Annals of
Reviews and Research

Review Article
Volume 14 Issue 3 - October 2025
DOI: 10.19080/ARR.2025.14.555887

Ann Rev Resear

Mitochondria, Reproduction, and the Cellular Origins of Women's Health

McCully B[1]* and Dodampahala H[2]

[1]*Professor Brian McCully, Monash University, Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, Mildura Base Public Hospital, Australia*

[2]*Professor in Obstetrics and Gynaecology, University of Colombo, Sri Lanka*

Submission: October 16, 2025; **Published:** October 23, 2025

***Corresponding author:** Professor Brian McCully, Monash University, Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, Mildura Base Public Hospital, Australia

Abstract

This paper explores the evolutionary origins of sexual reproduction and gender through the lens of mitochondria and endosymbiosis. It traces the ascent of eukaryotic cells from their prokaryotic ancestors — a transformation powered by a biological alliance that enabled energy generation on an unprecedented scale. However, this energetic revolution came with a cost. Mitochondria's production of ATP also unleashed reactive oxygen species (ROS), rampant emissaries that scorched the cells they empowered. These tensions drove profound cellular adaptations - multicellularity, apoptosis, gender, and sexual reproduction. Collectively, these innovations not only shaped the structure of life but also seeded the biological foundations of human reproduction. This article reconsiders the mitochondrion —a coveted cache or the devil within. Part monster, part maestro, it is the provocateur of miracles and mayhem that has changed the nature of life on Earth.

Keywords: Mitochondria; Oxidative stress; Endosymbiosis; Maternal inheritance; Sexual reproduction; Women's health; Evolutionary biology; Apoptosis; Gametogenesis

Introduction

A Curious Question

What do sex, relationships, and half a loaf of bread have in common? Granted, it is an unusual way to begin an academic inquiry and has certainly raised its fair share of bemused eyebrows. One trainee offered – rather sadly, that all three eventually go stale, while another, with much greater affection, suggested that toast always tastes better when shared. Crumbs aside, both have wisdom, but neither quite gets us to the understanding we seek. For that, we must journey deep into evolutionary history — not into the realms of human love or longing, but into the earliest stirrings of life. Nearly two billion years ago, when the primordial soup was still little more than a bland stirring of single-celled organisms, there arose a coup so profound that it would ripple through time to influence and indeed create, every life that would ever live and every pregnancy that would bring birth into waiting arms. This event was endosymbiosis — an unprecedented union of two cells from which would arise mitochondria, tiny factories that would not only empower life but provoke a cascade of biological adaptations that would redefine the living world as we know it [1-4].

Discussion

Endosymbiosis: A Partnership That Changed Everything

Algae in bloom, a squid, an ant, a child brushing her teeth - all need energy to move, grow, and live. This fundamental necessity has shaped the very blueprint of life. From pond slime to the Cheewhat Giants of Canada, from the layered leaves of a tall acacia to the craning neck of a giraffe rifling its boon, every living cell, no matter how small or towering, how trivial or grand, arose in pursuit of fuel in the form of adenosine triphosphate (ATP), the universal currency of biological energy, to action all the many verbs of its living. ATP is forged first by the glycolysis of sugars in the cytosol. Later, metabolic fragments, such as acetyl-CoA, yield NADH and $FADH_2$, which donate electrons to the electron transport chain — a series of protein complexes embedded in the cell membrane, much like handing over groceries at a checkout line. As the electrons move down the chain, they pump protons across the cell membrane, creating an electrochemical gradient called the proton motive force. These protons re-enter the cell through ATP synthase — a molecular turbine that spins as protons flow through it, generating kinetic energy to bind ADP with a third phosphate group and form ATP. This chemical bond is extraordinarily powerful, storing energy that fuels the entire cell. The process is oxidative phosphorylation. It produces the vast majority of energy for all cells. It is so fundamental that it is considered as essential to life as coal was to the Industrial Revolution [2,3].

