Bonwill’s Triangle in Greek Human Mandibles
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Summary

This investigation was carried out at the Department of Anatomy of the Medical School National and Kapodistrian University of Athens. Nineteen [19] Greek human mandibles were examined [10 male and 9 females]. For all the skeletons, sex, age and cause of death were recorded. The examination of Bonwill’s triangle was obtained by examining the following dimensions:

i. Distance between the middle condylar point and middle incisal point.

ii. Distance between the left and the right middle condylar points.

The results were in accordance with Bonwill’s triangle. It was observed that Bonwill’s triangle in Greek human mandibles were equilateral about 101mm.

There was no difference in the dimension of triangle between male and female.
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Introduction

In 1858 an American dentist, G Bonwill described an equilateral triangle, which was the basis for Bonwill’s theory of occlusion. The equilateral triangle had 100mm [4 inches] formed by lines joining the medial contact point of the incisors and the centers of the mandibular condyles [1,2]. Bonwill based this theory on measurements of 6.000 skulls and 4.000 living persons [3]. A literature review shows that the ideal arch is considered to be symmetrical. Hawley based on the earlier work of Bonwill, described the ideal arch as being constructed upon an equilateral triangle with slight modifications [4]. Some later investigators Lenhossek, Izard, Jovanovic showed that the sides of this triangle may vary somewhat in length relative to another. These authors pointed out that Bonwill’s triangle was usually more or less equilateral, although its sides were frequently of a length less than 10mm, especially in the female [5]. They also showed that the sides of Bonwill’s triangle in 224 Yugoslav mandibles were rarely of equal length [6].

Baz determined normal arch size by a ‘geometric construction’ based on measurements taken directly on the patient’s face. This was a development of Hawley’s method of arch predetermination [7]. Wilson examined 300jaws and found 6% in accordance with Bonwill’s theory [8]. It has been referred that variations in the size of Bonwill’s triangle influence the angulations of cusp for complete dentures [8]. The construction of average articulators is generally in accordance with Bonwill’s theory and Monson’s pyramid is also based on Bonwill’s triangle [9]. Monson associated Bonwill’s triangle with his observations and formulated his spherical theory. The condylar path and occlusal plane form a curve which is part of an 8 inches sphere. The center of this sphere is common center of the mandibular motion. This sphere touches the apices of the Bonwill’s triangle [10]. The shape of the mandible and the size of Bonwill’s triangle have significance in clinical prosthetics as well as an anthropological interest. The symmetry and asymmetry have always been an interesting subject of study by scientists of different fields, for example anatomists, anthropologists, dentists, orthopedists and others. The aim of our investigation was to estimate Bonwill’s triangle in Greek human mandibles.

Materials and Methods

This study was carried out at the Department of Anatomy of the Medical School National and Kapodistrian University of Athens. Nineteen mandibles [10 males and 9 females] were examined. For all the skeletons, sex, age and cause of death were recorded. It has
been used on the assumption that the studied mandibles were not mixed and retain consistent hereditary characteristics. The study of Bonwill’s triangle was formed by lines joining the medial contact point of the mandibular central incisors and the centers of the mandibular condyles. Bonwill’s triangle was obtained by following the dimensions:

a) The distance between the middle condylar point and the middle incisal point

b) The distance between the left and middle condylar points. All the measurements were taken with sliding caliper. The mandibles were placed in horizontal position (Figure 1).

From the anthrometrical point of view empirical methods were not the most satisfactory, but in this case, there was alternative (Figures 1-3).

**Results**

This study was performed using the sample t-test for independent samples with equal variances. It has been showed from (Tables 1 & 2) that the Bonwill’s triangle was equilateral in Greek mandibles. All the examined dimensions were also smaller in female mandibles than male mandibles. The standard deviations and standard errors of the mean were larger in the female (Tables 1 & 2). It reflects the small sample of female mandibles. Our calculated t was t=1, 65 and degrees of freedom \[n1 + n2 - 2 = 17\] df=17. The calculated t was smaller than the critical value t=1, 74 [11]. We concluded that our t-test was no significant. T-test demonstrated that there was no difference of the dimension of the Bonwill’s triangle between male and female.

**Table 1:** Dimension of female Greek mandible.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>SE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Left condylar-incisal</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>101.38</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right condylar-incisal</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>101.24</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>0.456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breadth</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>101.38</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2:** Dimension of the male Greek mandible.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>SE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Left condylar-incisal</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>101.72</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>102.4</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right condylar-incisal</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>102.4</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>length</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>102.4</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle bicondylar Breadth</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>102.4</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discussion**

The objectives of this discussion will be to analyze and reappraise the Bonwill’s triangle as it is applied for the construction of the complete dentures. Variations in the size of the Bonwill’s triangle influence the cusp angulation for complete dentures. Monson based on Bonwill’s triangle said the condylar path and occlusal plane form a curve which is a path of an 8 inches sphere. This sphere touches the apices of the Bonwill’s triangle. The Balkwill angle is formed between occlusal plane and the Bonwill’s triangle. Bosse measured 500 human mandibles and found a marked variation in the distance between the condyles. He found an average distance of 96mm by measuring from the middle of the mesial surface of one condylar to the lateral surface of the other [8,12]. Choquet [13] measured 149 jaws and concluded that the internal distance between the condyles was from 65mm to 96mm. He also mentioned the asymmetric position of the condyles [13]. Amoedo [14] referred that the distance between the condyles varied from 70mm to 130mm [14].
Wilson [15] examined 300 mandibles and concluded that 6 per cent of them were in accordance with Bonwill’s theory [15]. The above investigators measured mandibles on dried skulls. It is known that dried bones change form [13]. The results of our investigation showed that the triangle was equilateral in accordance with Bonwill’s theory. All the examined dimensions were smaller in female jaws than male ones. Zivanovic [5] measured the triangle in East African mandibles and concluded that overall they were greater than the dimensions of European populations. He presented an isosceles triangle because of the elongation of the mandibles [5]. Intercondylar distances had been determined by means of the condylator’s face bow between the arbitrary hinge axis points on the skin for 300 men and 300 women were statistically compared. The mean intercondylar distance for men was 115.2mm and for women was 105.4mm, for Turkish population [16]. The differences were highly significant \( p<0.001 \) [16]. Our results showed that there were no differences in Greek human jaws.\(^2\)

The above investigators measured mandibles on dried skulls. Some authors used intercondylar width as a guide to set up complete denture teeth. They tried to determine if there was a relationship between intercondylar distance and inter-arch distance between upper canines, upper first molars, lower canines and lower first molars in denture subjects [18-20]. However, the correctness of Bonwill’s theory has been questioned. The results of Bonwill’s triangle on living persons had not had sufficient correctness of Bonwill’s theory has been questioned. The results of our investigation showed that the triangle was equilateral in accordance with Bonwill’s theory. All the examined dimensions were smaller in female jaws than male ones. Zivanovic [5] measured the triangle in East African mandibles and concluded that overall they were greater than the dimensions of European populations. He presented an isosceles triangle because of the elongation of the mandibles [5]. Intercondylar distances had been determined by means of the condylator’s face bow between the arbitrary hinge axis points on the skin for 300 men and 300 women were statistically compared. The mean intercondylar distance for men was 115.2mm and for women was 105.4mm, for Turkish population [16]. The differences were highly significant \( p<0.001 \) [16]. Our results showed that there were no differences in Greek human jaws.\(^2\)
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