gamsiz H, et al. (2019) Utility of Molecular Imaging with 2-Deoxy-2-[Fluorine-18] Fluoro-DGlucose Positron Emission Tomography (18F-FDG PET) for Small Cell Lung Cancer (SCLC): A Radiation Oncology Perspective. *Curr Radiopharm* 12(1): 4-10.
69. Sager O, Dincoglan F, Demiral S, Uysal B, Gamsiz H, et al. (2019) Breathing adapted radiation therapy for leukemia relapse in the breast: A case report. *World J Clin Oncol* 10(11): 369-374.
70. Sager O, Dincoglan F, Uysal B, Demiral S, Gamsiz H, et al. (2018) Evaluation of adaptive radiotherapy (ART) by use of replanning the tumor bed boost with repeated computed tomography (CT) simulation after whole breast irradiation (WBI) for breast cancer patients having clinically evident seroma. *Jpn J Radiol* 36(6): 401-406.
71. Sager O, Dincoglan F, Uysal B, Demiral S, Gamsiz H, et al. (2017) Splenic Irradiation: A Concise Review of the Literature. *J App Hem Bl Tran* 1: 101.
72. Sager O, Beyzadeoglu M, Dincoglan F, Demiral S, Uysal B, et al. (2015) Adaptive splenic radiotherapy for symptomatic splenomegaly management in myeloproliferative disorders. *Tumori* 101(1): 84-90.
73. Ozsavaş EE, Telatar Z, Dirican B, Sager O, Beyzadeoğlu M (2014) Automatic segmentation of anatomical structures from CT scans of thorax for RTP. *Comput Math Methods Med* 2014: 472890.
74. Dincoglan F, Beyzadeoglu M, Sager O, Oysul K, Kahya YE, et al. (2013) Dosimetric evaluation of critical organs at risk in mastectomized left-sided breast cancer radiotherapy using breath-hold technique. *Tumori* 99(1): 76-82.
75. Sager O, Beyzadeoglu M, Dincoglan F, Oysul K, Kahya YE, et al. (2012) Evaluation of active breathing control-moderate deep inspiration breath-hold in definitive non-small cell lung cancer radiotherapy. *Neoplasma* 59(3): 333-340.
76. Sağar Ö, Dinçođlan F, Gamsiz H, Demiral S, Uysal B, et al. (2012) Evaluation of the impact of integrated [18f]-fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose positron emission tomography/computed tomography imaging on staging and radiotherapy treatment volume definition of nonsmall cell lung cancer. *Gulhane Med J* 54: 220-227.
77. Sager O, Beyzadeoglu M, Dincoglan F, Oysul K, Kahya YE, et al. (2012) The Role of Active Breathing Control-Moderate Deep Inspiration Breath-Hold (ABC-mDIBH) Usage in non-Mastectomized Left-sided Breast Cancer Radiotherapy: A Dosimetric Evaluation UHOD - Uluslararası Hematoloji-Onkoloji Dergisi 22(3): 147-155.

