Academic Journal of Politics and Public Administration

Juniper Publishers — key to the Researchers

Research Article
Volume 1 Issue 1 - February 2024

Acad J Politics and Public Admin

CBRN Threats: The Impact of EU-RADION on European Security: Addressing Radiological Threats in a New Era

Łukasz Szklarski*

University of ITTI, Poland

ORCID iD: 0000-0001-6779-5897

Submission: January 05, 2024; **Published:** February 01, 2024

***Corresponding author:** Łukasz Szklarski, University of ITTI, Poland

Abstract

The EU-RADION project, part of the European Union's Horizon 2020 program, revolutionizes radiological threat detection and emergency response strategies. It integrates advanced sensor technologies and real-time data analysis to enhance Europe's capabilities in managing radiological incidents. This article discusses the project's technological innovations, societal and environmental impacts, and its influence on national and EU-level policies. The study, combining primary experience in the project and secondary literature analysis, concludes that EU-RADION significantly advances national security paradigms and sets a foundation for future developments in radiological threat management.

Keywords: EU-Radion; Radiological Threat Detection; Emergency Response; Sensor Technology; National Security; CBRN

Introduction

Contextualizing Radiological Threats in Europe

In the wake of the 21st century, Europe has increasingly confronted the reality of radiological threats, a concern deeply rooted in historical precedents and amplified by contemporary challenges. The specter of radiological hazards, primarily associated with nuclear power generation and medical applications of ionizing radiation, has evolved, necessitating a robust and adaptive response mechanism. Historically, Europe's landscape of radiological threats has been significantly shaped by catastrophic events. The Chernobyl disaster of 1986 stands as a poignant reminder of the far-reaching consequences of nuclear incidents. This calamity not only led to immediate loss of life and environmental devastation but also precipitated long-term health effects across the continent [1]. Similarly, the Fukushima incident, albeit outside Europe, resonated globally, reinforcing the need for stringent safety measures in nuclear technology [2]. The radiation exposure from such incidents has been extensively documented, highlighting the diverse spectrum of health risks ranging from acute radiation syndrome to long-term cancer risks [3,4]. These events have also underscored the environmental impact of radiological contamination, disrupted ecosystems and necessitated years of remediation efforts [5]. Presently, Europe grapples with the challenges posed by aging nuclear facilities. The maintenance and decommissioning of these facilities pose significant radiological risks. Issues such as management of spent nuclear fuel and radioactive waste have become central to the discourse on radiological safety [6,7]. The safe disposal and containment of radioactive materials remains a critical concern, particularly given the longevity of radiological hazards associated with nuclear waste [8].

Moreover, the threat of radiological terrorism has emerged as a new dimension of concern. The potential use of radioactive materials in acts of terrorism, often referred to as 'dirty bombs', poses a unique challenge to national and international security frameworks [9]. This form of terrorism could lead to widespread panic, contamination of urban areas, and long-term economic and psychological impacts [10]. In response to these evolving threats, Europe has witnessed a paradigm shift in its approach to radiological safety and emergency preparedness. The emphasis has shifted from merely reactive measures to proactive risk assessment, technological innovation, and comprehensive emergency response planning. This transformation is pivotal in the context of the EU-RADION project, which symbolizes Europe's concerted effort to advance radiological threat detection and management capabilities. The EU-RADION project, therefore, emerges against this backdrop of historical precedents and contemporary challenges. It represents a significant stride in Europe's journey towards enhanced radiological resilience, offering innovative solutions to detect, assess, and respond to radiological threats in an increasingly complex and interconnected world.

Overview of the EU-RADION Project

The EU-RADION project represents a pivotal development in Europe's capacity to address radiological threats. Initiated as a part of the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program, the project is a consortium effort led by dr Łukasz Szklarski form ITTI SP ZOO in Poland, involving multiple European research organizations. The core objective of EU-RADION is to enhance the detection, identification, and management of radiological hazards, particularly in the context of Chemical, Biological, Radiological, and Nuclear (CBRN) threats. At the heart of EU-RADION lies a commitment to innovation and technological advancement. The project focuses on developing an integrated system of sensors and detection technologies, capable of identifying radiological materials with high accuracy and in real-time. This involves the deployment of advanced sensor technology, including stationary and mobile sensor units, which are designed to operate in diverse environmental conditions.

