Primary Aneurysmal Bone Cyst of the Cervical and Cervico-thoracic Regions of the Spine
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Introduction

Primary aneurysmal bone cyst (ABC) is a locally aggressive tumor like neoplasm associated with bone destruction. It is a vascular neoplasm with most cases occurring in the first two decades of life with slight female predominance [1,2]. It represents 1% of bone tumors and 15% of primary spine tumors. In the spine, it usually affects the posterior elements of the vertebra with occasional extension into the spinal canal, vertebral body and paravertebral soft tissues. Despite being more common in the lumbar region, the cervical and thoracic spines may occasionally be involved [3-5]. Patients usually present with localized axial pain, persistent even with rest. Plain radiographs reveal expansile osteolytic lesions with thin rims. Characteristically, fluid-fluid level may be seen with CT and MRI [4,6,7]. Management of ABC of the spine is challenging with surgical resection or curettage being performed mainly. Selective arterial embolization may be performed prior to surgery to reduce bleeding, or occasionally as the sole method of treatment. Radiotherapy and cryotherapy have also been described [3,4,7]. The aim of this study is to present treatment options and their outcomes in ABC involving the cervical spine and the cervico-thoracic junction, through cases which have been treated in our department.

Patients and Methods

Six patients with ABC of the spine were surgically treated. The cervical spine was involved in four and the cervico-thoracic junction in two. The patients' complaints were recorded. Their pain was scaled on VAS. Their neurologic status was graded according to Frankel. All patients were evaluated preoperatively with plain radiographs, CT scan and MRI. The surgical approaches and stages were documented for each case. The patients were followed up for a minimum of 2 years. Any improvement in pain and neurologic status was noted. Follow up images were assessed for any construct failure or recurrence.

Results

All patients presented with axial pain (VAS 5.7). Two complained of radicular pain and one of neck swelling. Three patients were neurologically intact, one was grade D and another grade C. Piecemeal tumor resection was performed. Dual approach was done with anterior corpectomy and reconstruction. This was combined with posterior intralesional curettage or resection of the affected posterior spinous elements and fixation. The mean VAS for pain improved to 2.3 at final follow up. No case showed neurologic deterioration after surgery. At final follow up, the patients with preoperative neurologic deficits showed full recovery to Frankel E. Recurrence was noted radiologically in two cases involving the posterior spinous elements.
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Preoperative selective arterial embolization was attempted in four cases the day before the surgery. The surgical approaches and stages were documented for each case. Mean operative time and blood loss were also recorded. The patients were followed up for a minimum of 2 years. Any improvement in pain and neurologic status was noted according to VAS and Frankel’s grade respectively. Patients were followed up radio logically at monthly interval during the first 3 months after surgery and every 3 months thereafter. The images were assessed for any construct failure or recurrence.

**Results**

Clinically all patients presented with axial pain (their mean VAS was 5.7). In addition, two of them were complaining of radicular pain (brachialgia) and a single case with obvious neck swelling. Three patients were neurologically intact (Frankel E) at presentation; one was grade D and another grade C. The mean duration of symptoms was 5 months. The tumor involved both posterior and anterior elements of the vertebra in five cases with expansion and osteolysis in CT scan. In a single case the lesion was confined to the body and odontoid process of C2. MRI revealed low signal intensity in T1-weighted images and high signal intensity in T2-weighted images in all the lesions. Piecemeal tumor resection was performed. Dual approach was done with anterior corpectomy and reconstruction.

This was combined with posterior intralesional curettage or resection of the affected posterior spinous elements and fixation (four cases in a single setting and two cases in two stages). In the case where the tumor was confined to the body of C2, posterior fixation (C1-C3) was added for mechanical stability. The mean operative time was 190 minutes and the mean blood loss was 966 ml. The mean follow up period was 26 months. The mean VAS for pain improved to 2.3 at final follow up. No case showed neurologic deterioration after surgery. At final follow up, the patients with preoperative neurologic deficits showed full recovery to Frankel E. Recurrence was noted radiologically in two cases involving the posterior spinous elements, one after six months and the other after nine months which required repeated curettage or excision. A case had initially performed intralesional curettage and the other piecemeal excision of the posteriorly located lesion. At final follow up no case showed evidence of further recurrence. The patients are summarized in Table 1.

