Characterization of Type 2 Diabetes into Five Sub-Types
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Abstract

This mini-review discusses the findings of Ahlqvist et al. [1] recently published results in Lancet, showing that they could further characterize type 2 diabetes into five subtypes. Participants with type 2 diabetes were from Scandinavia. Common clinical measures were used to stratify by clusters (e.g., age of onset, body mass index, A1c, glutamate acid decarboxylase antibodies, homeostatic model assessment2-insulin resistance and beta cell function). Each of the five subtypes had unique but homogeneous presentations, alike responses to specific medications and developed similar complications by classification. This clinically useful classification of type 2 diabetes management has been recognized as a major step toward precision medicine.

Introduction

Healthcare providers caring for patients living with type 2 diabetes have long noted heterogeneous characteristics of onset and progression of the disease state, all leading to a variety of complications. Interestingly, some patients diagnosed with type 2 diabetes would manifest the onset of their disease with severe hyperglycemia to the degree of constituting a diabetes emergency requiring hospitalization. This abrupt onset was often similar to those diagnosed with onset of type 1 diabetes. Whereas, among other patients, onset of the disease would occur more slowly. Likewise, the rate and types of complications seemed to vary greatly by patients suffering from the same diagnosis at various levels of body mass index (BMI). Managing these patients with certain oral hypoglycemic agents and timing for need to add insulin had wide-ranging responses. These observations have caused many providers to feel overwhelmed when attempting to manage this detrimental and varied disease process. In short, providers had rightly concluded that treating the individual living with diabetes must be personalized to optimize glycemic responses.

These same clinical observations led a group of researchers in Sweden at Lund University to attempt to more fully characterize type 2 diabetes. Recently, Ahlqvist et al. [1] published their study results in Lancet, showing that they could further characterize type 2 diabetes into five subtypes. Participants with type 2 diabetes were from Scandinavia. Each of the five subtypes had unique but homogeneous presentations, alike responses to specific medications and developed similar complications by classification. Gloyn & Drucker [2] declare that this is a clinically useful classification of type 2 diabetes management as it is a major step toward precision medicine.

Discussion

Overall the classification of type 2 diabetes into five distinct clusters or subtypes may be considered superior to that of types 1 or 2 alone. As when providers are able to identify subtypes this potentially allows targeting of patients who are at higher risk for specific complications. A brief overview of the five subtypes will be reviewed here and are summarized in Table 1.

The first two clusters seem to be closely aligned with type 1 diabetes and/or latent autoimmune diabetes in adults (LADA) [3,4]. These subtypes represent the more severe classifications of type 2 diabetes and present similar to type 1 diabetes.

Cluster 1

Severe Autoimmune Diabetes (SAID) has an early onset 20-30 years of age and may present with a diabetes emergency such as diabetes ketoacidosis (DKA). This is the only glutamate acid decarboxylase antibodies (GADA) positive subtype which is therefore a hallmark finding and related to HLA genetic locust [5,6]. Commonly, body mass index (BMI) is low to normal, insulin resistance is low as signified by lower homeostatic model assessment2-insulin resistance (HOMA2-IR) [7]. Likewise, low
levels of homeostatic model assessment 2-beta cell function (HOMA2-b) and low levels of C-peptides indicating low levels of insulin production [8]. A1c levels are usually elevated at diagnosis and there is a short time until oral agents fail to maintain euglycemia and within a short time insulin must be added to optimize glycemic control. This sub-type classification is at high risk for development of diabetes related eye disease such as retinopathy. Therefore, early use of insulin therapy coupled with meticulous and frequent screening dilated eye exams are paramount.

