

Innovative Biological Approaches for Contaminants of Emerging Concern Removal from Wastewater: A Mini-Review



Fatma Arous, Chadlia Hamdi, Salma Bessadok, and Atef Jaouani*

Laboratory of Microorganisms and Active Biomolecules, University of Tunis El Manar, Tunisia

Submission: February 26, 2019; **Published:** May 10, 2019

***Corresponding author:** Atef Jaouani, Laboratory of Microorganisms and Active Biomolecules, Faculty of Sciences of Tunis, Institut Supérieur des Sciences Biologiques Appliquées de Tunis, University of Tunis El Manar, 9, Rue Zouhair Essafi, 1007 Tunis, Tunisia

Abstract

Over the last few decades, the presence of a group of contaminants, termed as Emerging Contaminants (ECs) in the wastewater stream is imposing a serious concern worldwide. Conventional treatment processes are not capable of removing these micropollutants resulting in their discharge to receiving surface waters, i.e. rivers, lakes and coastal waters. Various alternative approaches have been proposed and developed to remove and/or degrade organic pollutants from contaminated water bodies, including chemical, physical, and biological technologies. The latter offer potential advantages over other methods due to their less expensive cost and their environmentally friendlier nature. The aim of the present paper was to review the state-of-the-art biological processes for ECs removal and to determine future research directions. This mini-review focuses on the type of ECs being removed, the biological treatment applied, and the outcomes achieved. A critical look at current challenges was also provided along with critical comments and perceptive recommendations for further improvement of the performance of the developed methods.

Keywords: Biological treatment; Emerging contaminants; micropollutants; wastewater

Abbreviations: ECS: Emerging contaminants; EDCs: Endocrine Disrupter Compounds; MFCs: Microbial Fuel Cells; MnP: Manganese Peroxidase; LiP: Lignin Peroxidase; HRP: Horseradish Peroxidase

Introduction

Emerging contaminants (ECs), also called contaminants of emerging concern, are defined as compounds that are currently not included in routine monitoring programmes nor in existing legislation in the area of water quality, but which are thought to be potentially harmful to environmental ecosystems and human health [1]. This group encompasses a wide range of compounds, including pharmaceuticals, personal care products, pesticides, hormones and several other classes. Conventional treatment processes are not capable of removing these micropollutants resulting in their discharge to receiving surface waters, i.e. rivers, lakes and coastal waters. Although in-depth scientific investigations have been focused on pollutants like nutrients (nitrogen and phosphorus), hydrocarbons, and heavy metals in stormwater and wastewater, only restricted number of studies have reported on the survey of emerging contaminants and even fewer related to the determination of appropriate treatment approaches. The development of innovative processes for the treatment of water contaminated with emerging pollutants is an ongoing challenge and a continuing need. In this regard, various

approaches have been investigated and scaled up for the removal and/or degradation of organic pollutants including physical, chemical, and biological strategies. Among the developed approaches, biological technologies continue to attract significant interest of researchers as they have the potential to be less expensive and environmentally friendlier [2]. This mini-review sets out to provide an overview of different innovative biological approaches currently described in the literature for removing a list of emerging pollutants and to determine future research directions.

Innovative biological treatment processes

The conventional activated sludge processes employed at wastewater treatment plants are inefficient in completely removing the ECs as they are essentially designed to eliminate simple organic material and nutrients from the wastewater [3]. In addition, wastewater treatment plants are not well equipped to monitor the levels of ECs in the source wastewater [4]. Accordingly, the need is becoming obvious to re-design the conventional

system in wastewater treatment plants for a better handling and control of the ECs. Some adjustments in the operating conditions, such as hydraulic retention time, vigorous mixing, aeration, etc. have been proposed towards this objective [5]. However, the outcomes are not that satisfactory for the removal of emerging

contaminants [6]. In the recent years, numerous of improvements have taken place in the field of ECs treatment. The effectiveness of innovative biological approaches for micropollutants removal Table 1 is reviewed in the following sub-sections.

Table 1: Removal efficiency of ECs with biological technologies.

