Effect of Delayed Bonding and Different Antioxidants on Composite Restoration Microleakage of Internally Bleached Teeth
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Introduction

Discolored anterior teeth are often perceived as an esthetic detraction, where esthetic of anterior teeth is an important approach in the modern dentistry [1]. The coronal portion of the tooth consists of enamel, dentine and pulp. Any change to these structures is likely to cause an alteration in the outward appearance of the tooth caused by its light transmitting and reflecting properties [2]. The causes of tooth discoloration are varied and complex but are usually classified as being either intrinsic, extrinsic or internalized in nature. Stains within the dentine or intrinsic discoloration often results from systemic or pulp origin [3]. The bleaching of discolored endodontically treated teeth has become increasingly important in recent years. In the middle of the 19th century, the first attempts were made to lighten discolored teeth using various agents. Initially, oxalic acid was used, until the tooth bleaching effect of hydrogen peroxide was discovered in 1884 [4]. Hydrogen peroxide or its derivatives are used in various concentrations in most bleaching techniques [5].

The use of dental bleaching agents has various side effects, such as pulpal sensitivity, changes in the tooth structure,
microleakage in pre-and-post placed composite restorations and external root resorption [6]. A decrease in the adhesion of different restorative materials following bleaching of enamel and dentine has also been reported [7]. Various theories have been proposed to explain the adverse effect of bleaching on composite resin to teeth. Bleaching with hydrogen peroxide may result in significant decrease of enamel and dentin calcium and phosphate content and in morphological alteration in the most superficial enamel crystallites [8,9]. Other author speculated that residual peroxide and/or oxygen radicals in bleached teeth interfere with the polymerization of adhesive restorative material and decrease bond strength [10].

Several methods have been proposed to minimize these problems, such as removal of the superficial enamel layer, pretreatment of bleached enamel with alcohol, use of adhesives containing organic solvents, cavity cleansing with catalase or sodium ascorbate antioxidant, and a post-bleaching waiting period ranging from 24 hours to three weeks [11].

The most common of these methods is to delay any bonding procedure for 2-3 weeks after bleaching [10]. These recommendations are based on reports that the effect of free radicals of bleaching material on enamel or dentin after bleaching is temporary.

Materials and Methods

Sixty sound human mandibular second premolar teeth of comparable sizes, extracted for orthodontic purposes. The samples were divided into six groups, each of 10 specimens: (A) Negative control group (no bleaching), (B) Positive control group (immediate restoration) after bleaching, (C) Delayed restoration after bleaching and antioxidant materials after bleaching which involve the three remaining groups, (D) 10% green tea, (E) 10% sodium ascorbate and (F) 10% pine bark as shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Bleaching</th>
<th>Time of Bonding</th>
<th>Antioxidant Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Immediate</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Bleached with 35% H₂O₂</td>
<td>Immediate</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Bleached with 35% H₂O₂</td>
<td>After 14 days</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Bleached with 35% H₂O₂</td>
<td>Immediate</td>
<td>10% Sodium Ascorbate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Bleached with 35% H₂O₂</td>
<td>Immediate</td>
<td>10% Green Tea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Bleached with 35% H₂O₂</td>
<td>Immediate</td>
<td>10% Pine Bark</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Access openings (3mm x 3mm) were made using round diamond bur for entrance and endodontic fissure bur for completion of cavity in a high-speed headpiece under cooling with water/air. For all teeth, endodontic treatment were performed and the canals will be prepared, using Rotary Protaper Universal files (Dentsply, Switzerland) and obturated with single cone gutta-percha of the accordance size and resin based sealer (META BIOMED Co., Ltd., Cheongwon, Korea). The excess coronal gutta-percha was thermally cut beyond the orifice to provide space for placing 2 mm thickness of self-cured glass ionomer restorative material (SDI, Australia), over the root filling.

Bleaching procedure

All teeth (except group A) were subjected to bleaching procedure by using Opalescence® Endo (Ultradent, USA) which is 35% Hydrogen Peroxide (HP). 0.1 ml of the material was delivered to the pulp chamber and was sealed with a Olightemp C temporary cement (Olidental, Germany). The samples were stored for five days in an incubator at 37°C and 100% relative humidity, according to the manufacturing instructions.

Delayed bonding

For (Group C), after removal of bleaching material, the samples were stored in distilled water for 2 weeks before restoration.

