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Abstract

A model-based analysis of a three-phase continuously operated fluidized-bed bioreactor (TPFB) is developed in order to determine the multi-objective optimal and sustainable operating policy of a TPFB used for removing mercury ions from wastewater. More specifically, the analysis is focused on finding the optimal feeding policy of alginate porous beads of known particle size containing immobilized biomass (\textit{P. putida} bacteria) that minimize the biomass consumption, while keeping a quasi-constant high mercury removal conversion, under quasi-stable reactor performances. The extended bioreactor model is accounting for the biomass growth, biodegradation, and its partial leakage and washout. Bioreactor dynamics prediction has been generated by using a simple Michaelis-Menten kinetic model adopted from literature. The resulted optimal feeding policy of the bioreactor points out the importance of the adoption of an extended and adequate process/reactor model able to solve difficult engineering operation problems by quickly adjusting the feeding conditions according to the time-varying characteristics of the biomass culture, and to the limited possibilities to control the process during the wastewater residence time in the bioreactor.

Introduction

Over the last decades, there is a continuous trend to develop more and more effective bioreactors for development of various biosyntheses by producing fine-chemicals or organic compounds in the food, pharmaceutical, or detergent industry, using cell culture batch (BR), semi-batch (fed-batch, SBR), continuously operated fixed-bed or three-phase fluidized-bed (TPFB) reactors, or mechanically agitated continuous reactors (MACR) [13], aiming to replace complex chemical processes, energetically intensive and generating toxic wastes. It is to be mentioned, among these, the fermentative processes for production of organic acids, alcohols, vinegar, amino-acids/proteins, yeast, hydrogen, food products and additives, recombinant proteins, etc., by using bioreactors with microbial/cell cultures [1,2] or bioreactors for waste removal in water treatment plants [3], with various cooling and aeration systems in simple or sophisticate constructive alternatives [2].

However, the bioreactor optimal operation is one of the most difficult and challenging engineering problem, due to well-known bioprocess complexity and variability, besides the large number of variables influencing the bioprocess difficult to be accounted in a quite reduced kinetic model. This paper is aiming at using a multi-objective criterion and an elaborated bioreactor model to derive sustainable optimal operating policies of a TPFB used for mercury uptake from wastewaters by immobilized cultures of \textit{P. putida}.

The target optimization criteria concern the sustainability of the TPFB operation, leading to the concomitant optimality of:

I) An economic criterion (maximum of mercury removal conversion);

II) A safety-stability criterion (quasi-stationary removal conversion over a defined time-horizon);

III) An environmental criterion (minimum wasted biomass with mercury traces leaving the TPFB).

To solve this complex multi-objective optimization problem, the “weighting function method” has been used, by associating to each criterion and formulated objective function weighting factors chosen depending on the relative importance given to each objective. In the present case, the weights $w_{\text{X}_{\text{Hg}}}$, $w_{\text{er}}$ and $w_{\text{X}}$ correspond to the conversion maximization criterion, to the conversion stability criterion, and to the biomass consumption minimization criterion respectively.
To simulate the TPFB reactor performances for deriving the optimization problem solution, an extended dynamic ideal model of the bioreactor was adopted [4], including a simple Michaelis-Menten kinetics of the bioprocess, thus allowing its use for optimization purposes. The model includes the main characteristics of the bioprocess on the solid support, and the solid-liquid-gas interfacial transport of the mercury, even if additional calculations are necessary to derive the particle effectiveness and to solve the mass flux equality at solid-liquid-gas interface at quasi-steady-state on every small considered time interval during the transient regime simulation. The extended bioreactor model is accounting for the biomass growth, biodegradation, and its partial leukage and washout.

For the formulated multi-objective optimization problem using normalized objective functions associated to the three mentioned criteria, the best TPFB reactor operating policy was obtained for the relative weights: \( w_1 \cdot H_g = w_2 = 1; \) \( w_3 = 0.1 \), by giving less weight to the biomass consumption, thus ensuring a maximum conversion, but also quite-uniform over the running time, with the expense of a higher consumption of biomass. This operating policy is preferred because the biomass is cheap and renewable, while the removal of mercury from wastewater is a very important ecological issue deserving lots of investments, and operational costs.