**Table 1**: Adapted from: Ahlqvist et al. [1]. Koivula et al. [10].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster</th>
<th>Descriptive Name</th>
<th>Age Onset</th>
<th>BMI</th>
<th>C-Peptides</th>
<th>HOMA2-IR</th>
<th>HOMA2-B</th>
<th>A1c</th>
<th>Medications</th>
<th>Complications and Phenotype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1:00</td>
<td>Severe Autoimmune Diabetes (SAID) Severe Autoimmune Diabetes (SAID)</td>
<td>Early 20-35</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Elevated at diagnosis</td>
<td>Short time till need to start insulin</td>
<td>DKA upon diagnosis (Overlap with T1D &amp;/or LADA). HLA genetic locus Early diabetes related eye disease and retinopathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Severe Insulin-Deficient Diabetes (SIDD) Severe Insulin-Deficient Diabetes (SIDD) (similar to cluster 1, but GADA negative)</td>
<td>Early to Mid-life</td>
<td>Low-Moderate</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Moderately-Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Elevated at diagnosis</td>
<td>Short time to second PO Meds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Severe Insulin-Resistant Diabetes (SIRD) Severe Insulin-Resistant Diabetes (SIRD)</td>
<td>Latter 60+</td>
<td>Elevated</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Varies</td>
<td>Optimize Metformin &amp; Consider renal protective meds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Mild Obesity-related Diabetes (MOD) Mild Obesity-related Diabetes (MOD)</td>
<td>Mid-life 45-55</td>
<td>Very Elevated</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Moderately-Low</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Varies</td>
<td>PO Meds Consider Sq GLP-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Mild Age-Related Diabetes (MARD) Mild Age-Related Diabetes (MARD)</td>
<td>Later 65+</td>
<td>Low-Moderate</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Varies</td>
<td>PO Meds: Metformin &amp; Lifestyle</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Cluster 2**

Severe Insulin-Deficient Diabetes (SIDD) is very similar to cluster 1, but is GADA negative, and may respond to oral agents but there may quickly be a need to add a second or third oral agents to obtain glycemic control. Poor response to insulin, manifested highest prevalence of retinopathy. As with cluster 1, meticulous and frequent screening dilated eye exams are paramount.

**Cluster 3**

Severe Insulin Resistant Diabetes (SIRD): has a latter onset around 60, elevated BMI and manifest the highest level of insulin resistance as indicated by a higher HOMA2-ir. Therefore, use of metformin should be optimized. Close scrutiny for complications should focus on diabetes related eye, liver and kidney diseases. Use of renal protective medications such as an angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitor (ACEI) or angiotensin II Receptor Blockers (ARBs) is an imperative early intervention. Along with close monitoring of renal and liver function.

**Cluster 4**

Mild Obesity-related Diabetes (MOD): onset is mid-life with milder metabolic derangements. High level of BMI, but low insulin resistance. Consideration should be given to choosing weight neutral or those diabetes agent that facilitate weight loss.

**Cluster 5**

Mild Age-Related Diabetes (MARD): onset occurs later in life >65 years of age and BMI is low to moderately elevated. Focus of management should be focused heavily on lifestyle modifications and use of oral diabetes medications.

**Conclusion**

Although the clinical advantages of this newly proposed five sub-type classifications of type 2 diabetes can at this point only be theorized. Further characterization of type 2 diabetes potentially can be used to target patients who are at higher risk of specific diabetes related complications. As well as guide providers in the first line choices of therapy to more quickly gain glycemic control. Likewise, to focus office time and follow-up specialty visits on areas where the patients has the highest risk of early complications. Still considerable value can be found in the understanding the characteristics of a patient’s subtype of diabetes. As this may allow providers to tailor education and to prepare the patient as to what to expect with outcomes of therapy. For example, in clusters 1 and 2 the patient needs to...
be educated that due to their specific sub-type they may require additional oral medications and/or insulin earlier versus later. The intensification of medication therapy should not be blamed on the patient’s lack of diabetes self-management. Likewise, knowing the patients subtype may ultimately avoid the provider being blamed for clinical inertia from untreated diseases versus the disease progress expected especially in clusters 1 and 2. As clusters 1 and 2 require the most aggressive pharmacological management.

In short, there has been much discourse within the literature concerning Ahlqvist et al. [1] findings of five separate new classifications of type 2 diabetes [3,9]. Both B Ahlqvist et al. [1] and Koivula et al. [10] indicate the current characterizations of type 2 diabetes may well be improved via further research, use of specific biomarkers, genotypes and genetic risk scores. These investigators also maintain that since C-peptide levels remained stable within the clusters of newly diagnosed and those living with long term diabetes this indicated discrete subtypes versus different stages of the disease [1]. Thus, the stratification of diabetes related sub-types based on clinical and route makers has merit. In order, to fully tailor diabetes management by subtypes perhaps C-peptide and GADA levels will need to become more available in primary care. As well as for providers to calculations of HOMA2. This research brings forth an opportunity to begin the precision targeting of care for patients living with type 2 diabetes.
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