In addition to hardware, EU-RADION places significant emphasis on the development of sophisticated software tools for data analysis and decision support. These tools are intended to enhance situational awareness and enable rapid response in the event of a radiological incident. The integration of these technologies into a cohesive system represents a significant leap forward in terms of operational capabilities for first responders and CBRNe practitioners. Furthermore, EU-RADION seeks to address the training needs of these practitioners. The project includes components dedicated to training and simulation, ensuring that users are well-equipped to leverage the system's capabilities effectively. This focus on user-centric design and operational training is pivotal in ensuring that the technological advancements translate into tangible improvements in radiological threat management. The EU-RADION project also underscores the importance of collaboration and interoperability. By bringing together experts and stakeholders from different countries and sectors, the project fosters a collaborative environment that is crucial for addressing transboundary radiological threats. The system's design facilitates integration with existing security and emergency response frameworks, enhancing Europe's overall resilience to radiological hazards. In essence, the EU-RADION project is a testament to Europe's proactive and innovative approach to radiological security. It symbolizes a comprehensive effort to not only advance technological capabilities but also to foster collaboration, improve training, and integrate systems within a broader security framework. As such, EU-RADION is poised to play a crucial role in shaping Europe's response to radiological threats in the new era.

Materials and Methods

This study on the EU-RADION project combines primary research based on direct involvement in the project with secondary research through a critical analysis of scientific literature.

Primary Research: Direct Involvement in EU-RADION

The foundation of primary research is the author's involvement in the EU-RADION project. The author has unique insights into the project's development, challenges, and successes. The EU-RADION project, a part of the European Commission's Horizon 2020 initiative, is coordinated by dr Łukasz Szklarski form ITTI SP ZOO in Poland and involves a consortium of partners, including research organizations, universities, and emergency response agencies from several European countries. These partners collaboratively contributed to the development of an innovative sensor system for enhanced CBRN application, demonstrating the project's multifaceted approach to improving radiological detection and response capabilities. Project partners:

a) ITTI Sp. z o.o. (Poland) - Consortium Leader

b) University of Warsaw (Poland)

c) FOI -Swedish Defence Research Agency (Sweden)

d) FFI -Norwegian Defence Research Establishment (Norway)

e) AIRSENSE Analytics GmbH (Germany)

f) Technisch-Mathematische Studiengesellschaft mbh (Germany)

g) CLOR – Central Laboratory of radiological protection (Poland)

h) Storstockholms Brandförsvar (Sweden)

Their combined expertise, not only in radiation, but whole CBRN domain [11-16] allowed for a holistic development approach, fostering an environment of idea exchange and shared experiences crucial for the project's success. The collaboration facilitated an open exchange of ideas and expertise, ensuring that the system's design and functionality met the diverse needs and expectations of different stakeholders, as indicated in the project documentation.

Secondary Research: Critical Analysis of Scientific Literature

The secondary research entailed a thorough examination and analysis of existing scientific literature in the fields of radiological threat detection and emergency response strategies. This included reviewing recent advancements in sensor technologies, data fusion algorithms, and radiological hazard management. The literature review contextualized the EU-RADION system within the larger landscape of current technologies and emergency response challenges.

Research Question

Based on this methodological approach, the primary research question is formulated as: "How does the EU-RADION system, with its innovative approach in radiological threat detection and

How to cite this article: Szklarski Ł. CBRN Threats: The Impact of EU-RADION on European Security: Addressing Radiological Threats in a New Era. Acad J Politics and Public Admin. 2024; 1(1): 555555

response, contribute to advancing emergency response strategies, and what are its potential impacts on future developments in this field?" This question seeks to explore the transformative potential of EU-RADION in emergency response paradigms, evaluating its role as a catalyst for future technological and strategic advancements in radiological threat management.