However, whilst sufficient to keep the fires burning, the feast it fared was still far too frugal to feed anything other than the appetites of a small and tiny cell – and, woe betide the folly of moving beyond such means. As cells grew, their volume, like hungry bellies groaning, was too much to be appeased by such scanty servings. The purse strings were held tightly, and so scrupulous was that grip that life had no choice but to remain confined for nearly 2 billion years, flitting about as prokaryotes: small, efficient and wildly prolific but never more than simple single-celled organisms [2,3]. Then, in a moment of extraordinary evolutionary daring, an archaeal host engulfed a free-living bacterium. Remarkably, instead of being digested, the smaller survived, and the two brokered a deal of endosymbiotic relationship that would revolutionize biology entirely [1,2]. Now, with an internal partner generating ATP, the host had something more than the limited surface area of its membrane to make energy. No longer dallying with scarcity, it was cashed up — like a wallet filled with coins, it could expand and diversify. It could grow larger, evolve internal architecture, and store more genetic information than ever before. It became compartmentalized, layered, and baroque in design. Organelles emerged like furnishings, each dedicated to a specialized task. Its membrane was freed for other tasks: to envelop and decorate, to festoon with appendages — cilia to sweep, flagella to swim, receptors to sense — innovations that allowed it to explore and navigate, to move, to invade, to conquer the environment around it. With its membrane intact, the engulfed cell showered ATP to its host like a child blowing bubbles. It was to become a mitochondrion. Over generations, it became sleek and svelte, offloading much of its DNA to the host to improve efficiency and replication [4]. The two became indelibly partnered, a fusion that birthed the Eucaryotic cell - a vessel of unparalleled potential and complexity.

With the emergence of eukaryotes, so too came everything else. The fossil record exploded with diversity - it grew arms and legs, snouts and trunks — a festival of shape and form that filled the primordial brew to brimming. Everything we see today — every animal and plant and all the myriad diversity of life in between- began with this sentinel event. This evolutionary expansion was not simply a transition from one form to another nor a slow seasoning of primordial stew. It was something else entirely. According to biologist Lynn Margulis, the eukaryotes were as avant-garde to their primal pond-mates as a song is to stutter or a croissant to bread crumbs. Not simply more, but miraculously and enduringly different. The internalization of mitochondria was like rain on dry ground — it allowed life to rise, expansive, exuberant, and energetically abundant from the arid soil of prokaryotic simplicity [2].

The Mitochondrial Paradox: Power and Peril

Mitochondria brought power - but like embers rising from a hearth, they also brought the peril of destruction. To understand this paradox, we must revisit the electron transport chain, that swirling mêlée of motion in which electrons are flung from one receptor to another, driving protons out of the cell. In the frenzy of such shuffle, some electrons are dropped - like wine splashed from a teetering glass. These renegade electrons react with oxygen to form reactive oxygen species (ROS) — unstable, corrosive molecules that spray asunder like gravel from a spinning tyre, damaging everything in their way with heedless impunity [5].

Nothing is spared. Mitochondria, their DNA and lipid membranes fall target, as do the surrounding structures of the host cell [5]. The theory that such cumulative oxidative damage contributes to cellular aging was first proposed by Denham Harman in 1956 — and has shaped much of how we understand mitochondrial biology and senescence today [6]. Over time, this internal arson leaves mitochondria battered and bruised. The cells that house them suffer a double blow: they are damaged by the same ROS and, at the same time, are looted of energy as mitochondrial function fails [5,6]. Starved and thinned, they bear a cumulative toil of attenuation, culminating eventually in death. For the tissues and organs made of them, frailty and senescence, degenerative disease and aging all attest to the sequelae of this relentless attrition [6-8].

Adaptive Innovation: Multicellularity and the Rise of Sex and Gender

Can mitochondrial activity ever be freed from its Faustian bargain? It seems unlikely. Energy and entropy, like the fumes of an engine running, are bound indelibly. But evolution tried. The vulnerability of cells — and mitochondria — to oxidative stress varies widely across species, shaped in part by metabolic rate, which mirrors energy demand. Smaller animals, such as rodents and dogs, tend to age faster, succumbing more quickly to the assault of ROS. In contrast, larger species — whales, humans, and, curiously, birds — have developed greater resilience.

Evolution devised protective strategies to guard against oxidative wear and tear. Cells evolved repair mechanisms, isolating and correcting mitochondrial and nuclear DNA damage. They compartmentalized risk, shielding sensitive processes in membrane-bound sanctuaries. Cells began to cluster into colonies, sharing nutrients, shelter, and the debris of others less able to survive. These gatherings grew into multicellular organisms, with division of labour, specialized functions, and complex cooperation. Communication between cells became essential — hormones, synapses, receptors. Self-regulation included apoptosis, which urged damaged cells to bow out gracefully through a process of programmed death rather than persist impoverished and imperil the whole [9].

How to cite this article: McCully B, Dodampahala H. Mitochondria, Reproduction, and the Cellular Origins of Women's Health. Ann Rev Resear. 2025; 14(3): 555887. DOI: 10.19080/ARR.2025.14.555887

However, perhaps one of the most audacious solutions was sexual reproduction. Asexual replication – efficient and fast - had reached its limit. Cloning perpetuated damage. Sexual recombination, on the other hand, offered renewal: the merging and reshuffling of genomes from two parents. This process enabled genetic repair, diluted the burden of harmful mutations and introduced variation – fuelling adaptability and long-term survival [9].

