Historical Perspective in Surgical Endodontics
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Abstract

The historic pathway to present-day surgical endodontic procedures and their applications has been twisty and unrestrained. These surgical procedures have encountered many tests and trials over the decades. Luckily for present practitioners, prominent members of the oral surgery community, and a few reliable believers in retaining devitalized teeth, continued in their investigation and search for improved procedures that had expected outcomes. Many so-called "innovative" or newer techniques practiced today are nothing but a comeback of surgical concepts that were lost in the annals of time. With the advent of microsurgical endodontics, these procedures are now supported extensively by science of endodontics and by its integration into materials usage, microsurgical instruments, technique applications and outcomes research. However, in many respects, this story is just beginning, as the "origins" of surgical endodontics are discovered. The present article highlights a chronological sequence in the history of surgical endodontics. This historical perspective begins with the ancient civilizations and spotlight predominant dentists, especially endodontists and their contributions in the field of development of surgical endodontics through time.
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Introduction

According to strictest sense and definition of the word “surgery”, most endodontic treatments fall into the category of a surgical procedure, since it involves removal of tissues, such as vital pulp, necrotic debris or dentin. The term surgery is derived from the Greek word ‘Cheir’ (Hand) ‘Ergon’ (to work), and from the Latin word ‘Chirurgia’ “treatment of disease, injury or deformity by manual or instrumental operations, as the removal of diseased parts of tissue by cutting” [1].

The term “Endodontic Surgery” encompasses surgical procedures performed to remove the causative agents of radicular and periradicular disease and to restore these tissues to functional health [2,3].

Presently, there are four major areas of surgical endodontics, namely; surgical drainage, radicular surgery, replacement surgery and implant surgery. These areas of endodontic surgery have certain basic similarities but have considerable differences as well in terms of indications and techniques, which should be well known to the endodontists, as it has been correctly said by Late Dr. Irving J Naidorf, that “A good surgeon knows how to cut, a better surgeon knows when to cut and the best surgeon knows when not to cut” [20].

Although, over the past few decades, the list of indications for surgery has diminished, there are definite cases in which the tooth cannot be saved without surgery and these constitute the ‘core’ of indications. But, the surgical approach is indicated only when non-surgical retreatment is not possible or will not correct the problem, as, endodontic surgery has often been perceived with skepticism because of high precision requirement, low success rate and management of conscious, nervous patients [2,3].

Hence, the modern day dental surgeon, who performs this surgery must have in-depth knowledge of surgical principles,
case selection, indications and contraindications of the procedure and recent advances [4,5,21,22].

Historical Perspective

The science of surgical endodontics dates its origin back to 1500 yrs ago, when the first recorded surgical endodontic procedure of incision and drainage of an acute abscess was performed by Aetius, a Greek physician dentist. Since then surgical endodontics has been developed and refined as a result of valuable contributions of many pioneers in dentistry including Abulcasis, Fauchard, Hullihan, Martin, Partisch and Black.

Dr. Louis I. Grossman, dean of endodontics in America, has contributed significantly to the science of endodontics and has divided the 200 yrs between 1776 and 1976 into four periods, and each period consist of fifty years duration. This bicentennial history of surgical endodontics against the background of general dentistry is presented here.

First period: 1776-1826

First book of oral surgery was published in 1776, by Jourdain.

Cauterization of the pulp: Principles of cauterization and use of cautery were proposed and practiced by Robert Woffendale in 1783.

Diagnosis and treatment of abscess: Josiah Flagg of Boston, the first native American to practice dentistry exclusively as a profession, relieved pain of an abscessed tooth by creating an opening in the tooth, leading to pulp cavity.

- John Baker’s handbills of 1776 indicate an early recognition of abscessed teeth.

- In 1801, Richard Cortland described an abscessed tooth as: “Pus being a fluid, and pent up in socket of the lower jaw, must and will make its way out. It cannot ascend to the surface or edge of the gum; it must therefore take another direction; and without early professionally assistance, penetrates the alveoli, gum and integuments of the face, from whence flows a watery ichor that continues until the cause is removed”.

