

Clinical Application of Cardiac CT in the Past and the Present



Jong Kwan Park MD*

Division of Cardiology, National Health Insurance System Ilsan Hospital, South Korea

Submission : April 03, 2017; **Published:** May 04, 2017

***Corresponding author:** Jong Kwan Park MD, National Health Insurance System Ilsan Hospital, 100 Ilsan-ro, Ilsandong-gu, Goyang-si, Gyeonggi-do, 10444, South Korea, Tel: +82319000252; Fax: +82319000343; Email: jongknpark@gmail.com

Abstract

Recent developments in technology have led cardiac computed tomography (CT) to use more commonly in clinical practice over the last three decades. Compared to the invasive coronary angiogram, cardiac CT shows not only luminal stenosis but also extra-cardiac structures. This mini review of cardiac CT will help us to use it appropriately.

Keywords: Cardiac CT; EBCT; Coronary CT angiography

Introduction

Despite notable advance of diagnostic and therapeutic tools, cardiovascular disease is still the leading cause of death all over the world [1]. Over the last three decades, technical development in cardiac computed tomography (CT) has been remarkable. Even if CT provides a lot of information, however injudicious use of CT would be harm because of radiation hazard. Therefore accurate understanding and proper use of cardiac CT is very important.

Electron Beam CT (EBCT)

After the invention of the EBCT in the early 1980s, cardiac evaluation of CT was started. However EBCT showed just coronary calcium burden not coronary artery stenosis due to limitation in temporal resolution [2]. Coronary calcium score represent coronary atherosclerosis and many studies have showed it correlated to clinical prognosis [3-5]. Current guideline for use of coronary calcium score evaluation with non contrast CT defined "appropriate" in whom family history of premature coronary heart disease (CHD) with low global CHD risk and asymptomatic with intermediate global CHD risk [6].

Coronary CT angiography

In the late 1990s, multi-detector computed tomography (MDCT) was introduced, which enables to evaluate coronary anatomy after only one peripheral injection of a contrast agent with ECG synchronization. MDCT permits detection of coronary artery calcification and extra coronary artery anatomy besides coronary luminal stenosis compared to invasive coronary angiogram [7]. However heart is beating organ, which makes difficult to acquiring proper image resolution. So the patient

should have regular heart rhythm with relative bradycardia (<65BPM) and also should hold the breath over 10 seconds even they had chest pain or dyspnea.

In the mid 2000s, enormous advances in medical technology enabled introduce 64-MDCT scanner and new detector materials, these improved image quality and diagnostic accuracy [8,9]. Several multicenter trials revealed diagnostic accuracy for coronary artery disease compared with invasive coronary angiography had high sensitivity (85-99%), specificity (64-90%), and negative predictive value (95-99%) [7]. Several multicenter clinical studies so far [7,10,11] supporting that the use of coronary CT angiography for low probability of disease and stable angina is effective to that of conventional stress test. In addition there are recent studies about the usefulness of coronary CT angiography to predict the prognosis and mortality [12,13].

After the 2000s with 64-MDCT, some CT protocols enable to evaluate additive clinical information besides coronary artery diseases such as Triple rule-out CT, myocardial perfusion CT, and CT angiography based computation of fractional flow reserve besides coronary CT angiography.

Triple rule-out CT (TRO-CT)

In emergency department (ED), quick diagnosis and treatment is essential for the patient with acute chest pain. This Cardiac CT protocol allows for simultaneous assessment of the thoracic organ for acute coronary syndrome (ACS), pulmonary embolism (PE), and acute aortic syndrome (AAS) [14-16]. This

protocol has higher predictive value for 30-day major adverse cardiovascular event and permits rapid triage and low admission rates irrespective of other clinical risk scores for the patient with acute chest pain in ED [17]. However, TRO-CT is associated with increased radiation exposure and contrast doses due to an extended z-axis compared with dedicated coronary CT. Therefore we should consider using this TRO-CT to patient in whom the pretest probability of ACS and PE or AAS is intermediate [18].