Results

EU-RADION's Technological Innovations

Advanced Radiological Detection Systems: The EU-RADION project's cornerstone is its sophisticated array of advanced radiological detection systems, setting a new benchmark in the field of radiological hazard identification and response. These systems represent a confluence of cutting-edge technology and innovative engineering, tailored to meet the diverse challenges posed by radiological threats in modern Europe. The first aspect of these technological advancements is the development of highly sensitive sensors capable of detecting a wide range of radiological materials. These sensors are designed to discern subtle variations in radiation levels, offering unparalleled accuracy in the identification of potential hazards. The sensitivity and specificity of these sensors are critical in minimizing false alarms and ensuring reliable detection [17,18]. Complementing these sensors are mobile detection units, which provide the flexibility and mobility required in varied operational scenarios. These units can be deployed rapidly in different environments, ranging from urban centers to remote areas, ensuring comprehensive coverage and swift response. The integration of mobile platforms significantly enhances the operational reach of the EU-RADION system [19]. Another key innovation in EU-RADION's detection systems is the use of advanced data fusion algorithms. These algorithms integrate data from multiple sensors, both stationary and mobile, to create a cohesive and accurate picture of the radiological landscape. By correlating data from various sources, these algorithms enhance the system's analytical capabilities, enabling more informed decision-making in real-time [20].

Additionally, the project incorporates state-of-the-art communication technologies to facilitate the seamless transmission of data between sensors, mobile units, and command centers. This ensures that critical information is shared promptly and efficiently, vital for coordinating response efforts in emergency situations [21]. Moreover, EU-RADION's detection systems are designed with a strong emphasis on interoperability. This allows for seamless integration with existing security and emergency response infrastructures, augmenting the overall effectiveness of national and regional radiological defense strategies [10]. In summary, the advanced radiological detection systems developed under the EU-RADION project represent a significant leap forward in Europe's capacity to detect and respond to radiological threats. Through a combination of sensitive sensors, mobile units, data fusion algorithms, and integrated communication technologies, these systems provide a robust and flexible solution to the complex challenges of radiological hazard detection and management.

Integration with Existing Security Frameworks: EU-RADION's integration into existing security frameworks is pivotal for enhancing Europe's radiological defense capabilities. This integration involves several key aspects, ensuring that the technological advancements offered by EU-RADION are effectively utilized within the broader context of national and regional security strategies. Firstly, EU-RADION is designed to complement existing radiological monitoring networks. By integrating with these networks, the project amplifies the existing surveillance capabilities, providing a more comprehensive and layered approach to radiological threat detection. This integration ensures that data from EU-RADION sensors is effectively synthesized with existing data streams, enhancing the overall situational awareness and threat assessment capabilities [10]. Another crucial aspect of integration is the alignment with emergency response protocols. EU-RADION's systems are developed in consultation with first responders and CBRNe practitioners, ensuring that the technology aligns with the operational needs and protocols of these critical stakeholders. This ensures that in the event of a radiological incident, the response is swift, coordinated, and effective, leveraging the full capabilities of the EU-RADION system alongside existing emergency response mechanisms [19,21].

Moreover, EU-RADION acknowledges the importance of interoperability in a diverse and multifaceted security landscape. The project aims to develop systems that are compatible with various national and regional technological platforms, ensuring that the EU-RADION systems can be seamlessly integrated into different security infrastructures across Europe. This interoperability is crucial for fostering collaboration and coordination among different countries and agencies in the face of transboundary radiological threats [10]. In addition, the project emphasizes the need for standardization in radiological detection and response. By adhering to and contributing to the development of international standards, EU-RADION ensures that its systems are not only effective but also consistent with global best practices. This standardization is key in promoting a unified approach to radiological security across different jurisdictions [20]. In essence, the integration of EU-RADION with existing security frameworks is a multifaceted process, involving technological compatibility, operational alignment, interoperability, and standardization. This integration is fundamental to ensuring that the advancements made by EU-RADION are effectively leveraged to enhance Europe's capacity to detect, assess, and respond to radiological threats in a cohesive and coordinated manner.

Enhancing First Responder Capabilities

Training and Operational Advantages: The EU-RADION project substantially boosts the capabilities of first responders in managing radiological threats. This enhancement is achieved through comprehensive training and operational benefits designed to maximize the effective use of advanced radiological detection

How to cite this article: Szklarski Ł. CBRN Threats: The Impact of EU-RADION on European Security: Addressing Radiological Threats in a New Era. Acad J Politics and Public Admin. 2024; 1(1): 555555

systems. Training under EU-RADION focuses on both technical and tactical aspects. First responders are educated in the intricacies of operating state-of-the-art radiological detection equipment, ensuring proficiency in this advanced technology [22]. This training is vital for responders to accurately interpret sensor data and integrate this information effectively into their operational procedures. Additionally, tactical training sessions, crucial for preparing responders for various radiological scenarios, are conducted. These include simulations of radiological emergencies, ranging from accidental leaks to deliberate radiological attacks, thus preparing the responders for diverse and realistic situations [23]. The operational advantages provided by EU-RADION are significant. The project equips first responders with advanced sensors and mobile detection units, enhancing their ability to rapidly identify and assess radiological threats [24]. This rapid detection capability is essential for effective emergency response, enabling timely evacuation, containment, and decontamination actions.