Removal techniques	EC	Removal efficiency, %	Reference
Constructed wetlands			
Horizontal subsurface constructed wetlands	Ibuprofen	80	Zhang et al. [7]
	Naproxen	91	
	Diclofenac	55	
	Carbamazepine	26	
Pilot-scale aerated Horizontal subsurface-flow constructed wetland	Atenolol	>75	Auvinen et al. [8]
	Bisoprolol	>50	
	Carbamazepine	<50	
	Diclofenac	<50	
	Gabapentin	<50	
	Sulfamethoxazole	<50	
Full-scale hybrid constructed wetland system	Bisphenol A	>99.9	Yi et al. [9]
	Acetaminophen	91.4	
	Gemfibrozil	94	
	N, N-diethyl-m-toluamide	93.1	
	Caffeine	80.3	
	Salicylic acid	77.2	
	Diclofenac	91.8	
	Clofibric acid	>99.9	
Sulfamethazine	>99.9		
Membrane bioreactor			
Full scale MBR plant	Diltiazem	100	Komesli et al.[12]
	Estrone	100	
	Progesterone	100	
	Acetaminophen	100	
Lab-scale MBR	LAS C9-13	100	Bernhard et al. [13]
	Ibuprofen	99	
	SPC C11	98	
	2,4- Dichlorobenzoic acid	83	
	Bayrepep-acid	93	
	DEET	62	
	Diclofenac	58	
	Clofibric acid	54	
	MCPP	50	
	TCEP	37	
	TCP	12	
	Carbamazepine	13	
	EDTA	0	
	Atrazine	9	
Bentazone	16		
Isoproturon	25		

Biofiltration			
Soil filtration	Estrogens	26	Carr et al. [17]
	17b-Estradiol	99	
	17b-Ethinyl estradiol	27	
	Triclosan	90	
	Ibuprofen	18	
Biological filtration	Cashmeran	68	Matamoros et al. [18]
	Ibuprofen	86	
	Benzothiazole, 2-(methylthio)	66	
	Tributyl phosphate	22	
	Methyl dihydrojasmonate	97	
	Tri(2-chloroethyl)phosphate	2	
	Diazone	8	
	Caffeine	49	
	Galaxolide	89	
	Tonalide	90	
	Terbutryn	94	
	Carbamazepine	5	
	Naproxen	72	
	Oxybenzone	89	
Triclosan	87		
Ketoprofen	99		
Diclofenac	93		
Enzymatic Treatment			
Laccase from <i>Trametes pubescens</i>	Bisphenol A	>99	Lassouane et al. [19]
Laccase from <i>Myceliophthora thermophila</i>	Morphine	100	Huber et al. [23]
Laccase from <i>Trametes versicolor</i>	Orange 2	72.8	Legerská et al. [24]
	Acid Orange 6	45.3	
Laccase from <i>Fomes fomentarius</i>	Remazol Brilliant Blue R	100	Neifar et al. [25]
Laccase from <i>Myceliophthora thermophila</i>	Estrogens	100	Lloret et al. [22]
	Diclofenac	100	
	Naproxen	100	
Peroxidase from <i>Pleurotus ostreatus</i>	Bromophenol blue	98	Kwang-Soo&Chang -Jin [26]
	Methylene Blue	10	
	Toluidine Blue O	10	
Bacterial peroxidases	Bisphenol A	100	Moussavi& Abbaszadeh Haddad [27]

Constructed wetlands

Phytoepuration (constructed Wetlands) are treatment systems that use natural processes involving wetland vegetation, soils, and their associated microbial assemblages to improve water quality. This type of approach have long shown to be efficient, low-cost and simple maintenance and operation treatment system for the removal of organic matter, suspended solids, nutrients and ECs from a wide range of wastewaters. The removal of micropollutants from wastewater by constructed wetlands takes

place by the combination/integration of three main processes, i.e. biodegradation a biological process, adsorption - a physicochemical process and oxidation - a chemical process. Several authors have reported some success in applying this technique for ECs removal. Zhang et al. [7] investigated the ability of tropical horizontal subsurface constructed wetlands planted with *Typha angustifolia* to remove four widely used pharmaceutical compounds, namely carbamazepine, declofenac, ibuprofen and naproxen. The results obtained showed a high removal of ibuprofen (80%)

and naproxen (91%), while low removal efficiencies have been achieved for carbamazepine and diclofenac. Auvinen et al. [8] tested a pilot-scale aerated subsurface-flow constructed wetland treating municipal and hospital wastewater. The atenolol and bisoprolol removal efficiencies were as high as 75% and 50%, respectively, while only limited removal of carbamazepine, diclofenac, gabapentin and sulfamethoxazole was achieved. In another study conducted to assess the removal of target emerging contaminants (i.e. acetaminophen, bisphenol A, clofibrilic acid, caffeine, crotamiton, diclofenac, N,N-diethyl-m-toluamide, gemfibrozil, lincomycin, salicylic acid, and sulfamethazine) by a full-scale hybrid constructed wetland system, results showed excellent removal efficiencies (>90%) [9].