The treatment of an abscessed tooth during this period was done by application of heat in the mouth to bring the abscess to a head so that the pus gets discharged, pressure is relieved and pain stopped.

Transplantation and Replantation of teeth: Joseph Fox, strongly recommended transplantation of single rooted teeth in his book


- James Gardelte in 1850, proposed intentional replantation of teeth, for the first time in the history of dentistry.

Second period: 1826-1876

Introduction of Rubber Dam: Rubber dam was introduced by Sanford C. Barnum of New York, in 1864.

Anaesthetics

- In 1800, Sir Humphry Davy used Nitrous oxide to abolish pain during surgery.

- In 1846, William T.G. Morton, used sulfuric ether as an anaesthetic.

- In 1847, James Y. Simpson, a Scott physician announced the discovery of chloroform.

- First hypodermic injection using a syringe was performed by Dr. Francis Rynd. A syringe without suitable anaesthetic for injection however was useless, hence, in 1844, Carl Koller of Vienna, discovered the anesthetic effect of cocaine.

Management of alveolar abscess

- Harris in 1839, proposed the use of a ‘lancet or sharp pointed knife to evacuate pus from a tumor of gums.

- In 1874, Adolph Witzel, described an operation for mummification of pulp.

- Simon P. Hullihan described an operation of entering the pulp chamber, through the neck of the tooth, for draining a periapical abscess. This procedure was called as “Hullihan’s operation”.

- In 1850, W.H. Atkinson, suggested the use of sulphuric acid to burnout the fistula.

Third period: 1876-1926

Diagnostic Radiography

- X-rays were discovered by W.K. Roentgen in 1895 and their use in endodontics was first propounded by Otto Walkhoff, who took radiographs of his own teeth.

- In 1896, Otto Walkhoff and Fritz Giesel established the first dental roentgenological laboratory in the world.

Focal infection theory

- This theory was promulgated by William Hunter in 1910. It came as ‘black eye blow’ to the science of endodontic surgery as it led to wholesale extraction of pulpally involved teeth, which was encouraged by medical profession as a treatment of variety of illness, but was a setback to endodontics.

Advent of asepsis

- In 1876, Robert Koch proposed his postulates. Nine years later, Lister, introduced antiseptic surgery by using a weak solution of phenol over wounds.

- The concepts of asepsis were introduced in 1890s.

Local Anesthetics

- William S. Halsted of New York gave the first mandibular block injection with a cocaine solution.
Use of procaine as a local anesthetic was first described in 1906.

By the mid 1920s, intra-pulpal anesthesia was being commonly used to induce anesthesia of the exposed pulp, using a cook syringe.

**Root-end resection**

- Claude Martin was the father and the inventor of root end resection in 1881. He described the use of this technique to manage teeth with draining sinus tracts.
- Root end resection was recommended in 1884, when Farrar managed the alveolar abscesses by passing a drill through gums and bone and reaching the root ends, which were then resected accordingly.
- G.V. Black recommended the amputation of the apex of the root of any teeth in the case of long neglected abscesses in 1886.
- Actual root end resection is often identified with pre Columbian dentistry practiced in Ecuador by Saville, who discovered a skull with a tooth which was implanted and gave every indication of resection of the apical portion of the root.
- In the middle of 18th century the root end fillings placed after resection were usually wax, lead or gold.
- A report on root end resection with immediate root canal fill and management of the apical filling was provided by Brophy in 1880.
- Later in 1892, Ottolengui presented a succinct technique for immediate filling of root canal followed by resection of root apex.
- Between 1893 to 1900 in Germany, Carl Partisch proposed “Wurzelspitzenresection” i.e. root end resection under “Chloroformnarkose” i.e. chloroform. He used a vertical incision with iodoform packing technique for the procedure.

**Root resection**

- Complete root removal or root resection was first performed by Magitot in 1867, in 1867.
- Later in 1893 White and Younger recognized the need to remove roots in their entirety that were plagued with “pyorrhea alveolaris”.