**Process description and mercury reduction kinetic model**

The approached bioprocess in this paper, called “microbial detoxification” of wastewaters involves metabolic processes of certain cell cultures, that results in reducing the mercuric ions from wastewaters to elementary volatile mercury, which is removed by the micro-organisms and then easily removed from the TPFB liquid being recovered by the sparged air and, eventually recovered in a subsequent condenser/adsorption device. Among the resistant strains used for this purpose in the TPFB are to be mentioned [4,5]: *Pseudomonas* sp; *Aeromonas hydrophila*; *Escherichia coli* TPFB are to be mentioned [4,5]: *Pseudomonas* sp; *Aeromonas hydrophila*; *Escherichia coli* , usually immobilized on a porous support to prevent biomass quick inactivation, leakage and passage of certain cell cultures, that results in reducing the mercuric ions from wastewaters to elementary volatile mercury, which might lead to the blockage of RSH cell species, exhausting the cell resources, and eventually compromising the whole cell metabolism [5].

**Table 1:** Apparent Michaelis-Menten (M-M) kinetic model for mercury ion reduction by using immobilized *Ps. putida* cells on alginate support [8]. Notations \( H_{g2s} = c_{Hg2s} = Hg^{2+} \) concentration in the bulk phase; \( c_{Hg2s} = Hg^{2+} \) concentration at solid interface.

\[
\begin{align*}
\eta & = \frac{H_g}{H_{g2s}} \\
\beta & = \frac{K_s}{c_{Hg2s}} \\
\phi & = 1 & \text{for M-M kinetics with 1st order substrate inhibition; effectiveness factor } \eta \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\psi & = \frac{1}{\sqrt{D_c K_s}} & \text{where Thiele moduli is: } \psi = \frac{D_c}{\sqrt{D_c K_s}} \\
\end{align*}
\]

Overall Michaelis-Menten type kinetic model proposed by Deckwer et al. [8] for *P. putida* sp. cells has been adopted (Table 1). Based on experiments using modified *E. coli* cell cultures, Philippidis et al. [9] and Deckwer et al. [8] completed the bioprocess kinetic model, by including a second-order inhibition by the substrate [4], and proved that mercury membrane permeation is the slowest process step, by using separate experiments conducted with cultures of intact cells or “permeabilized” cells to ionic species. Eventually, a reduced Michaelis-Menten model for mercury uptake by *E. coli* cells has been adopted [4], including a simple Michaelis-Menten kinetics of the bioprocess, thus allowing its use for optimization purposes. The model includes the main characteristics of the bioprocess on the solid support, and the solid-liquid-gas interfacial transport of the mercury, even if additional calculations are necessary to derive the particle effectiveness and to solve the mass flux equality at solid-liquid-gas interface at quasi-steady-state on every small considered time interval during the transient regime simulation. The extended bioreactor model is accounting for the biomass growth, biodegradation, and its partial leukage and washout.

**Table 1:** Apparent Michaelis-Menten (M-M) kinetic model for mercury ion reduction by using immobilized *Ps. putida* cells on alginate support [8]. Notations \( c_{Hg2s} = Hg^{2+} \) concentration in the bulk phase; \( c_{Hg2s} = Hg^{2+} \) concentration at solid interface.

\[
\begin{align*}
\eta & = \frac{H_g}{H_{g2s}} \\
\beta & = \frac{K_s}{c_{Hg2s}} \\
\phi & = 1 & \text{for M-M kinetics with 1st order substrate inhibition; effectiveness factor } \eta \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\psi & = \frac{1}{\sqrt{D_c K_s}} & \text{where Thiele moduli is: } \psi = \frac{D_c}{\sqrt{D_c K_s}} \\
\end{align*}
\]
been proposed, with the adjustable rate constants being function of the copynumbers of _mer_-plasmids existing in the cloned cells [9]. It has been eventually concluded that modified _E. coli_ cells reported an increased efficiency to remove mercury ions up to a certain limit (controlled by the permease proteins), which did not exhaust the internal cell resources.

A more sophisticated/structured cell model existing in the literature [5-7,11] is not a feasible alternative for the present optimization study, because its coupling with the bioreactor model leads to a very extended bioreactor model that requires a too high computational effort to be solved.

