Direct Transference of Emergence Profile in Implant Supported Fixed Bridges
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Abstract

An implant restoration in the anterior area requires careful management of soft and hard tissues due to biological, functional and aesthetics requisites. The use of provisional restorations is necessary to create a proper emergence profile and mimic the natural dentition and their supporting gingival tissues. It also contributes to the health of peri-implant tissues and facilitates to perform a correct oral hygiene. Transferring the shape of the created emergence profile is key to fabricating the proper final restoration. This report describes a direct technique to take a final impression of an implant-supported bridge. Impression abutments and flowable composite resin were used to fill the gingival emergence profile and obtain a precise master model cast.
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Introduction

The esthetic success of implant supported restorations is given by the form and health of the surrounding peri-implant and pontic site tissues. To obtain predicted outcomes, definitive restorations must follow the optimal soft tissue modification established intraorally by provisional restorations [1]. After the removal of the implant healing caps the geometry of gingiva is circular. Specifically, incisors have a triangular gingival profile [2]. This shape can be modeled by the weekly addition or removal of composite resin to the provisional restoration over a period of 1 or 2 months, simulating the shape of the final restoration. A screw retained provisional restoration is the indicated because of the cement absence. However, an unfavorable screw access could be an indication of cement retained provisional [3]. Once a natural emergence profile that pleases both the clinician and the patient is achieved (Figure 1), a final impression can be taken to obtain the master cast [4].

The soft tissue contour created during the provisional restoration must be preserved on the definitive model to have an accurate communication with the laboratory [1]. Direct and indirect techniques are used to transfer the emergence profile. Indirect techniques need the fabrication of custom impression copings, having the inconvenient of cost and chair time. Direct techniques use the interim restoration as an impression coping or an in situ registration of the surrounding tissues. The main objective of this article is to describe a technique that preserves and reproduces the emergence profile of both implants and pontics, by intraoral application of a flowable photo-polymerized composite during the final impression procedure.

Technique

*Figure 1: Emergence profile achieved by the use of provisional restorations.

Figure 2: Impression transfers are placed in the implant connections.

a) After removing the provisional restoration, a standard impression abutment is placed in the implant connection (Figure 2). An intraoral radiograph may be necessary to
assure the correct fit of the impression abutment on the implant.

b) The space between the impression post and the gingiva is immediately filled with flowable composite resin in layers of 2mm maximum thickness (Filtek Supreme XTE Flowable Restorative; 3M ESPE). The resin was subsequently polymerized (Figure 3).

c) The same procedure is utilized in the ovoid pontic of the gingiva to fill and copy the emergence profile. The flowable composite resin in the pontics area must join with the composite resin around the impression abutments. Thus, the entire composite will come out as a block when taking the final impression (Figure 3).

d) Once all of the flowable composite resin has been placed and polymerized, a final impression with polyvinylsiloxanes or polyethers was taken to obtain a final model cast (Figure 4).

Discussion

Several published techniques have been used for customized impression abutments [1,4,5]. Extraoral and intraoral procedures can be used to customize abutments during unitary restorations, but to our knowledge the literature only describes extraoral procedures in restorations involving implants and pontics [5]. These extraoral procedures may require extended chair time. Moreover, soft tissues may collapse intraorally while the abutments are being customized extraorally. This may cause discomfort to the patient when the customized impression abutments are placed on the implants. However, the use of customized healing abutments can avoid tissue collapse [6]. The direct application of flowable composite resin to the gingival [4] is advantageous, in that the final impression is taken directly in the patient’s mouth. This technique allows the reproduction of the shape of the pontic intaglio surface form and the implant prosthesis, reducing procedure time and minimizing gingival collapse. This is a fast and accurate method of transferring the correct shape of the created emergence profile to fabricating the final restoration.
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