**Sophistication of surgical endodontics**

- In 1908, Beal contributed towards development of endodontic surgery in France by publishing several articles and techniques on “root apex resection”.
- In 1915, Neumann focused on lower molar surgery.
- Otto Hofer, in 1935 provided a thorough review of surgical flap designs for purpose of endodontic surgery and Partisch I proposed soft tissue management and techniques for cyst management.
- First indication for the use of amalgam as root-end filling material was provided by Ross in this era, when he described the Castenfeldt technique for management of exposed dentin.

**Fourth Period: 1926-1976**

- This period was marked by the renaissance of endodontic treatment due to developments and improvement in radiographs, anesthetics, new agents, armamentarium, procedures and research.
- During this era, attention on surgical armamentarium was at its peak, with introduction of Killian headband, which was used for root end resection, coupled with surgical shield, suggested by Witzel in conjunction with the head band.
- Berger, Ruggier, Moorhead, Kay and Posner advocated semilunar flaps as modus operandi, with triangular flaps beginning to appear.
- Also the use of mallet and chisel for root end resection was replaced by the use of burs.
- In 1924, Blayney and Wach published an article on a study that they conducted to prove that new cementum deposition and periodontal healing were possible on the surface of resected dentin.
- In 1935, Dr. Fernando Garcia, for the first time proposed the use of zinc oxide eugenol as root end filling material.
- Between 1941 and 1950, Cyrus Jones from New York recommended one visit root canal fill followed by surgical curettage. He also used chloroform at the apex to soften and dissolve the excess gutta-percha making a perfect joint.
- Also, during this period more attention was focused on surgical curettage and total eradication of the soft tissue surrounding the root.
- In 1959, Omnell published case report identifying the presence of an electrolytic precipitate of zinc carbonate adjacent to an amalgam root fill. It was considered inflammatory due to resorption of adjacent bone.
- Sommer and Eklof advocated the use of reverse silver cone placement if access to the root canal could not be obtained through the canal.
- Later, in 1958 Messing introduced Messing-gun, which is routinely used for placement of amalgam at the root end.
- In 1943, American Association of Endodontists was formed. The year 1950 saw the development of microsurgery and Digital Optical Microscopes were invented in 1960s.
- A more conservative attitude with regard to apical
surgery developed in the late 1960s when Bhaskar called attention to the fact that periapical cysts are present in more than 40% of cases, a much higher incidence, than previously reported.

- During the 1970s evolution of surgical endodontics must be credited to the European professionals, who detailed surgical flap designs and management of the resected root ends.

- In the latter half of the twentieth century, reason and rationale were brought to surgical endodontics with the extensive treatise on surgical endodontics by Jorgen Rud, Jens Andreasen and JE Moller-Jensen. Their studies fostered the use of alternative root end filling materials that favored tissue regeneration.

- Later mineral trioxide aggregate (MTA) was discovered and brought into use by Mahmoud Torabinejad in 1993 in California.

**Classification of Endodontic Surgery**

A. According to Ingle and Bakland [23]

1. Surgical drainage
   a) Incision and drainage.
   b) Cortical trephination (fistulative surgery).

2. Periradicular surgery
   a) Curettage.
   b) Biopsy.
   c) Root end resection.
   d) Root end preparation and filling.
   e) Corrective surgery
      ii. Root resection.
      iii. Hemisection.

3. Replacement surgery (extraction/replantation)

4. Implant surgery
   a) Endodontic implants.
   b) Root form osseous - integrated implants.

B. According to Cohen and Burns [24]

Cohen and Burns classified surgical endodontics according to various radiographic and clinical presentations.

Class A - It represents the absence of a peri-apical lesion but unresolved symptoms after non surgical approaches have been exhausted. The symptoms are the only reason for the surgery.

Class B - Represents the presence of a small peri-apical lesion but no periodontal pockets.