Antioxidant preparation and application: In Groups (D, E, and F) bleaching was followed by treatment with antioxidants 10% sodium ascorbate solution, 10% green tea extract solution, and 10% pine bark extract solution respectively. To prepare 10% antioxidant solution, 10g of the material was dissolved in 100ml of distilled water at 37°C. The antioxidant solution delivered into the access cavity and left for 30 minutes. After that, it was removed by rinsing the cavity with deionized water for 2 minutes.

Restorative procedure

All access cavities were bonded using Etch and Rinse technique. Etching was performed using with 32% phosphoric acid for 15 seconds, rinsed thoroughly for 30 second and blot dried; then the adhesive (Scotchbond™ Universal Adhesive) was applied and rubbed on the etched surface for 20 second and light-cured for 10 seconds using LED curing device (Perfection plus, U.K.).

Resin composite (Filtek™ Bulk Fill Posterior Restorative) was used to fill the cavity by placing two layers each of 3.5 mm thickness and light cured for 40 seconds.

Thermaocycling procedure

The bonded specimens were subjected to 500 thermal cycles between water baths of 5 and 55°C with a dwell time of 30 seconds.

Microleakage test: The specimens were covered with two coats of nail varnish except 1 mm around the tooth-restoration interface and the root apices were sealed with inlay wax. After immersion in 2% methylene blue for 1 day, the teeth were washed in tap water, and the nail varnish and the wax was removed with a scalpel. The teeth were sectioned longitudinally from labial to lingual direction passing through the center of the restoration using a low-speed diamond disc. Microleakage was assessed by using a stereomicroscope at (40X). The two halves of each sectioned sample were examined buccally and lingually at the tooth (Figure 1).

Figure 1: Criteria for evaluating microleakage scores.

Table 2: Comparison of negative control group (A) and positive control group (B) using Mann-Whitney U test (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) at a significance level of 0.05.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Mean rank</th>
<th>Mann-Whitney U</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*HS: Highly significant difference (p<0.01).
Sig.: Significant difference

Table 3: Kruskal-Wallis test involving the negative control group (A) and the test groups (C-F).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Mean Rank</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>7.15</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>13.85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>7.55</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>Sig.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>13.45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>Sig.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Kruskal-Wallis test showed that, there was no significant difference (p>0.05) between group A (negative control group) and group C (delayed bonding) as well as the antioxidant treated groups (D-F) as shown in Table 3. Kruskal-Wallis test, also showed a highly significant difference when the experimental groups (C-F) were compared to the positive control group (Group B). Mann-Whitney U test was used to assess the source of the difference. The results showed a highly significant difference (p<0.01) in microleakage between group B (BL. + immed. Bond) and group C (BL. + Del. Bond), and between group B and group E (BL. + G.T.).

In addition, there was a significant difference (0.05> p >0.01) between group B and group D (BL.S.A.); and between group B and group F (BL. + P.B.) as shown in Table 4.

Table 4: Mann whitney test between positive control group (B) and the experimental groups (C-F).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Mean Rank</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>0.783</td>
<td>NS*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>23.55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>28.35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sig.: Significant difference
NS: No significant difference

The microleakage data were analyzed by Kruskal–Wallis and Mann-Whitney U tests. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 20.

Results

The results of descriptive statistics revealed that the highest microleakage mean value was observed in group B (1.7) and the lowest in group A (0.2) as shown in Figure 2.

Mann Whitney U test revealed that, group B (immediate bonding) showed a significantly higher microleakage (p=0.003) than group A (negative control group) (Table 2 & 3).
From the results of Kruskal-Wallis test shown in the Table 3, there was no significant difference among the delayed bonding group (c) and the antioxidant treated groups (D-F), as well as among the antioxidant treated groups together (Figure 3).

![Figure 3: Microleakage depth of penetration scores.](image)

**Discussion**

It is obvious from the results of this study that composite restorations which were immediately bonded to bleached samples in (Group B), showed highly significant increase in the microleakage compared to those restorations in the unbleached samples (Group A). This mean that immediate bonding after intracoronal bleaching produces incomplete sealing of composite restoration. This may be due to the presence of residual peroxide and oxygen in the enamel and dentine, which can interfere with resin attachment and inhibit resin polymerization.

In enamel, a SEM examination of interface between bleached enamel and resin suggested presence of defective resin tags which penetrate lesser depth than unbleached surface [12]. SEM examinations of interfaces between resin and bleached enamel displayed a granular and porous aspect with a bubbled appearance. It has been suggested that they may be due to gaseous bubbling, which could be the result of oxidizing reactions due to the entrapment of peroxide in the subsurface layer of the enamel [13].