**Plant operation, reactor model, and nominal operating conditions**

The analyzed TPFB bioreactor is the scale-up of the bench-scale TPFB used by Deckwer et al. [8] to investigate the removal of mercury ions from wastewater using _P. putida_ sp. separately immobilized on porous alginate granules of 0.9-1 mm average diameter (Figure 2).

The approached TPFB bioreactor is continuously operated: the wastewater with suspended alginate beads of microscopic size are continuously fed in and evacuated from the bioreactor. The optimal growing conditions of the immobilized biomass is ensured by an excess of sparged air; and nutrients added initially and during TPFB operation, in a recommended mass ratio of C/N/P= 100/5/1, that is a mixture of sucrose 167 g/L, urea, and sodium phosphate buffer 0.25 M[4]. The reactor and carrier characteristics, and the nominal (reference) operating conditions are those presented by Scoban & Maria [4].

A good diffusion of the substrate (mercuric ions) to bacteria is assumed taking place in the large support pores, while an equilibrated biomass metabolism is ensured by the added nutrients in the fed wastewater. The liquid flow rate (or equivalently the liquid residence time), the aeration rate (in a high excess vs. metabolic requirements), pH, and temperature are tightly monitored to ensure a sustainable bioprocess. The biomass content in the bioreactor varies due to several reasons, all being accounted for in the bioreactor model: biomass growth, biomass degradation, and its leakage due to the hydrodynamic stress. The biomass level in the reactor is continuously adjusted by means of an optimal biomass feeding policy to be determined by using suitable optimization objectives (below discussed), by accounting for the biomass consumption due to the continuous purged biomass/support together with the evacuated treated water, biomass growth, and its biodegradation.

The resulted metallic mercury at the micro-organism cytosolic level, quickly diffuses through the cell membrane and then through support pores toward the pseudo-homogeneous bulk liquid phase. Because the mercury solubility in the water is very low, it quickly diffuses through the gas-liquid interface, being transported by the sparged air outside the bioreactor. The outlet gas containing the volatile metallic mercury is passed then through a condenser/adsorption device for recovering the metal.

The mathematical model of the TPFB is those of Scoban & Maria [4]. In short, the TPFB model main hypotheses are the followings: perfectly mixed liquid and gas phases, of quasi-constant volumes; dynamic, isothermal, iso-pH operation; the solid carrier and biomass are continuously fed-in and evacuated from the bioreactor, together with the wastewater; quasi-constant activity of the living biomass; the solid particles (of uniform characteristics) are considered uniformly distributed in the homogeneous liquid phase, due to the well-mixing conditions; the low amounts of mercury bio-accumulated into bacteria, are neglected in the model.

The mercury ions diffuse from bulk phase through the external diffusional film surrounding the particles and then through the internal pores until reaching the immobilized biomass where the mercury is crossing the cell membrane, and then it is reduced to metallic mercury (Figure 1). The resulted metallic mercury from the cell cytosol diffuses through the cell membrane and then it is transported as micro-drops (of ca. 5 μm diameter), or dissolved (solubility of 26 μg L⁻¹ at 26°C) to the gas-liquid interface from where it passes as vapours into the homogeneous gas phase (air). Low amounts of mercury bio-accumulated into bacteria, are neglected in the model. Mercury mass balance in the liquid and gas phases includes the inlet-outlet and transport terms [4].

**Formulate the multi-objective optimization problem**

![Figure 3: The simplified representation of multi-objective optimal sustainable operating policy of the bioreactor.](en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bioreactor)
To get an optimal and sustainable operating policy of the TPFB, a multi-objective performance criteria should be formulated, concomitantly considering optimization of the following objectives (Figure 3):

1) An economic objective ensuring economic benefits, such as high reactor productivity, conversion, yield;

2) A safety-stability objective, ensuring that the chemical reactor setpoint present a low probability of reactor runaway; for a bioprocess this criterion is related to ensuring a quasi-constancy of the output quality/reactor performance and control aspects;

3) The environmental criterion is related to the minimum production of wastes, and by-products, thus the reactor outputs presenting a minimum environmental impact.