Membrane bioreactor

Membrane Bioreactor (MBR) which integrates the activated sludge process and membrane separation (i.e. microfiltration or ultrafiltration), has gained a great deal of interest for the treatment of wastewater containing micropollutants and pathogenic microorganisms [10]. The removal efficiency of MBR is greater than the other biological systems owing to its important biological activity in the immediate vicinity of the membrane surface ensuring a higher removal rate of pollutant. Moreover, due to the membrane sieving effect, contaminants with a molecular weight higher than the molecular weight cut-off of the membranes are retained, bringing them in contact with the degrading microorganisms inside the MBR hence enhances the degradation process [11].

MBR has been deemed to be a promising technology for the treatment of a wide range of micropollutants including Endocrine Disrupter Compounds (EDCs), pharmaceutical compounds, pesticides, etc. Komesli et al. [12] evaluated a full scale MBR plant for EDCs (i.e. diltiazem, estrone, progesterone and acetaminophen) treatment, and using this approach, a complete removal of these molecules has been achieved. In another study by Bernhard M et al. [13], it was found that treatment by MBR results in significant better removals compared to activated sludge treatment, with a removal efficiency of 50- 100% for rapid biodegradable compounds, such as bayrepeleic acid, diclofenac and DEET. However, other studies revealed no increase in the removal efficiency of pharmaceutical compounds when using MBR process compared to conventional activated sludge process [14]. Overall, the removal efficiency of different emerging contaminants using MBR system is in the following order: EDCs > beta blockers > pharmaceutical compounds > pesticides [11,15,16].

Biofiltration

In addition to the above-mentioned technologies, other biological systems (e.g., soil filtration or biological filtration) have been investigated for the removal of ECs with interesting results. Carr et al. [17] examined biological degradation of six pharmaceuticals and personal care products by means of soil microorganisms and it was shown that estradiol derivatives were relatively easier to eliminate, while other compounds like ibuprofen and triclosan were just partially removed. According

to these researchers, soils under saturated conditions exhibited faster degradation rates than those remained non-saturated. In another study, the removal efficiency of 18 emerging contaminants has been examined in a biological filtration pilot plant based on *Daphnia* sp. [18]. Compounds like Ketoprofen, diclofenac and Terbutrin showed high removal rates, while others like carbamazepine, diazinone and tri-(2-chloroethyl)-phosphate achieved the low removal rates.

Enzymatic Treatment

The chemical structures of several emerging contaminants generally contain an aromatic moiety which can be the target for their modification by oxidative enzymes. The literature survey shows that most of the enzymatic remediation investigations use two families of enzymes, either laccases or peroxidases. These enzymes are very promising biocatalysts for the transformation of toxic organic pollutants into less toxic or non-toxic end products, thereby mitigating or eliminating contamination from the environment. The enzymatic treatments are considered tertiary treatment owing to their ability to transform the recalcitrant compounds that are not removed through secondary processes.

In recent times, laccase-catalyzed systems have shown considerable potential in degrading phenolic compounds, namely, Bisphenol A [19], Triclosan [20] and Nonylphenol [21]. In addition, quite a few studies focused on the efficacy of laccases to remove pharmaceuticals (i.e. antibiotics, anti-inflammatories, narcotic drugs), natural and synthetic hormones (i.e. estrone (E1), 17 β -estradiol (E2), estriol (E3) and EE2 (17- α -ethinylestradiol)) as well as various classes of aromatic dyes [22-24]. For instance, Lassouane et al. [19] reported the effectiveness of the immobilized laccase from *Trametes pubescens* in Bisphenol A removal from aqueous solutions. This biocatalyst was able to remove almost completely 20 mg L⁻¹ Bisphenol A in 2 h. Huber et al. [23] examined the removal of morphine by a laccase from *Myceliophthora thermophila* both in its free form as well as immobilized on Accurel MP1000 beads.