In dentine, it has been suggested that the decrease in microleakage might be attributed to the accumulation of oxygen in dentin, since dentin acts as an oxygen reservoir. The release of oxygen might interfere with resin infiltration into etched dentin or polymerization of resins that cure via a free-radical mechanism [14].

In this study, when the specimens were bleached and stored 14 days in DDW then bonded with composite (Group C), increase in the sealing ability of the restoration up to the level obtained in the non-bleached control (Group A) was reached. Khoroushi et al. [6] suggested, a certain waiting period is required to allow a gradual elimination of residual oxygen from the bleached surface before adhesive restoration [15]. This may be due to that immersion in water causes dispersion of residual oxygen remains in the enamel and dentin matrix. Another explanation is that hydrogen peroxide is extremely unstable, losing its activity after some time [16].

Many studies reported that the application of 10% sodium Ascorbate (SA) antioxidant into the access cavity of intracoronally-bleached tooth allows immediate composite restoration after
nonvital bleaching through its ability to remove oxygen radicals [15,17]. However, sodium ascorbate has been found to be mutagenic for mammalian somatic cells [18].

Green tea is made from the *Camellia sinensis* plant. It contains flavanoids or catechins, such as epigallocatechin gallate (EGCG) [19]. Green tea catechins have shown to possess potent antioxidant activity that is several times higher than that of vitamins C and E [20]. In recent years, the use of 10% green tea has been studied as an antioxidant material after dental bleaching. Additionally, green tea is a natural product, cheap and with an extended shelf life which could be an option for use after bleaching [21].

Pine bark extract contain oligomeric-proanthocyanidins that are a class of polyphenolic bioflavonoids found in fruits and vegetables which have free radical scavenging and antioxidant activity. They also have antibacterial, antiviral, anti-inflammatory, antiallergic, anticarcinogenic, and vasodilatory actions [22]. Some *in vitro* studies observed that 10% pine bark extract was useful reversing the effect of free radicals on bleached enamel [23]. However, to date no study compared those materials together.

In the present study, treatment with 10% of either antioxidant solution (Group D-F), resulted in leakage score with no that there was no significant difference in microleakage when compared with negative control group (Group A). This indicates that the sealing ability of the composite restoration of the bleached and antioxidant treated teeth was reversed to the value of unbleached teeth.

Antioxidants act by ending the electron stealing reaction of free radicals, by donating one of their electrons, although, they not become a free radical by donating an electron because they are stable in either form. In another word, they act by scavenging or chain breaking, where they intercept radical chain and break the oxidizing reaction by trapping peroxy and other reactive oxygen free radicals [24].

These study results are consistent with previous studies, that claimed the use of antioxidant material are useful in reversing the adverse effect of the bleaching material on the microleakage of composite restorations [25,26].

The study findings were also supported by many researchers, who stated that these materials were able to restore the bond strength of the bleached teeth to the level of unbleached one [1,13,27,28]. The results also showed that there were no significant differences among the antioxidant treated groups (D-F) when compared to each other. These findings indicate that all these antioxidant materials (sodium ascorbate, green tea and pine bark) are equally effective in neutralizing the deleterious effect of bleaching on the microleakage of composite resin.

The study results were supported by previous researches which compared between two of these materials. Two of these studies showed that there is no significant difference in bond strength when the bleached samples treated with sodium ascorbate and green tea, and both materials were able to neutralize the negative effect of bleaching on composite restorations [21,29,30]. Subramonian, Mathai [23] stated that, there was no significant difference in bond strength of the groups treated with sodium ascorbate and pine bark.

**Conclusion**

Under the limitation of this *in vitro* study, the following conclusions were obtained:

- **a)** Immediate restoration of bleached enamel and dentine with 35% hydrogen peroxide gel for 5 days, resulting in marked increase in microleakage of composite resin restoration, which performed immediately after bleaching.
- **b)** Delaying the bonding of composite resin to the bleached teeth for 14 days was enough to get rid of the adverse effect of bleaching agent on the microleakage of composite restoration.
- **c)** Treatment of the bleached teeth with 10% sodium ascorbate, 10% green tea and 10% pine bark antioxidant in solution forms for 30 minutes can neutralize the deleterious effect of bleaching material on the microleakage of immediately placed composite restoration.
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