In the present case study, one consider optimization of the TPFB bioreactor over a time-horizon of running-time of \(0 \leq t \leq 300 \text{ min}\).

The three mentioned objective functions are formulated as followings:

a) Economic objective: Maximum of the average mercury reduciton conversion \(\bar{X}_{\text{Hg}}\), over the considered running-time interval \([0, 300]\) min. which, in mathematical terms is equivalent to:

\[
\Phi_{X} = \frac{\int_{0}^{300} \sum_{u} X_{\text{Hg}}(t) dt}{300} \quad \text{---(1)}
\]

b) Stability objective: This criterion is formulated as minimum standard deviation (denoted by \(\sigma\)) of the output conversion \(X_{\text{Hg}}\) from its average value over the considered running-time interval of \([0, 300]\) min, that is:

\[
\text{Minimum of } \Phi_{\sigma} = \sigma_{X_{\text{Hg}}} \quad \text{---(2)}
\]

\(\sigma_{X_{\text{Hg}}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{u=1}^{n} (X_{\text{Hg},u} - \bar{X}_{\text{Hg}})^2} \quad \text{---(2)}\)

(c) Environmental objective: This criterion is formulated as minimum biomass consumption in the continuous TPFB bioreactor because the solid carrier (alginate beads) with the immobilized biomass are continuously fed-in and evacuated-from the bioreactor, together with the wastewater. The minimum biomass consumption over the considered running-time interval \([0, 300]\) min, is equivalent to:

\[
\text{Minimum of } \Phi_{X} = (c_{XL, \text{inlet,100}} + c_{XL, \text{inlet,200}} + c_{XL, \text{inlet,300}}) / c_{XL, \text{ref}} \quad \text{---(3)}
\]

with the reference value of \(c_{XL, \text{ref}} = 1000 \text{ mg/L taken to normalize the } \Phi_{X} \text{ value to make it comparable to } \Phi_{X_{\text{Hg}}} \text{ and } \Phi_{\sigma} \text{ objectives.}

Notations \(c_{XL, \text{inlet,100}}, c_{XL, \text{inlet,200}}, c_{XL, \text{inlet,300}} \) define the inlet biomass concentrations over chosen three time-arcs of the running time of interest, that is:

\(c_{XL, \text{inlet,100}} \text{ is } [X]\text{inlet for } 0 \leq t \leq 100 \text{ min}\)

\(c_{XL, \text{inlet,200}} \text{ is } [X]\text{inlet for } 100 \leq t \leq 200 \text{ min}\)

\(c_{XL, \text{inlet,300}} \text{ is } [X]\text{inlet for } 200 \leq t \leq 300 \text{ min}\)

Optimization of the TPFB operation is looking for the vector of unknown biomass inlet concentrations kept constants over each considered time-arc, that is:

\(\hat{x} = [c_{XL, \text{inlet,100}}, c_{XL, \text{inlet,200}}, c_{XL, \text{inlet,300}}]^T \quad \text{---(4)}\)

such that to be concomitantly realized the minimum of \(\Phi_{X_{\text{Hg}}} \), \(\Phi_{\sigma}\), and \(\Phi_{X}\). The problem is difficult to be solved because some criteria are synergistic (\(\Phi_{X_{\text{Hg}}} \) and \(\Phi_{\sigma}\)), but others are antagonistic (\(\Phi_{X_{\text{Hg}}} \), \(\Phi_{\sigma}\) and \(\Phi_{X}\)). In the literature, there are several numerical methods to solve this problem [12]. For instance, when two contrary objectives are present, one method is to generate the Pareto-front, that is the all optimal solutions that realize the best trade off between adverse objectives. A Pareto solution is one where any improvement in one objective can only take place at the cost of another objective.

In the present paper, we have used a simpler procedure based on constructing a hybrid (composite) objective function by summing the individual objectives multiplied by subjectively adjustable weights denoted by \(w_j \in [0, 1]\). This multi-objective optimization method is known as the “weighting function method” [2]. Even if less rigorous, this optimization method is very simple and computationally tractable.