The results showed complete morphine elimination within 30 min for the free and the immobilized enzymes. Legerská et al. [24] reported color removal efficiencies of 72.8% and 45.3% for Orange 2 and Acid Orange 6, respectively, by employing fungal laccase from *Trametes versicolor*. In another study performed by Neifar et al. [25], a complete decolorization of the anthraquinone dye Remazol Brilliant Blue R was achieved when using laccase produced by *Fomes fomentarius*. Lloret et al. [22] examined the degradation of several pharmaceuticals and estrogen hormones by means of the commercial laccase from *Myceliophthora thermophila*. A complete removal of estrogens was achieved after only 15 min, while 1 h of incubation was required for total removal of diclofenac and 8 h to attain up to 60% of naproxen degradation.

Numerous studies have reported as well on the effective and extensive use of peroxidases in the oxidation of a vast variety of emerging compounds, including azo dyes, nonsteroidal

anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), hormones, antibiotics, and pesticides. These enzymes are extensively distributed in nature, particularly in plants, animals, and microbes. The white-rot fungus *Pleurotus ostreatus* produced an extracellular peroxidase that can decolorize several recalcitrant dyes including triarylmethane, heterocyclic azo, and polymeric dyes. The enzyme was more efficient in decolorizing Bromophenol blue (98 %), while heterocyclic dyes, Methylene Blue and Toluidine Blue O were least decolorized (only 10%) [26,27] utilized bacterial peroxidases-mediated bioprocess under H₂O₂-infusion for treating bisphenol A as a toxic endocrine disrupting compound. The complete biodegradation of 100 mg/L bisphenol A was achieved within 54 h reaction time at the optimum H₂O₂ bisphenol A molar ratio of 10. Pizzul et al. [28] examined the ability of pure Manganese Peroxidase (MnP), laccase, Lignin Peroxidase (LiP) and Horseradish Peroxidase (HRP) to degrade the widely used herbicide glyphosate, and achieved a complete degradation of glyphosate with MnP, MnSO₄ and Tween 80, with or without H₂O₂.

Biological treatment processes: Issues and outlooks

Although biological treatment approaches proved satisfactory results for emerging pollutants removal, they are, however, still inefficient to some extent. In fact, many compounds, when present in relatively high concentrations, may complicate and even inhibit the treatment. For instance, in a study performed by Seyhi et al. [29], it was found that excessive bisphenol-A concentrations (> 5 mg/L) disrupted the bacterial activity and bisphenol-A removal processes in a submerged membrane bioreactor [29]. In another study examining the impact of four commonly occurring pharmaceutically active compounds (ketoprofen, naproxen, carbamazepine and gemfibrozil) on nitrogen removal were shown to inhibit microbial activity of *Nitrosomonas europaea* at concentrations of 1 and 10 μ M [30]. On another hand, previous studies reported that biological treatment processes work well for easily degradable compounds, but not at all for recalcitrant ones. Research investigating three biological treatment processes including conventional activated sludge, biological nutrient removal, and membrane bioreactor have reported that only easily biodegradable ECs (i.e. caffeine, diclofenac, trimethoprim) can be removed, while no removal was achieved regardless of the treatment processes for the recalcitrant ECs (e.g., sulphuride, metoprolol, bezafibrate) [31].

Another concern in biological degradation is the production of transformation products that could be more toxic than the original pollutant, such as perfluoroalkyl acid derivatives (e.g., perfluorooctanoic acid and perfluorooctanesulfonic acid) [32]. Accordingly, following up on the toxicity of the biologically treated effluent can be as crucial as the analytical quantification of the parent pollutants. The research for alternative biological treatment approaches continues and some promising processes have emerged. For example, bio electrochemical systems, such as Microbial Fuel Cells (MFCs) and Microbial Electrolysis Cells (MECs), have been proposed as the next generation for

simultaneous wastewater treatment and energy production [33]. In bio electrochemical systems, biological oxidation of the organic contaminants occurs at the anode by bacteria forming a biofilm layer, with transfer of electrons from the microorganisms to the electrode surface. The electrons pass then through an external electrical circuit to the cathode where a reduction reaction may occur. By using bio electrochemical systems, Harnisch et al. [34] & Werner et al. [33] reported high efficiency in the removal of some selected contaminants. Currently, there is not a sole technology that is able to transform an entire family of ECs into friendly sub-products, and several pre- and post- treatment processes have to be involved to achieve high conversion rates for ECs. Further researches are needed to improve the performance of the current methods and to develop combined technologies for efficient ECs transformation.