Moreover, EU-RADION integrates advanced data fusion algorithms and robust communication technologies. These innovations provide responders with enhanced situational awareness through real-time data from various sources, facilitating strategic decision-making in emergency situations [19]. This improved situational awareness is critical for the coordination of multi-agency responses, ensuring effective and synergized efforts in managing radiological incidents. In summary, the training and operational enhancements introduced by EU-RADION markedly improve the preparedness and response capacity of first responders to radiological threats. Through a combination of comprehensive training and cutting-edge technology, EU-RADION ensures that Europe's first responders are well-equipped to protect public safety and effectively mitigate the impacts of radiological incidents.

Real-time Response and Mitigation Strategies: EU-RADION significantly enhances real-time response capabilities for radiological incidents. The system's integration of advanced detection technologies allows for immediate identification and assessment of radiological threats, enabling rapid and effective response [17]. This quick detection is crucial in mitigating the immediate impacts of radiological exposure and preventing wider contamination [18]. Moreover, the EU-RADION project includes developing strategic mitigation protocols. These protocols, informed by real-time data, guide first responders in implementing immediate protective measures and coordinating evacuation strategies. The system's ability to analyze and predict the spread of radiological materials aids in formulating targeted responses, minimizing the risk to public health and the environment [20].

EU-RADION also facilitates the effective deployment of decontamination procedures. The system's precise detection capabilities help identify the most affected areas, allowing for efficient resource allocation for decontamination efforts. This targeted approach is essential for restoring safe conditions post-incident [21]. The project's focus on real-time data processing and communication further enhances the ability to manage radiological incidents as they unfold. The system ensures that all stakeholders, from first responders to decision-makers, have access to the latest information, crucial for informed decision-making and swift action [19]. In summary, the real-time response and mitigation strategies developed under the EU-RADION project represent a comprehensive approach to managing radiological incidents. By providing rapid detection, strategic response planning, effective decontamination protocols, and seamless communication, EU-RADION significantly improves Europe's capacity to respond to and mitigate the effects of radiological threats.

Societal and Environmental Impact

Improving Public Safety and Awareness: The EU-RADION project's contribution to improving public safety and awareness in the context of radiological hazards is multifaceted and significant. Public engagement and educational initiatives spearheaded by EU-RADION play a crucial role in heightening public understanding and awareness about radiological risks and safety protocols. Such efforts are instrumental in dispelling fears and misconceptions surrounding radiological hazards, fostering a well-informed public [25]. This project not only enhances detection and response capabilities to radiological threats but also instills a sense of reassurance in the public. The advancements in real-time, accurate detection and swift response measures underscore a commitment to public safety, thereby bolstering trust in national and regional security systems. In turn, this contributes to a more resilient society, better prepared to respond to and recover from radiological incidents [10].

Moreover, EU-RADION's role extends to influencing public policy and regulations concerning radiological safety. By providing empirical data and insights drawn from advanced technological research, the project aids policymakers in formulating robust radiological safety standards and practices. This contribution is pivotal in shaping long-term strategies that prioritize public health and environmental safety in the face of potential radiological threats [21]. In summary, EU-RADION significantly impacts societal safety and awareness by enhancing technical response capabilities, educating the public, and influencing policy-making. These contributions collectively foster a safer, more informed, and resilient society, equipped to handle the challenges posed by radiological hazards.

