Introduction

The first description of permutation tests which is one of the resampling methods can be traced back to the works of Fisher [1] and Pitman [2] in the first half of the 20th Century. Permutation tests did not receive much attention until widespread use of powerful computers because of computationally intensive [3]. In practice parametric methods reflect a modeling approach and generally require the introduction of a set of stringent assumptions, which are often quite unrealistic, unclear, and difficult to justify [4]. Because of its independency from the distribution, permutation tests are successful in many cases where parametric tests are not. The assumptions of permutation tests are exchangeability of data for regression and relabelability of data for comparison statistics [5]. One of the most important features of the permutation testing principle is that in theory and under a set of mild conditions conditional inferences can be extended unconditionally to all distributions [4].

Calculation of exact P value can be demonstrated as; To calculate a P value, F value obtained from the original data is compared with the distribution of $F^*$ (F value for ith permutation) values obtained by permutation test. The empirical frequency distribution of $F^*$ is entirely exposed because the number of possible relabeling data is finite. Type I error rate for the null hypothesis is calculated by dividing the number of $F^*$ equals to or greater than F by total number of $F$: $P = \frac{\text{number of } F^* \geq F}{\text{total number of permutation}}$. This value provides the exact P value which mean that the type I error of the test is exactly equal to the a priori chosen significance level for the test [5-8].

This formulation indicated that total number of permutation should be at least 20, otherwise rejecting the null hypothesis is impossible. So the number of possible permutation is an important criterion. For regression total number of permutation is $n!$, for completely randomized design with $t$ groups and $n$ replications, the number of possible permutation is calculated by $(tn)!/(t!(n!)^t)$, for randomized block design with $b$ blocks and $t$ treatments, the number of possible permutation is calculated as $(t!)^b$, for Latin square design it is calculated as $t!(t-1)!$ [3]. It should be taking into account that half of the total number of possible permutation is enough to analyze the data because the distribution of permutation is symmetric.

Discussion

In many cases for biological studies the number of replication is not adequate to reach the normal distribution. In that situation permutation test can be used easily and researchers reach reliable results [5]. Also, permutation tests are suitable for high sample sized data sets such as c-DNA microarray data analysis where permutation test applied directly by the commercial software that it was asked to the users about ten years ago. Permutation tests gain popularity in genomic research for 20 years because straightforward way to obtain reliable statistical inference without making strong distributional assumptions [9]. If the experiment is too small, permutation analysis can be conducted by shuffling residual values across genes [10]. This can be useful for many genomic researches.
In experimental planning, factorial experiments are of particular interest as they allow us to separately examine the effects of two or more factors in all their possible combinations. In the usual linear model for the analysis of variance, if the error components are not normally distributed, parametric analysis may not be appropriate [11]. Since in factorial designs the elements of the response are not exchangeable, a restricted kind of permutations can be used. The synchronized permutations allow testing for main effects and interaction together with the restricted permutation test statistics [3,8,11]. Another statistical problem is comparing means or medians by non-parametric post-hoc such as Dunnett test but many researchers argued that pairwise permutation tests more robust than Dunnett’s procedures [12,13].

In simple or multiple regression analysis both significance of the model and goodness of fit can be calculated as exact by using permutation tests [3,6,14,15]. Permutation tests also used to calculate variance components for generalized linear mixed models to multilevel data which are commonly collected in studies in the medical, social, and behavioral sciences [16]. It is the most important factor to recommend the use of permutation tests that it equalize the technical error, one of the components of error term, to zero and only treatment error remained in the error term. It is well known that data taken from biological studies generally do not satisfy the assumption of the parametric methods. If data does not receive assumptions or structure of the data is not known, permutation tests can be performed to obtain more reliable results. Otherwise, the statistical decision may lead to misinterpretation of the results because of making Type I error for the hypothesis. Permutation tests and parametric methods yield similar results when the data fulfill the necessary assumptions for the parametric method. Permutation test provides exact type I and II error rates and test power, whereas, the parametric tests provide only an approach for them. In this case use of parametric methods can be preferred in terms of computer time and simple calculation effort [3,15].

Conclusion

As a conclusion permutation tests can be used with great reliability for many situations such as comparison, clustering, discriminating, regression, correlation, estimation of variance component, heritability calculation and so on. There is lots of free software for this purpose such as NPMANOVA, DISTLM and R. Fisher’s recognize of permutation tests made statistic more reliable with improved computer power for experimental data.
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