Sex brought a new problem. Mitochondria do not mingle well. Each mitochondrion had evolved in tandem with its host's nuclear genome. Over evolutionary time, mitochondria discarded much of their DNA, handing it over to the host, which, like a mother pandering to a child coming home, was content to add it to its own [4]. Mitochondrial function now relied on an intimate and precisely coordinated conversation between the two genomes. Introducing others from different lineages — which would occur as two cells fused — risked dysregulation and inefficiency. The host genome might "speak" effectively with foreign mitochondria. The solution? Exclude one parent's mitochondria entirely [10].

Maternal Inheritance and the Cellular Origins of Gender

The solution was both partisan and pragmatic – if there were too many cooks in the kitchen, one had to leave. Thus, over evolutionary time, cells began to exclude mitochondria from one of the fusing cells – now called a gamete. This event became fertilization. One cell - streamlined and swift – evolved to deliver only its nuclear DNA, jettisoning its cytosol and mitochondria before union. This would become the sperm. In contrast, the other cell, the recipient oocyte or egg, evolved into a capacious, nurturing vessel — retaining the combined nuclear DNA and its cytosol, nutrients, and mitochondria, often numbering over 100,000 per oocyte [11,12]. From this asymmetry arose a lasting distinction: sperm and egg, male and female gametes, which marked the origin of biological sex and gender. Thus, uniparental (maternal) inheritance became a biological imperative to ensure mitochondrial fidelity, reducing the risk of dysfunction and enhancing cellular performance of the newly formed embryo across generations [10,11].

Mitochondrial Eve

Mitochondrial Eve is the most recent common ancestor from whom all humans alive today inherit their mitochondrial DNA. She lived around 150,000 to 200,000 years ago in Africa [13,14]. This unbroken maternal pathway offers a remarkable evolutionary tool: mitochondrial mutations — whether harmless or harmful — are transmitted directly to all offspring, with changes occurring slowly and predictably over time. This allows us to trace ancestry, human migration, and disease patterns across fields as diverse as anthropology, evolutionary biology, and genomic medicine [13-15]. Spare a thought for the paternal mitochondrial line. It is lost entirely — culled at fertilization by the roll of an evolutionary dice that thought best to do so, despite all the countless generations that preserved it till then. Abandoned, it vanishes from the future with a bow of chivalrous valour – bravo, gentlemen.

Clinical Resonance: Mitochondria in Women's Health

Mitochondria remain central players in early embryogenesis, powering development from the moment of fertilization. Success depends almost entirely on those provisioned by the oocyte and on their ability to align with the new genome of the early zygote [16]. A culling process ensues, where those less able to adapt are lost. It creates a bottleneck that ensures only the mitochondria that can best fit with the new embryonic genome can survive [17]. If the remaining mitochondrial reserve is insufficient, the embryo is at risk of early implantation failure, miscarriage, or chromosomal instability, including aneuploidy [16,17]. Mitochondrial dysfunction is also implicated in later obstetric complications: preeclampsia, intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR), and gestational metabolic syndromes such as diabetes and hypertension. As women age, mitochondrial number and efficiency decline, leading to diminished ovarian reserve, infertility, and reproductive failure [16,17]. Today, this understanding is shaping the development of innovative fertility treatments. One such intervention, mitochondrial donation — often referred to as "three-parent IVF" — involves replacing compromised maternal mitochondria with healthy donor cohorts. This technique aims to restore energy production, improve embryo viability, and prevent the transmission of known mitochondrial diseases. For women facing infertility or the risk of passing on inheritable disorders, such approaches offer new hope for reproductive success [18,19].

A Return to the Table: The Answer to the Question

So then, what do sex, relationships, and half a loaf of bread have in common?

Relationships, like endosymbiosis, begin as circumstantial unions — full of promise and desire. Some endure, others unravel, and those that persist demand give and take, compromise and an evolved co-existence that melds them together.

Mitochondria brought power and opportunity but, unbeknownst, wrought challenge and adversity. They evoked a scramble to survive, to innovate and endure. From this arose resilience and unimaginable change. It brought complexity, multicellularity, gender, and the accolades of sexual reproduction, including, not least, the children born from it.

But what of bread - you might still be wondering. Within each cell, mitochondria remain like yeast in dough, in numbers from hundreds to many thousands, accounting for nearly 20 per cent of total cell mass. This means that, for a baby born at term, nearly one-fifth of its weight, almost 700 grams, is made up of mitochondria, metaphorically, about the same as half a loaf of bread [20] - voila.