Class C - Represents the presence of a large peri-apical lesion progressing coronally, but without periodontal pockets.

Class D - Represents a clinical picture similar to Class C, but with a periodontal pocket.

Class E - Classifies a peri-apical lesion with an endodontic and periodontal communication, but no root fracture.

Class F - Represents a tooth with an apical lesion and complete denudement of the buccal plate (Figure 1).

![Figure 1: Surgical endodontics according to various radiographic and clinical presentations.](image-url)

C. According to Franklin S. Weine [25]

1. Peri-apical surgery
   a) Curettage, apicoectomy and retrograde filling.
   b) Surgery for root fractures.

2. Amputational surgery

D. According to Richard E. Walton and Torabinejad [26]

1. Incision for drainage.
2. Apical surgery.
3. Corrective surgery.
4. Root amputation, hemisection and bi-cuspidization.

E. According to Kim [27]

1. Apical surgery
   - Apicoectomy and retrograde filling.

2. Peri-radicular surgery
   - Correction of procedural errors.
   - Management of root fractures.
   - Intentional extrusion.
I. Indications of Endodontic Surgery

1. Surgical drainage
   a. Necessity for drainage
      i. Elimination of toxins
      ii. Alleviation of pain

2. Apical surgery
   a. Irretrievable root canal fillings
      i. Obviously inadequate filling
      ii. Apparently adequate filling
   b. Calcified canals
   c. Procedural errors
      i. Instrument fragmentation
      ii. Non-negotiable ledging
      iii. Over-instrumentation and apical fracture
      iv. Symptomatic overfilling

3. Corrective surgery
   a. Root anomalies
      i. Dens in dente
   b. Perforating carious and resorptive defects
   c. Periodontal - endodontic defects

4. Replacement surgery
   a. Intentional
   b. Post-traumatic

B. Implant surgery
   a. Endodontic
   b. Endosseous

II. Contra-indications of Endodontic Surgery

A. General considerations
   1. Poor systemic health
   2. Emotional distress
   3. Limitations in surgical skills and experience of the operator.

B. Local considerations
   1. Localized acute inflammation: emergency procedures such as incision and drainage or trephination may be indicated whereas elective peri-apical surgery should be avoided.
   2. Anatomic considerations: Procedures that penetrate the mandibular canal, maxillary sinus, mental foramen, floor of the nares or that sever the greater palatine blood vessels should be avoided wherever possible.
   3. Inaccessible surgical sites, especially in posterior teeth with dense layers of bone and teeth with poor prognosis such as short rooted teeth, teeth with advanced periodontitis and vertically fractured teeth should not be considered for surgery.
   4. Indiscriminate surgery - Endodontic surgery should not be a compensatory treatment approach.

Now, coupled with the use of microscopes that has provided the dental professionals with a new visionary pathway for successful treatment in the new millennium, all phases of endodontic microsurgery can be managed with greater predictability.

Prof. Gabriele Pecora stated that “Light and magnification have given a better standard of quality in dentistry with the invention of Surgical Operating Microscope” [29] (Figure 2).

With the advent of Surgical Operating Microscope which not only provides magnification, illumination, but also helps in documentation coupled with microsurgical instruments along with ultrasonic root-end preparations and sealing with new retrograde materials, the success of surgical endodontic
treatment will provide the answer to solving myriad problems that were once considered hopeless [30-35] (Figure 3).

Other important factors that have enhanced the success and increased the application of surgery are research and education. Advanced endodontic programs have increasingly emphasized surgical training in response to the need for this treatment approach [36-38].

There has been a sea of changes in approach towards microsurgical endodontics in the past decade or two, due to an avalanche of new techniques and materials which have given clinician a wide range of choices in this conservative approach and allow us to go ahead with confidence, in treatment, management and conservation of each tooth that could not be otherwise saved [39,40] (Figure 4).

An exhaustive effort towards conservation of maximum possible tooth and supporting structures has been justified by the fact that "a mouth without teeth is like a mill without its stone, and you must value a tooth more than a diamond".
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