Addressing Environmental Concerns and Hazard Management: The EU-RADION project's commitment to addressing environmental concerns and hazard management is a critical component of its broader objectives. By employing advanced technologies for early detection and response, the project significantly mitigates the risks of widespread environmental contamination following a radiological event [3,26]. This proactive approach is vital in preserving natural habitats and biodiversity, which can be severely impacted by

How to cite this article: Szklarski Ł. CBRN Threats: The Impact of EU-RADION on European Security: Addressing Radiological Threats in a New Era. Acad J Politics and Public Admin. 2024; 1(1): 555555

radiological contamination [18]. EU-RADION's capabilities in accurately pinpointing contamination sources and mapping affected areas are indispensable for implementing targeted and efficient remediation strategies. Such precise mapping ensures that decontamination efforts are not only effective but also minimize additional environmental disturbances [27,28]. The project's emphasis on sustainable decontamination methods underscores its alignment with environmental preservation and sustainability goals. These methods are designed to be effective in mitigating radiological hazards while also ensuring the protection of the environment [24,29]. This approach is particularly important in maintaining ecological balance and preventing long-term environmental degradation.

Furthermore, EU-RADION contributes to our understanding of the environmental impacts of radiological incidents. The data and insights gathered through the project's operations provide valuable contributions to environmental science, particularly in understanding how radiological materials interact with and affect ecosystems [30,31]. In addition to addressing immediate hazards, EU-RADION's role in environmental protection extends to influencing environmental policy. The insights and data generated by the project inform policymakers and stakeholders, aiding in the formulation of comprehensive environmental policies and practices related to radiological safety [17,20]. Overall, EU-RADION's approach to environmental concerns and hazard management is comprehensive, combining immediate response capabilities with long-term environmental sustainability considerations. Through its sophisticated detection and response mechanisms, commitment to sustainable practices, and contribution to environmental science and policy, EU-RADION stands as a significant initiative in protecting the environment from radiological threats.

Policy Implications and Recommendations

National and EU-level Policy Adaptations: EU-RADION's advancements necessitate policy adaptations at both national and EU levels. Integration of EU-RADION's technologies and strategies into national policies is essential for a unified response to radiological threats, requiring updates to existing safety regulations and emergency response protocols [32,33]. At the EU level, the project emphasizes the need for collaborative policies to enhance cross-border cooperation in managing radiological hazards, advocating for EU-wide integration of its findings [10,34]. Standardization of training and operational protocols across EU member states, as suggested by EU-RADION, will ensure consistent and efficient handling of radiological incidents [21,35]. Additionally, policies should reflect the project's emphasis on ecological conservation, promoting sustainable remediation methods and prioritizing long-term environmental well-being [23,36]. The implementation of these policy adaptations and recommendations will strengthen Europe's collective radiological defense and preparedness.

Future Research and Development Directions: The EU-RADION project, while a significant step forward, also highlights the need for ongoing research and development in the field of radiological safety and emergency response. Key areas for future exploration include:

a) Advanced Detection Technologies: Continuing to advance sensor technology is critical. Research should focus on increasing the precision and speed of radiological detection. This includes developing sensors with higher sensitivity and wider detection ranges, which can quickly identify a broad spectrum of radiological materials [27,28].

b) Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning: Implementing AI and machine learning algorithms can greatly enhance predictive analysis and threat assessment. This technology could provide more accurate predictions of radiological spread and impact, aiding in timely and effective response planning [19,20].

c) Sustainable Decontamination Methods: Investigating environmentally friendly decontamination techniques is essential. Future research should aim to develop methods that not only effectively remove radiological contamination but also minimize environmental impact, thereby aligning with sustainable development goals [23,27].

d) Integrated Communication Systems: Improving communication systems for efficient and rapid information sharing is crucial, especially in multi-agency and cross-border scenarios. Research should focus on developing integrated systems that facilitate real-time data exchange among EU member states, enhancing coordination during radiological emergencies [10,28].

e) Training and Simulation: Developing advanced training and simulation programs for first responders is another key research area. Future efforts could focus on creating more realistic and varied training scenarios that use virtual reality or augmented reality technologies, providing responders with immersive and comprehensive training experiences [22,35].

f) Policy and Regulatory Frameworks: Ongoing research into policy development is necessary to ensure that regulatory frameworks keep pace with technological advancements. This includes studying the impact of new technologies on current policies and proposing updates or new regulations to address emerging challenges in radiological safety [32,33].

g) International Collaboration: Finally, fostering international collaboration in radiological safety research is imperative. By sharing knowledge, resources, and expertise across borders, researchers can develop more effective and innovative solutions to global radiological threats [33,36].

h) In conclusion, the future of radiological safety and emergency response hinges on continuous research and development. By focusing on these key areas, the EU-RADION

How to cite this article: Szklarski Ł. CBRN Threats: The Impact of EU-RADION on European Security: Addressing Radiological Threats in a New Era. Acad J Politics and Public Admin. 2024; 1(1): 555555

project can pave the way for more advanced, effective, and sustainable radiological safety measures.