Myocardial perfusion CT

Although enormous technical improvement, coronary artery evaluation by coronary CT has some barriers which are heart rate, coronary artery calcification, and previous coronary stent implantation. The contrast enhanced cardiac CT can identify rest and adenosine stress myocardial perfusion defect [19,20]. Rochitte et al. [21] studied the diagnostic power of CT myocardial perfusion to identify coronary artery disease. They studied with 381 patients and showed good correlation of nuclear myocardial perfusion imaging (sensitivity of 80%, specificity of 74%, and negative predictive value 86% for the detection of luminal stenosis $\geq 50\%$). There are some limitation such as long scanning time, higher radiation dose than routine CT and lack of large randomized trial.

CT angiography based computation of fractional flow reserve (FFR-CT)

Coronary CT angiography assesses only anatomic stenosis and plaque burden not hemodynamic significance of the stenosis. FFR-CT enables to evaluate not only anatomical luminal stenosis but also hemodynamic significance by coronary stenosis [22,23].

Recently published data showed 1 year follow up of not only clinical outcome but also the quality of life and economic outcome comparable conventional invasive coronary angiogram [24]. However this methodology requires a comprehensive analysis of all arteries visible in the coronary CTA images, the analyses need to be performed centrally (Heartflow Inc., Redwood City, California) not locally [25]. Therefore current clinical data were confined to a few centers with retrospective design.

References

1. GBD 2015 Mortality and Causes of Death Collaborators (2016) Global, regional, and national life expectancy, all-cause mortality, and cause-specific mortality for 249 causes of death, 1980-2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. *Lancet* 388(10053): 1459-1544.
2. Lipton MJ, Higgins CB, Farmer D, Boyd DP (1984) Cardiac imaging with a high-speed Cine-CT Scanner: preliminary results. *Radiology* 152(3): 579-582.
3. Budoff MJ, Shaw LJ, Liu ST, Weinstein SR, Mosler TP, et al. (2007) Long-term prognosis associated with coronary calcification: observations from a registry of 25,253 patients. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 49(18): 1860-1870.
4. Brown ER, Kronmal RA, Bluemke DA, Guerci AD, Carr JJ, et al. (2008) Coronary calcium coverage score: determination, correlates, and predictive accuracy in the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis. *Radiology* 247(3): 669-675.
5. Valenti V, Ó Hartaigh B, Heo R, Cho I, Schulman-Marcus J, et al. (2015) A 15-year warranty period for asymptomatic individuals without coronary artery calcium: a prospective follow-up of 9,715 individuals. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 8(8): 900-909.
6. Taylor AJ, Cerqueira M, Hodgson JM, Mark D, Min J, et al. (2010) ACCF/SCCT/ACR/AHA/ASE/ASNC/ NASCI/SCAI/SCMR 2010 appropriate use criteria for cardiac computed tomography: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation Appropriate Use Criteria Task Force, the Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography, the American College of Radiology, the American Heart Association, the American Society of Echocardiography, the American Society of Nuclear Cardiology, the North American Society for Cardiovascular Imaging, the Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, and the Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 56(22): 1864-1894.
7. Budoff MJ, Dowe D, Jollis JG, Gitter M, Sutherland J, et al. (2008) Diagnostic performance of 64-multidetector row coronary computed tomographic angiography for evaluation of coronary artery stenosis in individuals without known coronary artery disease: results from the prospective multicenter ACCURACY (Assessment by Coronary Computed Tomographic Angiography of Individuals Undergoing Invasive Coronary Angiography) trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 52(21): 1724-1732.
8. Achenbach S, Giesler T, Ropers D, Ulzheimer S, Derlien H, et al. (2001) Detection of coronary artery stenoses by contrast-enhanced, retrospectively electrocardiographically gated, multislice spiral computed tomography. *Circulation* 103(21): 2535-2538.
9. Nieman K, Oudkerk M, Rensing BJ, van Ooijen P, Munne A, et al. (2001) Coronary angiography with multi-slice computed tomography. *Lancet* 357(9256): 599-603.
10. Meijboom WB, Meijjs MF, Schuijf JD, Cramer MJ, Mollet NR, et al. (2008) Diagnostic accuracy of 64-slice computed tomography coronary angiography: a prospective, multicenter, multivendor study. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 52(25): 2135-2144.
11. Miller JM, Rochitte CE, Dewey M, Arbab-Zadeh A, Niinuma H, et al. (2008) Diagnostic performance of coronary angiography by 64-row CT. *N Engl J Med* 359(22): 2324-2336.
12. Min JK, Dunning A, Lin FY, Achenbach S, Al-Mallah M, et al. (2011) Age- and sex-related differences in all-cause mortality risk based on coronary computed tomography angiography findings results from the International Multicenter CONFIRM (Coronary CT Angiography Evaluation for Clinical Outcomes: An International Multicenter Registry) of 23,854 patients without known coronary artery disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 58(8): 849-860.
13. Cheruvu C, Precious B, Naoum C, Blanke P, Ahmadi A, et al. (2016) Long term prognostic utility of coronary CT angiography in patients with no modifiable coronary artery disease risk factors: Results from the 5 year follow-up of the CONFIRM International Multicenter Registry. *J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr* 10(1): 22-27.
14. Gallagher MJ, Raff GL (2008) Use of multislice CT for the evaluation of emergency room patients with chest pain: the so-called "triple rule-out." *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv* 71(1): 92-99.
15. Halpern EJ (2009) Triple rule-out CT angiography for evaluation of acute chest pain and possible acute coronary syndrome. *Radiology* 252(2): 332-345.
16. Frauenfelder T, Appenzeller P, Karlo C, Scheffel H, Desbiolles L, et al. (2009) Triple rule-out CT in the emergency department: protocols and spectrum of imaging findings. *Eur Radiol* 19(4): 789-99.
17. Chae MK, Kim EK, Jung KY, Shin TG, Sim MS, et al. (2016) Triple rule-out computed tomography for risk stratification of patients with acute chest pain. *J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr* 10(4): 291-300.