### Table 1: The patients are summarized.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Duration of Symptoms (m)</th>
<th>Presentation</th>
<th>Surgical Procedure</th>
<th>Recurrence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10y</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>T1 + T2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Neck pain + swelling + paraparesis (Frankel C)</td>
<td>Anterior corpectomy + reconstruction + posterior excision and fixation</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>11y</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Neck pain + paraparesis (Frankel D)</td>
<td>Anterior corpectomy + reconstruction + posterior excision and fixation</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>22y</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>C4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Neck pain</td>
<td>Anterior corpectomy + reconstruction + posterior curettage and fixation</td>
<td>6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>18y</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Neck pain</td>
<td>Anterior corpectomy + reconstruction + posterior fixation</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>15y</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>C5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Neck pain + Radicular pain</td>
<td>Anterior corpectomy + reconstruction + posterior excision and fixation</td>
<td>9 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>18y</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>C6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Neck pain + Radicular pain</td>
<td>Anterior corpectomy + reconstruction + posterior curettage and fixation</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1:** A 10 years old boy had presented with severe neck pain and had been treated by wide laminectomy for ABC of T1 elsewhere. 3 months after the initial procedure he presented to us with paraparesis (Frankel C). (A) Initial MRI. (B, C) MRI and CT on referral to our institute. Note tumor extension to T2. (D) Total excision was performed with posterior fixation, anterior corpectomy and reconstruction via iliac crest graft and a plate. (E) CT 6 months after surgery. Patient showed complete neurologic recovery (Frankel E).
Figure 2: An 18 years old female presented with neck pain. ABC of C2 was diagnosed by images and biopsy. Surgical excision and reconstruction were performed on 2 stages. (A) Preoperative MRI. (B) Plain radiograph following the posterior procedure (C1-C3 fixation). (C, D) Iliac strut insertion following anterior corpectomy via trans-oral approach. (E) Postoperative CT after 3 months.

Discussion

Relentless pain is the most common presentation for patients with ABC of the spine, being reported in more than 90% of cases in various studies [4,7]. All cases in our series presented with pain. Neurologic deficit was found in 33% of our cases which is comparable to their incidence in the literature (10-40%) [3,7]. The mean age of our patients was 13.8 years with female predominance (66%). This is in line with the literature which states its occurrence in the first two decades of life [8]. Although biopsies should be performed to confirm the diagnosis, care should be taken as the bloody nature of the lesions could lead to complications [9,10]. We obtained biopsies for all our cases under CT guidance prior to surgery without any notable complications. In addition, Chao et al. reported mere 43% accuracy of needle biopsies owing to the limited amount of tissues with too little solid component [11]. Accordingly, Boriani et al. [7] suggested open biopsy and frozen section at the time of surgical resection [7].

Due to the complex nature of the anatomy surrounding the spine, either piecemeal resection or curettage is the most widely used treatment methods in such cases [3,7]. Thus a major issue in their management is that of recurrence. Papagelopoulos et al. [3] reported recurrence in 12% of his cases treated with intralesional procedures (6 out of 52). De Kleuver et al. [12] reported it in 6 of 29 cases whereas Yang et al. reported a recurrence rate of 30%. The high rate of recurrence was attributed to the incomplete resection [3,12,13]. In our series, recurrence occurred in 33% (2 of 6) needing revision. The ideal surgery to eliminate or decrease such relatively high recurrence rate would be to perform a wide en bloc resection [10]. However such procedure would not always be feasible being dictated by the tumor location. In the cervical spine, sacrificing the vertebral artery might be necessary during wide resection which is controversial. Chao et al. reported no case of recurrence in their series of 14 cervical spine patients following resection or curettage [11].

Selective arterial embolization is used by some prior to surgery in an attempt to decrease intraoperative bleeding [3]. However, in the series presented by Chao et al. [11] where he performed embolization in some but not in all the cases, no statistically significant difference could be found between them. Some authors also reported embolization as a sole method of treatment with evident success rates [14]. The use of radiotherapy in such patients is not conclusive. While its use is assumed to be effective by some, others reported it to be of no value [15,16]. Boriani successfully treated some cases solely by radiation with no recurrence detected [7]. Its use might even be hazardous; a case of postradiation osteosarcoma and another of postradiation chondrosarcoma were reported in some series [3,13]. We did not use adjuvant radiotherapy in our series. However, it might be of benefit in patients not fit for surgery or in highly aggressive recurrent cases.

Conclusion

Proper treatment of ABC in the cervical spine is essential to achieve favorable outcomes. Piecemeal resection of the lesion combined with decompression and fixation appear to be effective. Attempting total excision of the tumor might yield lower recurrence rates.
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