Conclusion

In recent years many studies have been done on the development of innovative sustainable technologies for the removal of ECs in wastewater. Among them, as highlighted in the mini-review, biological processes have been proved to be suitable for the degradation of some organic micropollutants, whereas, some extreme recalcitrant contaminants still undegraded or only partially removed. The emphasis should be given to the development of more efficient innovative processes and to the investigation of the use of coupled systems which can bridge the deficiencies in existing single biological technologies for the removal of these complex pollutants present in the water environment.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research of the Tunisian Republic and the MADFORWATER Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Program [grant agreement No. 688320].

References

1. Farré M, Pérez S, Kantiani L, Barceló D (2008) Fate and toxicity of emerging pollutants, their metabolites and transformation products in the aquatic environment. *Trends Anal Chem* 27 (11): 991-1007.
2. Chaalal O, Zekri AY, Soliman AM (2015) A novel technique for the removal of strontium from water using thermophilic bacteria in a membrane reactor. *J Ind Eng Chem* 21: 822-827.
3. Arriaga S, de Jonge N, Nielsen ML, Andersen HR, Borregaard V, et al. (2016) Evaluation of a membrane bioreactor system as posttreatment in waste water treatment for better removal of micropollutants. *Water Res* 107: 37-46.
4. Bolong N, Ismail AF, Salim MR, Matsuura T (2009) A review of the effects of emerging contaminants in wastewater and options for their removal. *Desalination* 239 (1-3): 229-246.
5. Camacho-Munoz D, Martin J, Santos JL, Aparicio I, Alonso E (2012) Effectiveness of conventional and low-cost wastewater treatments in the removal of pharmaceutically active compounds. *Water Air Soil Poll* 223 (5): 2611-2621.
6. Zhao X, Chen ZL, Wang XC, Shen JM, Xu H (2014) PPCPs removal by aerobic granular sludge membrane bioreactor. *Appl Microbiol Biot* 98 (23): 9843-9848.