Conclusions

The EU-RADION project significantly advances radiological threat detection and management, marking a crucial development in Europe's emergency response strategies. Its innovative integration of sensor technologies and real-time data analysis enhances the capability to swiftly identify and address radiological threats, thereby bolstering public safety and environmental protection. This project not only meets current security needs but also sets a benchmark for future innovation in radiological safety. It necessitates policy adaptations at both national and EU levels, reflecting its wide-ranging impact. The comprehensive training and operational strategies developed through EU-RADION significantly enrich first responder capabilities, contributing to the evolution of national security strategies. The project's role as a catalyst for future technological and strategic advancements in radiological threat management is evident, underscoring the importance of continuous innovation and collaborative efforts in this vital area. EU-RADION's holistic approach and its implications for policy, technology, and operational strategies highlight its role in shaping a safer future, emphasizing the project's importance as a model for future initiatives in emergency response and radiological safety. In addressing the main research question, "How does the EU-RADION system, with its innovative approach in radiological threat detection and response, contribute to advancing emergency response strategies and impact future developments in this field?", the EU-RADION project is found to significantly contribute to the evolution of emergency response strategies in Europe. Its advanced technological framework enhances the detection, analysis, and management of radiological threats. The project's implementation has set a new benchmark in radiological safety and emergency preparedness, not only addressing current security needs but also paving the way for future innovations and developments in this critical domain.

References

1. Steinhauser G, Brandl A, Johnson TE (2014) Comparison of the Chernobyl and Fukushima nuclear accidents: A review of the environmental impacts. Sci Total Environ 470: 800-817.
2. Pavel P Povinec, Katsumi H, Michio A, Yutaka T (2021) Fukushima Accident: 10 Years After Elsevier pp. 574.
3. Cardis E, Vrijheid M, Blettner M, Gilbert E, Hakama M, et al., (2007) The 15-Country Collaborative Study of Cancer Risk among Radiation Workers in the Nuclear Industry: estimates of radiation-related cancer risks. Radiation Res 167(4): 396-416.
4. Hosoda M, Tokonami S, Sorimachi A, Monzen S, Osanai M, et al., (2011) The time variation of dose rate artificially increased by the Fukushima nuclear crisis. Scientific Reports 1: 87.
5. Kashparov VA, Lundin SM, Zvarych SI, Yoshchenko VI, Levchuk SE (2003) Territory contamination with the radionuclides representing the fuel component of Chernobyl fallout. Sci Total Environ 317(1-3): 105-119.
6. Rintamaa R, Aho-Mantila I (2011) Plant life management and modernisation: Research challenges in the EU. Nuclear Engineering and Design 241(9): 3389-3394.
7. Kovacs P (2006) Impacts of nuclear power plant life management and long-term operation. NEA News 24(2).
8. Valecia L (2012) Radioactive waste management in nuclear decommissioning projects. Nuclear Decommissioning Planning, Execution and International Experience Woodhead Publishing Series in Energy pp. 375-415.
9. McFee RB, Leikin JB (2009) Death by polonium-210: lessons learned from the murder of former Soviet spy Alexander Litvinenko. Semin Diagn Pathol 26(1): 61-67.
10. Szklarski Ł (2024) The use of biometrics in automated border control as a basic tool for the security of the European Union's borders. Difin pp: 98-104.
11. Szklarski Ł, Maik P, Walczyk W (2020) Developing a novel network of CBRNe sensors in response to existing capability gaps in current technologies, Proceedings Volume 11416, Chemical, Biological, Radiological, Nuclear, and Explosives (CBRNE) Sensing XXI, 114160Y.
12. Szklarski Ł (2023) CBRN threats to Ukraine during the Russian aggression: mitigating chemical hazards during wartime– countermeasures and decontamination strategies for Ukraine in light of potential chemical facility destruction, Zeszyty Naukowe SGSP, ZN SGSP 87: 165-180.
13. Szklarski Ł (2023) CBRN threats - advancing national security through interdisciplinary innovations: an analytical framework for chemical hazard detection technologies, Zeszyty Naukowe SGSP, ZN SGSP 2(88): 93-118.
14. Szklarski Ł (2023) CBRN threats to Ukraine during the russian aggression: mitigating gamma radiation hazards-innovative countermeasures and decontamination strategies in the context of potential destruction of the zaporizhzhianuclear power plant, Zeszyty Naukowe SGSP, ZN SGSP 87: 143-164.
15. Gromek P, Szklarski Ł (2023) Modern technologies in enhancing situational awareness and preparedness for CBRN events in urban areas. Perspective of European Commission call in 2022. J Modern Sci 53(4): 362-390.
16. Szklarski Ł (2021) Diagnoza potrzeb w zakresie usprawnienia technologii i sprzętu służącego reagowaniu na incydenty o charakterze CBRN . Zarys problemu z perspektywy europejskich straży pożarnych , Zeszyty Naukowe SGSP 2(80):142-160.
17. International Atomic Energy Agency (1988) The radiological accident in Goiania. IAEA.
18. Steinhauser G, Brandl A, Johnson TE (2014) Comparison of the Chernobyl and Fukushima nuclear accidents: A review of the environmental impacts. Sci Total Environ 470: 800-817.
19. Hofman D, Monte L (2011) Computerised Decision Support Systems for the management of freshwater radioecological emergencies: assessment of the state-ofthe-art with respect to the experiences and needs of end-users. J Environ Radioact 102(2):119-127.
20. Zeeb H, Shannoun F (2009) WHO handbook on indoor radon: a public health perspective. WHO.
21. Becker SM (2004) Emergency communication and information issues in terrorist events involving radioactive materials. Biosecur Bioter 2(3): 195-207.
22. International Atomic Energy Agency (2003) Training in radiation protection and the safe use of radiation sources. Safety reports series p. 20.