In the present case, the optimization problem is reduced to:

\(\Phi = w_{X_{\text{Hg}}} \Phi_{X_{\text{Hg}}} + w_{\sigma} \Phi_{\sigma} + w_{X} \Phi_{X} \quad \text{---(4)}\)

The weighting factors \(w_j \in [0, 1]\) associated to the objective functions are chosen depending on the relative importance given to each objective. Searching variables vector \(\hat{x}\) previously defined will be estimated while the other process variables are kept at the nominal conditions.

Illustration of such a hypothetical optimal operating policy of the bioreactor with variable time step-wise biomass feeding policy over three time-arcs defined by \(X_\text{(1000)} \text{ c}_{XL}, \text{inlet,100}, (X_\text{(200)} \text{ c}_{XL}, \text{inlet,200})\), and \((X_\text{(300)} \text{ c}_{XL}, \text{inlet,300})\) is given in...
Results and Discussion

Solution of the optimization problem (4) has been made in two alternatives:

Operating policy (A) by giving equal weights to the three objective functions (i.e. economic, stability, and environmental criteria) in the composite multi-criteria objective \( \phi_v \) that is \( w_{xHg} = W_s = W_{X} = 1 \) in equation (4).

Operating policy (B) by giving less importance to the biomass consumption in the composite multi-criteria objective \( \phi_v \) that is \( w_{xHg} = W_s = 1 \), and \( W_{X} = 0.1 \) in equation (4).

The obtained optimal operating policies are presented in Figure 5a in terms of \( (X100) \) \( c_{X, \text{inlet},100} \), \( (X200) \) \( c_{X, \text{inlet},200} \), and \( (X300) \) \( c_{X, \text{inlet},300} \). and in Figure 5b in terms of realized mercury removal conversion and its concentration in the reactor. The optimal values of each objective function is given in the Table 2.

Table 2: Two operating policies performances obtained by minimizing the objective functions \( \phi_v = \phi_x \) and \( \phi_v = \phi_s \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Optim/Policy weights</th>
<th>( \phi_v^* = \phi_x )</th>
<th>( \bar{x}_{Hg} )</th>
<th>( \phi_v^* = \phi_s )</th>
<th>( \phi_v^* )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(A) ( w_{xHg} = 1 )</td>
<td>0.0189</td>
<td>0.98102</td>
<td>0.0105</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( w_s = 1 ) ( w_{X} = 1 )</td>
<td>( \phi_v^* = \phi_s )</td>
<td>( 0.7294 )</td>
<td>( 0.7294 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B) ( w_{xHg} = 1 )</td>
<td>0.0124</td>
<td>0.98753</td>
<td>0.00545</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( w_s = 1 ) ( w_{X} = 0.1 )</td>
<td>( \phi_v^* = \phi_s )</td>
<td>( 0.19292 )</td>
<td>( 0.19292 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is to remark that, The operating policy (A) is not very convenient, because, as revealed by the plots of Fig. 5b, the conversion even if high (average \( \bar{x}_{Hg} = 0.98102 \)), is not uniform, presenting a quite large standard deviation from the average, that is \( \phi_v^* = 0.0105 \). On the contrary, the operating policy (B) realizes a higher average conversion \( (\bar{x}_{Hg} = 0.98753) \) but also quite-uniform over the running time, with minimum deviations from its average value of \( \phi_v^* = 0.005455 \), thus ensuring a quasi-constant bioreactor performance over the considered running time. The cost of the higher performances of the optimal policy (B) vs. (A) is a higher consumption of biomass \( (\phi_v^* = 1.75 \text{ vs. } \phi_v^* = 0.7) \). In spite of such a drawback, the operating policy (B) is still preferred because the biomass is cheap and renewable, while the removal of mercury from wastewater is a very important ecological issue deserving lots of investments, and operational costs.

Conclusions

Derivation of alternative optimal operation policies of a TPFB bioreactor used for testing mercury removal efficiency by using immobilized bacteria on a suitable porous support (alginate beads) is an essential engineering analysis to be used in further process scale-up and control.

In the simulated case study, the very effective bacteria metabolism allows an efficient reduction of the cytosolic mercury (92-99% conversion), excretion and transport of the volatile metal to the gas phase for a high pollutant load in the wastewater. By keeping a reasonable small size of alginate particles (1-2 mm), the most important control parameters appear to be the biomass load in the bioreactor and the wastewater/biomass feed flow rate.
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