Cell Phone Use While Driving: A Pattern of Risk in Young Novice Drivers
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Abstract
Texting while driving is becoming more prevalent among young novice drivers, and has been specifically targeted as a risk factor for teen motor vehicle crashes. However, educational campaigns and prohibitive legislation for texting while driving have had minimal success. This may be because drivers who text while driving also take other risks that contribute to crashes (e.g. ignore speed limits). To assess this possibility in a community sample of young drivers, we used a modified version of the commonly used Driving Behavior Questionnaire (DBQ), and included two items to identify cell phone use while driving (calling and texting). Cell phone use correlated with prior citations and crashes, and loaded highly with other intentional violations (showing good construct validity) in an exploratory factor analysis. This suggests a pattern of risky driving behavior, of which texting is only one manifestation. Future research should examine the unique contribution of cell phone use as a risk for crashes in young drivers.
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Introduction
Adolescents appear to be particularly vulnerable to distraction-related crashes and growing research suggests that cell phone use while driving—which increases a driver's crash risk four-fold—is only becoming more prevalent [1,2]. With mounting public concern, texting while driving (TWD) has been targeted as a public health risk, yet educational campaigns and prohibitive legislation have had minimal success [3]. One possible reason for this is that TWD is only one of many risky behaviors that young drivers may perform: e.g. those who engage in TWD may also take other intentional risks (e.g. ignoring speed limits) that lead them to crash. More recent work is exploring these patterns of behavior and the individual traits of drivers which may indicate higher risk [4,5].

The Driving Behavior Questionnaire (DBQ) [6] is one of the most commonly used measures of risky driving behavior that has been validated across numerous countries and populations, showing success in predicting self-reported crashes [7]. However, it was developed in the late 1980s before the widespread use of cell phone technology. While modified versions of the DBQ have been developed, few have included items of cell phone use among young drivers [8,9]. Here, we measured risky driving behavior with a modified version of the DBQ, and two additional items of cell phone use while driving. We used factor analysis to determine whether cell phone use represents a unique source of driving risk behavior (reflected in self-reported citations and crashes) in a sample of young novice drivers.

Methods
Sample
One hundred and eighteen young adults were recruited from the final wave of the longitudinal Philadelphia Trajectory Study (PTS) [10] to complete a follow-up survey of driving (approved by the IRB of the Children's Hospital of Philadelphia). The PTS enrolled 387 youth aged 10 to 12 years in 2007 and retained 290 at ages 18 to 19 years. The current analysis was conducted with 84 participants who held a driver’s license and completed the questionnaire. The mean age was 18.93 years (SD=.77; range: 18-20.6 years) and 53.5% were female. This subsample of drivers did not differ substantially from the demographic profile of the wider PTS sample (when compared to a second random subsample of 84 participants).

Measures
A subset of items was adapted from the DBQ to examine self-reported driving experience (e.g. type of license, number of years...
driving), history of crashes and ticketed violations (citations) [6]. Crash history (ever; and in the past 12 months) and prior citations (ever) were coded from 0=none, 1=one, 2=more than one. The two crash history items were recoded into a single binary variable (ever crash: 0=never, 1=crash). Nine high-risk (“definite risk to others”) items were selected from the DBQ to measure engagement in risky driving behaviors. These driving behavior items were selected from the two most commonly reported DBQ latent constructs: deliberate violations (V: 5 items) and driving errors (E: 4 items) [6]. See Table 1. Two additional items asked about texting (TWD) and talking on a cell while driving (CWD). Participants indicated how often they engaged in each behavior using a 6-point scale (1=never to 6=nearly all the time) (Table 1).

**Table 1:** DBQ and cell phone use items, classification type (V=violations, or E=errors, according to Reason et al. [6]), frequency mean (M) and standard deviation (SD) values. Note: DBQ=Driving Behavior Questionnaire.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Driving Questionnaire Items</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ignored speed limits late at night or early in the morning?</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>1.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Drove close to a car ahead of you or flashed your lights as a signal to go faster or get out of your way?</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Became impatient with a slow driver in the left passing lane and passed on the right?</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Drove with only “half an eye” on the road while looking at a map or using the controls in the car?</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Took a chance on going through an intersection when the light turned red?</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Drove after consuming alcohol?</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Misjudged the speed of an oncoming vehicle when passing a car?</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Failed to check your mirrors before pulling out of a parking spot or changing lanes?</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Failed to notice someone stepping out from behind a bus or parked vehicle?</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Talked on a cell phone while driving?</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Answered a text message while driving?</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Analysis**

Statistical analyses were conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics 24 and Mplus 8. We conducted an exploratory factor analysis of the 11 risky driving items, using a principal axis extraction and a varimax rotation (with Kaiser normalization). We then subjected the solution to a confirmatory factor analysis (CFA). Correlation coefficients between the risky driving items and prior crashes and citations were calculated.

**Results**

These young drivers had an average of 2 years driving experience (SD=1.2). Twenty-five (29.8%) reported being in at least one crash as a driver, and 13 (15.5%) reported that they had previously received a citation. Approximately 3 out of 5 participants reported that they engaged in CWD (60.7%) and TWD (58.3%). The cell phone items were interrelated with many of the DBQ items. Exploratory factor analysis revealed three underlying factors with an eigenvalue >1. The first factor (eigenvalue=3.84) explained 34.9% of the variance, and consisted mostly of violations (4) the cell phone items (2), plus one error item. CWD and TWD loaded highly on this factor. TWD and two other items were related to crash history, and all but one item correlated positively with prior citations (see Table 2). Thus, this factor appears to represent intentional violations. A second factor (eigenvalue=1.60) consisting of 2 error items and 1 violation accounted for 14.5% of the variance (Error factor). The third factor (eigenvalue=1.05) consisted of only 1 error (item 9) and explained 9.6% of the variance. The CFA confirmed the two factor model with a satisfactory fit: comparative fit index (CFI)=.97, the Tucker-Lewis fit index (TLI)=.96, and root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA)=.08. There was also a significant positive correlation between the two factors: r=0.562, p<.001 (Table 2).
Conclusions

Those who frequently engage in CWD and TWD were more likely to be involved in a crash, have prior citations, and commit other intentional driving violations—jeopardizing the safety of all on the road. Thus, TWD and CWD appear to be behaviors indicative of a broader pattern of intentional risk-taking while driving—in line with other work [4,5]. This pattern may be attributable to underlying characteristics or traits of young drivers that predispose to risky driving. Thus, it will be critical to determine if cell phone use is just one manifestation of such traits, or a unique risk factor for crashes. Future research should also focus on identifying the individual characteristics of adolescent drivers prone to engaging in risky driving behaviors, so that we may predict those at risk.
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