How to cite this article: Szklarski Ł. CBRN Threats: The Impact of EU-RADION on European Security: Addressing Radiological Threats in a New Era. Acad J Politics and Public Admin. 2024; 1(1): 555555

23. National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements (2005) Key Elements of Preparing Emergency Responders for Nuclear and Radiological Terrorism. NCRP Commentary p. 19.

24. International Atomic Energy Agency (2006) Technologies for the remediation of radioactive contaminated sites. Radiation Safety Reports Series 40.

25. Rubin GJ, Amlôt R, Page L, Wessely S (2010) Public perceptions, anxiety, and behaviour change in relation to the swine flu outbreak: cross sectional telephone survey. BMJ 339: b2651.

26. Medvedev Z (1990) The legacy of Chernobyl. Norton, New York.

27. Eckerman KF, Endo A (2009) MIRD: Radionuclide Data and Decay Schemes. Reston, VA: Society of Nuclear Med.

28. Bhattacharyya KG, Gupta SS (2008) Adsorption of a few heavy metals on natural and modified kaolinite and montmorillonite: A review. Adv Colloid Interface Sci 140(2): 114-131.

29. US Department of Energy (2002) Radiological Control Manual. DOE M 440: 1.

30. Valko M, Rhodes CJ, Moncol J, Izakovic M, Mazur M (2006) Free radicals, metals and antioxidants in oxidative stress-induced cancer. Chem Biol Interact 160(1): 1-40.

31. Kathren RL (1996) Pathway to a paradigm: the linear non-threshold dose-response model in historical context. The evolution of radiation protection philosophy. Health Phys 70(3): 261-279.

32. Ratnaweera H, Pivovarov OA (2019) Physical and cyber safety in critical water infrastructure. In: NATO Advanced Research Workshop on Physical and Cyber Safety in Critical Water Infrastructure, Amsterdam: IOS Press.

33. World Health Organization (2011) Guidelines for iodine prophylaxis following nuclear accidents: update 1999. WHO.

34. Wheatley S, Sovacool B, Sornette D (2017) Of Disasters and Dragon Kings: A Statistical Analysis of Nuclear Power Incidents & Accidents. Risk Analysis 37(1): 99-115.

35. National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements (2010) Management of Persons Contaminated with Radionuclides: Handbook. NCRP Report 2: 161.

36. International Atomic Energy Agency (1998) New methods and techniques for decontamination in maintenance or decommissioning operations.

How to cite this article: Szklarski Ł. CBRN Threats: The Impact of EU-RADION on European Security: Addressing Radiological Threats in a New Era. Acad J Politics and Public Admin. 2024; 1(1): 555555