18. Yoo SM, Rho JY, Lee HY, Song IS, Moon JY, et al. (2010) Current concepts in cardiac CT angiography for patients with acute chest pain. *Korean Cir J* 40(11): 534-549.
19. George RT, Silva C, Cordeiro MA, DiPaula A, Thompson DR, et al. (2016) Multidetector computed tomography myocardial perfusion imaging during adenosine stress. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 48(1): 153-160.
20. Blankstein R1, Shturman LD, Rogers IS, Rocha-Filho JA, Okada DR, et al. (2009) Adenosine-induced stress myocardial perfusion imaging using dual-source cardiac computed tomography. *J Am Coll Cardiol*, 54(12): 1072-1084.
21. Rochitte CE, George RT, Chen MY, Arbab-Zadeh A, Dewey M, et al. (2014) Computed tomography angiography and perfusion to assess coronary artery stenosis causing perfusion defects by single photon emission computed tomography: the CORE320 study. *Eur Heart J* 35(17): 1120-1130.
22. Koo BK, Erglis A, Doh JH, Daniels DV, Jegere S, et al. (2011) Diagnosis of ischemia-causing coronary stenoses by noninvasive fractional flow reserve computed from coronary computed tomographic angiograms. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 58(19): 1989-97.
23. Nakazato R, Park HB, Berman DS, Gransar H, Koo BK, et al. (2013) Noninvasive fractional flow reserve derived from computed tomography angiography for coronary lesions of intermediate stenosis severity: results from the DeFACTO study. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging* 6(6): 881-889.
24. Douglas PS, De Bruyne B, Pontone G, Patel MR, Norgaard BL, et al. (2016) 1-Year Outcomes of FFRCT-Guided Care in Patients With Suspected Coronary Disease: The PLATFORM Study. *J Am CollCardiol* 68(5): 435-445.
25. Nørgaard BL, Leipsic J. (2016) From Newton to the coronaries: Computational fluid dynamics has entered the clinical scene. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 9(6): 700-702.



This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License
DOI: [10.19080/CTCMI.2017.01.555569](https://doi.org/10.19080/CTCMI.2017.01.555569)

Your next submission with Juniper Publishers will reach you the below assets

- Quality Editorial service
- Swift Peer Review
- Reprints availability
- E-prints Service
- Manuscript Podcast for convenient understanding
- Global attainment for your research
- Manuscript accessibility in different formats
(Pdf, E-pub, Full Text, Audio)
- Unceasing customer service

Track the below URL for one-step submission
<https://juniperpublishers.com/online-submission.php>