78. Sager O, Beyzadeoglu M, Dincoglan F, Demiral S, Gamsiz H, et al. (2020) Multimodality management of cavernous sinus meningiomas with less extensive surgery followed by subsequent irradiation: Implications for an improved toxicity profile. *J Surg Surgical Res* 6: 056-061.
79. Beyzadeoglu M, Sager O, Dincoglan F, Demiral S, Uysal B, et al. (2020) Single Fraction Stereotactic Radiosurgery (SRS) versus Fractionated Stereotactic Radiotherapy (FSRT) for Vestibular Schwannoma (VS). *J Surg Surgical Res* 6: 062-066.
80. Dincoglan F, Beyzadeoglu M, Sager O, Demiral S, Uysal B, et al. (2020) A Concise Review of Irradiation for Temporal Bone Chemodectomas (TBC). *Arch Otolaryngol Rhinol* 6: 016-020.
81. Dincoglan F, Sager O, Uysal B, Demiral S, Gamsiz H, et al. (2019) Evaluation of hypofractionated stereotactic radiotherapy (HFSRT) to the resection cavity after surgical resection of brain metastases: A single center experience. *Indian J Cancer* 56(3): 202-206.
82. Demiral S, Dincoglan F, Sager O, Uysal B, Gamsiz H, et al. (2018) Contemporary Management of Meningiomas with Radiosurgery. *Int J Radiol Imaging Technol* 80: 187-190.
83. Dincoglan F, Sager O, Demiral S, Uysal B, Gamsiz H, et al. (2017) Radiosurgery for recurrent glioblastoma: A review article. *Neurol Disord Therap* 1: 1-5.
84. Dincoglan F, Sager O, Demiral S, Gamsiz H, Uysal B, et al. (2019) Fractionated stereotactic radiosurgery for locally recurrent brain metastases after failed stereotactic radiosurgery. *Indian J Cancer* 56(2): 151-156.
85. Dincoglan F, Beyzadeoglu M, Sager O, Demiral S, Gamsiz H, et al. (2015) Management of patients with recurrent glioblastoma using hypofractionated stereotactic radiotherapy. *Tumori* 101(2): 179-184.
86. Gamsiz H, Beyzadeoglu M, Sager O, Demiral S, Dincoglan F, et al. (2015) Evaluation of stereotactic body radiation therapy in the management of adrenal metastases from non-small cell lung cancer. *Tumori* 101(1): 98-103.
87. Demiral S, Dincoglan F, Sager O, Gamsiz H, Uysal B, et al. (2016) Hypofractionated stereotactic radiotherapy (HFSRT) for WHO grade I anterior clinoid meningiomas (ACM). *Jpn J Radiol* 34(11): 730-737.
88. Sager O, Dincoglan F, Beyzadeoglu M (2015) Stereotactic radiosurgery of glomus jugulare tumors: Current concepts, recent advances and future perspectives. *CNS Oncol* 4(2): 105-114.
89. Gamsiz H, Beyzadeoglu M, Sager O, Dincoglan F, Demiral S, et al. (2014) Management of pulmonary oligometastases by stereotactic body radiotherapy. *Tumori* 100(2): 179-183.
90. Demiral S, Beyzadeoglu M, Sager O, Dincoglan F, Gamsiz H, et al. (2014) Evaluation of Linear Accelerator (Linac)-Based Stereotactic Radiosurgery (Srs) for the Treatment of Craniopharyngiomas. *UHOD-Uluslararası Hematoloji Onkoloji Dergisi* 24(2): 123-129.
91. Sager O, Beyzadeoglu M, Dincoglan F, Uysal B, Gamsiz H, et al. (2014) Evaluation of linear accelerator (LINAC)-based stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS) for cerebral cavernous malformations: A 15-year single-center experience. *Ann Saudi Med* 34(1): 54-58.
92. Dincoglan F, Sager O, Gamsiz H, Uysal B, Demiral S, et al. (2014) Management of patients with ≥ 4 brain metastases using stereotactic radiosurgery boost after whole brain irradiation. *Tumori* 100(3): 302-306.
93. Sager O, Beyzadeoglu M, Dincoglan F, Gamsiz H, Demiral S, et al. (2014) Evaluation of linear accelerator-based stereotactic radiosurgery in the management of glomus jugulare tumors. *Tumori* 100(2): 184-188.
94. Demiral S, Beyzadeoglu M, Sager O, Dincoglan F, Gamsiz H, et al. (2014) Evaluation of linear accelerator (linac)-based stereotactic radiosurgery (srs) for the treatment of craniopharyngiomas. *UHOD - Uluslararası Hematoloji-Onkoloji Dergisi* 24: 123-129.
95. Demiral S, Beyzadeoglu M, Uysal B, Oysul K, Kahya YE, et al. (2013) Evaluation of stereotactic body radiotherapy (SBRT) boost in the management of endometrial cancer. *Neoplasma* 60(3): 322-327.
96. Sager O, Beyzadeoglu M, Dincoglan F, Demiral S, Uysal B, et al. (2013) Management of vestibular schwannomas with linear accelerator-based stereotactic radiosurgery: a single center experience. *Tumori* 99(5): 617-622.
97. Dincoglan F, Beyzadeoglu M, Sager O, Uysal B, Demiral S, et al. (2013) Evaluation of linear accelerator-based stereotactic radiosurgery in the management of meningiomas: A single center experience. *J BUON* 18(3): 717-722.
98. Dincoglan F, Sager O, Gamsiz H, Uysal B, Demiral S, et al. (2012) Stereotactic radiosurgery for intracranial tumors: A single center experience. *Gulhane Med J* 54: 190-198.
99. Dincoglan F, Beyzadeoglu M, Sager O, Oysul K, Sirin S et al. (2012) Image-guided positioning in intracranial non-invasive stereotactic radiosurgery for the treatment of brain metastasis. *Tsumori* 98(5): 630-635.
100. Sirin S, Oysul K, Surenkok S, Sager O, Dincoglan F, et al. (2011) Linear accelerator-based stereotactic radiosurgery in recurrent glioblastoma: A single center experience. *Vojnosanit Pregl* 68(11): 961-966.



This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License
DOI: [10.19080/CTOIJ.2022.22.556078](https://doi.org/10.19080/CTOIJ.2022.22.556078)

**Your next submission with Juniper Publishers
will reach you the below assets**

- Quality Editorial service
- Swift Peer Review
- Reprints availability
- E-prints Service
- Manuscript Podcast for convenient understanding
- Global attainment for your research
- Manuscript accessibility in different formats
(Pdf, E-pub, Full Text, Audio)
- Unceasing customer service

Track the below URL for one-step submission

<https://juniperpublishers.com/online-submission.php>