7. Zhang DQ, Tan SK, Gersberg RM, Sadreddini S, Zhu J, et al. (2011) Removal of pharmaceutical compounds in tropical constructed wetlands. *Ecological Engineering* 37 (3): 460-464.
8. Auvinen H, Havran I, Hubau L, Vanseveren L, Gebhardt W, et al. (2017) Removal of pharmaceuticals by a pilot aerated sub-surface flow constructed wetland treating municipal and hospital wastewater. *Ecological Engineering* 100: 157-164.
9. Yi X, Tran NH, Yin T, He Y, Yew-Hoong Gin K (2017) Removal of selected PPCPs, EDCs, and antibiotic resistance genes in landfill leachate by a full-scale constructed wetlands system. *Water Res* 121: 46-60.
10. Judd S (2008) The status of membrane bioreactor technology. *Trends Biotechnol* 26 (2): 109-116.
11. Ahmed MB, Zhou JL, Ngo HH, Guo W, Thomaidis NS, et al. (2017) Progress in the biological and chemical treatment technologies for emerging contaminant removal from wastewater: a critical review. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 323: 274-298.
12. Komesli OT, Muz M, Ak MS, Bakirdere S, Gokçay CF (2017) Comparison of EDCs removal in full and pilot scale membrane bioreactor plants: Effect of flux rate on EDCs removal in short SRT. *J Environ Manage* 203: 847-852.
13. Bernhard M, Müller J, Knepper TP (2006) Biodegradation of persistent polar pollutants in wastewater: comparison of an optimised lab-scale membrane bioreactor and activated sludge treatment. *Water Research* 40 (18): 3419-3428.
14. Goswami L, Vinoth Kumar R, Narayan Borah S, Arul Manikandan N, Pakshirajan K, et al. (2018) Membrane bioreactor and integrated membrane bioreactor systems for micropollutant removal from wastewater: A review. *Journal of Water Process Engineering* 26: 314-328.
15. Gruchlik Y, Linge K, Joll C (2018) Removal of organic micropollutants in waste stabilisation ponds: a review. *J Environ Manage* 206: 202-214.
16. Le-Clech P (2010) Membrane bioreactors and their uses in wastewater treatments. *Appl Microbiol Biot* 88 (6): 1253-1260.
17. Carr DL, Morse AN, Zak JC, Anderson TA (2011) Biological degradation of common pharmaceuticals and personal care products in soils with high water content. *Water Air Soil Poll* 217 (1-4): 127-134.
18. Matamoros V, Sala L, Salvadó V (2012) Evaluation of a biologically-based filtration water reclamation plant for removing emerging contaminants: A pilot plant study. *Bioresource Technol* 104: 243-249.
19. Lassouane F, Ait-Amar H, Amrani S, Rodriguez-Couto S (2019) A promising laccase immobilization approach for Bisphenol A removal from aqueous solutions. *Bioresource Technol* 271: 360-367.
20. Dou RN, Wang JH, Chen YC, Hu YY (2018) The transformation of triclosan by laccase: Effect of humic acid on the reaction kinetics, products and pathway. *Environ Pollut* 234: 88-95.
21. Catapane M, Nicolucci C, Menale C, Mita L, Rossi S, et al. (2013) Enzymatic removal of estrogenic activity of nonylphenol and octylphenol aqueous solutions by immobilized laccase from *Trametes versicolor*. *J Hazard Mater* 248-249: 337-346.
22. Lloret L, Eibes G, Lú-Chau TA, Moreira MT, Feijoo G, et al. (2010) Laccase-catalyzed degradation of anti-inflammatories and estrogens. *Biochem Eng J* 51 (3): 124-131.
23. Huber D, Bleymaier K, Pellis A, Vielnascher R, Daxbacher A, et al. (2018) Laccase catalyzed elimination of morphine from aqueous systems. *New Biotechnol* 42: 19-25.
24. Legerská B, Chmelová D, Ondrejovič M (2018) Decolourization and detoxification of monoazo dyes by laccase from the white-rot fungus *Trametes versicolor*. *J Biotechnol* 285: 84-90.
25. Neifar M, Jaouani A, Ellouze-Ghorbel R, Ellouze-Chaabouni S (2010) Purification, characterization and decolourization ability of *Fomes fomentarius* laccase produced in solid medium. *Journal of Molecular Catalysis B: Enzymatic* 64 (1-2): 68-74.
26. Kwang-Soo S and Chang-Jin K (1998) Decolorisation of artificial dyes by peroxidase from the white-rot fungus, *Pleurotus ostreatus*. *Biotechnol Lett* 20 (6): 569-572.
27. Moussavi G, Abbaszadeh Haddad F (2019) Bacterial peroxidase-mediated enhanced biodegradation and mineralization of bisphenol A in a batch bioreactor. *Chemosphere* 222: 549-555.
28. Pizzul L, del Pilar Castillo M, Stenström J (2009) Degradation of glyphosate and other pesticides by ligninolytic enzymes. *Biodegradation* 20 (6):751-759.
29. Seyhi B, Drogui P, Buelna G, Blais JF (2012) Removal of Bisphenol-A from Spiked Synthetic Effluents Using an Immersed Membrane Activated Sludge Process. *Sep Purif Technol* 87(5): 101-109.
30. Wang S, Gunsch CK (2011) Effects of selected pharmaceutically active compounds on the ammonia oxidizing bacterium *Nitrosomonas europaea*. *Chemosphere* 82 (4): 565-572.
31. Sui Q, Huang J, Deng S, Chen W, Yu G (2011) Seasonal variation in the occurrence and removal of pharmaceuticals and personal care products in different biological wastewater treatment processes. *Environ Sci Technol* 45 (8): 3341-3348.
32. Noguera-Oviedo K, Aga DS (2016) Lessons learned from more than two decades of research on emerging contaminants in the environment. *J Hazard Mater* 316: 242-251.
33. Werner CM, Hoppe-Jones C, Saikaly PE, Logan BE, Amy GL (2015) Attenuation of trace organic compounds (TOCs) in bioelectrochemical systems. *Water Research* 73: 56-67.
34. Harnisch F, Gimkiewicz C, Bogunovic B, Kreuzig R, Schroder U (2013) On the removal of sulfonamides using microbial bioelectrochemical systems. *Electrochemistry Communications* 26: 77-80.



This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License
DOI: [10.19080/AIBM.2019.13.555875](https://doi.org/10.19080/AIBM.2019.13.555875)

Your next submission with Juniper Publishers will reach you the below assets

- Quality Editorial service
- Swift Peer Review
- Reprints availability
- E-prints Service
- Manuscript Podcast for convenient understanding
- Global attainment for your research
- Manuscript accessibility in different formats
(Pdf, E-pub, Full Text, Audio)
- Unceasing customer service

Track the below URL for one-step submission

<https://juniperpublishers.com/online-submission.php>