How to cite this article: McCully B, Dodampahala H. Mitochondria, Reproduction, and the Cellular Origins of Women's Health. Ann Rev Resear. 2025; 14(3): 555887. DOI: 10.19080/ARR.2025.14.555887

Conclusion

The story of mitochondria is one of paradox and possibility - a Faustian tale of partnership and collaboration that has endured for over 2 billion years. Through provocation and persistence, this merger between an archaeal host and a bacterial ancestor seeded the evolution of life as we know it. Had it not been for this, life might well have remained little more than simple pond slime. Mitochondria brought unbridled energy, the power to grow and expand. However, like the Midas touch, they also brought the terrors of turmoil and toxicity that continue to vex the very life they helped to create. As cells adapted, modern medicine continues to reckon with the shadow of mitochondrial function. From degenerative disease, aging and cancer to infertility and early pregnancy loss, many of life's most persistent challenges are traced back to this evolutionary contract. Can we have one without the other? Probably not, but we can try. Finally, for those of us who witness birth - who lift the soft weight of life newly born, remember, much of what we hold comes from the mass of mitochondria teaming within — passed from mother to child in a story four billion years in the making. It is our reason for being - and as good a reason as any for showing up to work each morning.

References

1. Margulis L (1970) Origin of Eukaryotic Cells. New Haven: Yale University Press.
2. Lane N (2005) Power, Sex, Suicide: Mitochondria and the Meaning of Life. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
3. Gray MW (2012) Mitochondrial evolution. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 4(9): a011403.
4. Timmis JN, Ayliffe MA, Huang CY, Martin W (2004) Endosymbiotic gene transfer: organelle genomes forge eukaryotic chromosomes. Nat Rev Genet 5(2): 123-135.
5. Balaban RS, Nemoto S, Finkel T (2005) Mitochondria, oxidants, and aging. Cell 120(4): 483-495.
6. Harman D (1956) Aging: a theory based on free radical and radiation chemistry. J Gerontol 11(3): 298-300.
7. Bratic A, Larsson NG (2013) The role of mitochondria in aging. J Clin Invest 123(3): 951-957.
8. Wallace DC (2010) Mitochondrial DNA mutations in disease and aging. Environ Mol Mutagen 51(5): 440-450.
9. Maynard Smith J, Szathmáry E (1995) The Major Transitions in Evolution. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
10. Beekman M, Dowling DK, Aanen DK (2014) The costs of being male: are there sex-specific effects of uniparental mitochondrial inheritance? Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 369(1646): 20130440.
11. Giles RE, Blanc H, Cann HM, Wallace DC (1980) Maternal inheritance of human mitochondrial DNA. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 77(11): 6715-6719.
12. Sato M, Sato K (2011) Maternal inheritance of mitochondrial DNA by diverse mechanisms to eliminate paternal mitochondria. Biochim Biophys Acta 1813(1): 112-118.
13. Cann RL, Stoneking M, Wilson AC (1987) Mitochondrial DNA and human evolution. Nature 325(6099): 31-36.
14. Ingman M, Kaessmann H, Pääbo S, Gyllensten U (2000) Mitochondrial genome variation and the origin of modern humans. Nature 408(6813): 708-713.
15. Soares P, Ermini L, Thomson N, Mormina M, Rito T, et al. (2009) Correcting for purifying selection: an improved human mitochondrial molecular clock. Am J Hum Genet 84(6): 740-759.
16. May-Panloup P, Boucret L, Chao de la Barca JM, Desquiret-Dumas V, Ferré-L'Hotellier V, et al. (2016) Ovarian ageing: the role of mitochondria in oocytes and follicles. Hum Reprod Update 22(6): 725-743.
17. Bentov Y, Casper RF (2013) The aging oocyte—can mitochondrial function be improved? Fertil Steril 99(1): 18-22.
18. St. John JC (2019) Mitochondrial replacement therapy: can we prevent the transmission of mitochondrial DNA disease and improve fertility? Reproduction 157(1): F55-66.
19. Kang E, Wu J, Gutierrez NM, Koski A, Tippner-Hedges R, et al. (2016) Mitochondrial replacement in human oocytes carrying pathogenic mitochondrial DNA mutations. Nature 540(7632): 270-275.
20. Craven L, Tuppen HA, Greggains GD, Harbottle SJ, Murphy JL, et al. (2010) Pronuclear transfer in human embryos to prevent transmission of mitochondrial DNA disease. Nature 465(7294): 82-85.

DOI: 10.19080/ARR.2025.14.555887

How to cite this article: McCully B, Dodampahala H. Mitochondria, Reproduction, and the Cellular Origins of Women's Health. Ann Rev Resear. 2025; 14(3): 555887. DOI: 10.19080/ARR.2025.14.555887